



Illinois Power Generating Company
1500 Eastport Plaza Drive
Collinsville, IL 62234

August 6, 2024
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
DWPC – Permits MC#15
Attn: 35 I.A.C. § 845.650(e) Alternative Source Demonstration Submittal
1021 North Grand Avenue East
P.O. Box 19276
Springfield, IL 62794-9276

Re: Coffeen Power Plant GMF GSP; IEPA ID # W1350150004-03

Dear Mr. LeCrone:

In accordance with Title 35 of the Illinois Administrative Code (35 I.A.C.) Section (§) 845.650(e), Illinois Power Generating Company (IPGC) is submitting this Alternative Source Demonstration (ASD) for the arsenic exceedance observed at well G206D from the Quarter 1 2024 sampling event at the Coffeen Power Plant Gypsum Management Facility Gypsum Stack Pond, identified by Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) ID No. W1350150004-03.

This ASD is being submitted within 60 days from the date of determination of an exceedance of a groundwater protection standard (GWPS) for constituents listed in 35 I.A.C. § 845.600. As required by 35 I.A.C. § 845.650 (e)(1), the ASD was placed on the facility's website within 24 hours of submittal to the agency.

One hard copy is provided with this submittal.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Dianna Tickner".

Dianna Tickner
Sr. Director – Decommission and Demolition

Enclosures

Alternate Source Demonstration, Quarter 1 2024, GMF GSP Coffeen Power Plant, Coffeen Illinois



engineers | scientists | innovators

ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION

**Coffeen Power Plant GMF Gypsum Stack Pond
(Unit ID #103)
IEPA ID: W1350150004-03
35 IAC 845.650**

Prepared for

Dynegy Midwest Generation, LLC
1500 Eastport Plaza Drive
Collinsville, Illinois 62234

Prepared by

Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
500 W. Wilson Bridge Road, Suite 250
Worthington, Ohio 43085

Project Number: GLP8078

August 2024

Alternative Source Demonstration

**Coffeen Power Plant GMF Gypsum Stack Pond
(Unit ID #103)**

IEPA ID: W1350150004-03


35 IAC 845.650

Prepared for

Dynegy Midwest Generation, LLC
1500 Eastport Plaza Drive
Collinsville, Illinois 62234

Prepared by

Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
500 W. Wilson Bridge Road, Suite 250
Worthington, OH 43085



A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Allison Kreinberg".

Allison Kreinberg
Senior Geochemist

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "John Seymour".

John Seymour, P.E.
Senior Principal

License No.: 062.040562
Expires: 11/30/2025

Project Number: GLP8078

August 5, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. BACKGROUND	4
2.1 Site Location and Description	4
2.2 Description of the CCR Unit	4
2.3 Geology and Hydrogeology	4
3. ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION LINES OF EVIDENCE	6
3.1 LOE #1: Arsenic Concentrations in CCR Source Material and Groundwater in the Vicinity of the GMF GSP Indicate that the Unit is Not the Source of Detected Arsenic at G206D	6
3.1.1 GMF GSP Source Material Does Not Contain Elevated Arsenic Concentrations	6
3.1.2 UA Groundwater Does Not Contain Elevated Arsenic or Increasing Arsenic Trends	6
3.1.3 G206D Arsenic Concentrations are Consistent with Geologically Comparable Glacial Drift Material	7
3.1.4 Arsenic Concentration Trends Do Not Match Other More Mobile Potential Source Constituents	7
3.2 LOE #2: Groundwater at G206D Has a Distinct Ionic Composition and is Different than the GMF GSP Source Water.	8
3.3 LOE #3: Arsenic Occurs Naturally in the Glacial Till of the Deep Aquifer and is Mobilized to Groundwater due to Geochemical Conditions.....	11
4. CONCLUSIONS	15
5. REFERENCES	16

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Arsenic SEP Results Summary
Table 2:	Summary of X-Ray Diffraction Analysis
Table 3:	Arsenic Groundwater Speciation Results Summary

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Arsenic Time Series Graph
Figure 2:	Estimated Illinois Arsenic Distribution

- Figure 3: Boron Time Series Graph
- Figure 4: Piper Diagram
- Figure 5: PCA Analysis (Without Pore Water) – Quality of Representation of Principal Components
- Figure 6: Contribution of Variables to First Two Principal Components (Without Pore Water)
- Figure 7: Principal Component Analysis Biplot (Without Pore Water)
- Figure 8: Dendrogram Graph from Cluster Analysis (Without Pore Water)
- Figure 9: Arsenic Eh-pH Diagram
- Figure 10: Iron Eh-pH Diagram

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Attachment 1: Relevant Sampling Locations
- Attachment 2: Geologic Cross Section
- Attachment 3: Uppermost Aquifer Potentiometric Surface Map – February 12 and 13, 2024
- Attachment 4: CCR Solids Data Summary Table and Laboratory Analytical Report
- Attachment 5: *Arsenic in Illinois Ground Water – Community and Private Supplies*. Warner et al. 2003.
- Attachment 6: Coffeen Power Plant Surface Water Divide
- Attachment 7: PCA Data Input Summary
- Attachment 8: PCA Analysis – Porewater Included
- Attachment 9: Field Soil Boring Logs
- Attachment 10: Monitoring Well Boring Logs
- Attachment 11: Sequential Extraction Procedure Analytical Data
- Attachment 12: X-Ray Diffraction Laboratory Analytical Report
- Attachment 13: Groundwater Arsenic Speciation Data

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ASD	alternative source demonstration
CCR	coal combustion residuals
CPP	Coffeen Power Plant
DA	deep aquifer
DCU	deep confining unit
EPRI	Electric Power Research Institute
GMF	Gypsum Management Facility
GSP	Gypsum Stack Pond
GWPS	groundwater protection standard
HSU	hydrostratigraphic unit
IAC	Illinois Administrative Code
IPGC	Illinois Power Generating Company
IEPA	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
LCU	lower confining unit
LOE	line of evidence
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram
mg/L	milligrams per liter
ORP	oxidation reduction potential
PC	principal component
PCA	principal component analysis
PMP	potential migration pathway
RP	Recycle Pond
TDS	total dissolved solids
UA	uppermost aquifer
UCU	upper confining unit
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
XRD	X-ray diffraction

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Groundwater samples were collected at the Coffeen Power Plant (CPP) Gypsum Management Facility (GMF) Gypsum Stack Pond (GSP) during February 2024 for the Quarter 1, 2024 compliance sampling event (Event 4 [E004]). They were evaluated for exceedances of the groundwater protection standards (GWPS) described in Title 35 of the Illinois Administrative Code (35 I.A.C.) § 845.600. Exceedances were identified in the following hydrostratigraphic units, constituents, and wells:

Deep aquifer (potential migration pathway [PMP]) exceedances:

- Arsenic at well G206D

Uppermost aquifer (UA) exceedances:

- Sulfate at well G215
- Total dissolved solids (TDS) at well G215

These results are similar to GWPS exceedances determined during previous quarterly monitoring events, with the exception of the arsenic exceedance at well G206D, which was a new exceedance determined after the E004 monitoring event. Sulfate and TDS GWPS exceedances at well G215 will be addressed in accordance with 35 I.A.C. § 845.660, and an associated Corrective Measures Assessment (CMA) was initiated on January 14, 2024. A request to extend the 90-day CMA completion deadline specified in 35 I.A.C. § 845.660(a)(2) by 60 days was submitted to IEPA on January 2, 2024 and approved in a written response from IEPA dated January 3, 2024. The CMA was completed and submitted to IEPA on June 12, 2024.

As a result of the newly identified E004 arsenic exceedance, this Alternative Source Demonstration (ASD) has been prepared to provide pertinent information pursuant to 35 I.A.C. § 845.650(e) for the CPP GMF GSP.

The three lines of evidence (LOEs) listed below demonstrate that the GMF GSP (and other regulated CCR units at the CPP) are not the source of the arsenic GWPS exceedance in well G206D. The arsenic exceedance is due to geochemical conditions within the deep aquifer which result in the mobilization of naturally occurring arsenic from site solids.

1. GMF GSP UA compliance wells do not have arsenic GWPS exceedances or display increasing arsenic trends as would be expected if GMF GSP water was the source of detected arsenic concentrations at the deeper G206D. Further, GMF GSP CCR material contains low concentrations of arsenic in solid and aqueous phases.
2. The ionic composition of G206D groundwater is different than the ionic composition of GMF GSP source water and does not show geochemical or statistical influence of impact from the GMF GSP source water.
3. Site-specific aquifer solids analyses identified solid-phase arsenic at G206D and G275D which is associated with multiple reactive phases within the aquifer material. These phases are likely subject to natural processes of dissolution/alteration based on G206D groundwater conditions,

indicating that mobilization of geogenic arsenic to deep aquifer groundwater is expected, consistent with academic studies completed in the region.

This information serves as the written ASD prepared in accordance with 35 I.A.C. § 845.650(e), demonstrating that the arsenic exceedance observed at well G206D during the Quarter 1, 2024 sampling event (E004) was not due to the GMF GSP and is attributable to mobilization of naturally occurring arsenic. Therefore, assessment of corrective measures is not required for this arsenic exceedance at the GMF GSP.

1. INTRODUCTION

Geosyntec Consultants, Inc. has prepared this alternative source demonstration (ASD) for the CCR Unit referred to as the “GMF Gypsum Stack Pond”, identification (ID) number (No.) 103, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) ID No. W1350150004-03, and National Inventory of Dams ID No. IL50579. This report has been prepared on behalf of Illinois Power Generating Company (IPGC), regarding the Gypsum Management Facility (GMF) Gypsum Stack Pond (GSP) coal combustion residuals (CCR) unit at the Coffeen Power Plant (CPP) near Coffeen, Illinois. This ASD was prepared in conformance with guidance provided by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) for development of ASDs at CCR sites (EPRI 2017), and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)’s Solid Waste Disposal Facility Criteria: Technical Manual (USEPA 1993).

An exceedance of arsenic above the site-specific groundwater protection standard (GWPS) of 0.010 milligrams per liter (mg/L) was identified at downgradient monitoring well G206D following the First Quarter 2024 sampling event (Ramboll 2024a).

Under 35 IAC 845.650(e), the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment may submit a demonstration that a source other than the CCR surface impoundment caused the contamination, or that the exceedance of the GWPS resulted from error in sampling, analysis, or statistical evaluation, natural variation in groundwater quality, or a change in the potentiometric surface and groundwater flow direction.

Pursuant to 35 IAC 845.650(e), the lines of evidence (LOEs) documented in this ASD demonstrate that a source other than the CPP GMF GSP CCR unit was the cause of the GWPS exceedance for arsenic at downgradient monitoring well G206D. Natural variability associated with the lithology of the aquifer was identified as the alternative source for the detected arsenic at G206D.

The ASD is completed pursuant to the Illinois Administrative Code (IAC) Title 35, Part 845 (“Standards for the Disposal of CCR in Surface Impoundments”) and was completed by August 6, 2024, within 60 days of determination of the exceedances (June 7, 2024), as required by 35 I.A.C. § 845.650(e).

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 Site Location and Description

The CPP property is located approximately two miles south of the city of Coffeen, Illinois, and bordered by two lobes of Coffeen Lake to the west, east, and south, and by agricultural land to the north. The location of the CCR and non-CCR impoundments are shown in **Attachment 1**. The CPP GMF GSP impoundment is located immediately to the north of the GMF Gypsum Recycle Pond (RP) CCR unit (Unit # 104) and east of the CPP landfill (LF).

2.2 Description of the CCR Unit

The GMF GSP is a 37-acre lined surface impoundment that was used to store and dispose of gypsum and to clarify recycled process water for plant operations from 2010 to 2021. The GMF GSP system was actively pumped during operation but is not currently used. IPGC ceased receipt of waste to the GMF GSP prior to April 11, 2021. The CCR material placed in the GMF GSP was generally consistent in its composition through the duration of its operational lifetime. Currently, the GMF RP receives and stores clear process water from the GMF GSP. The GMF GSP was constructed in accordance with IEPA Water Pollution Control Permit No. 2020-EO-65043 and includes a composite high-density polyethylene (HDPE) geomembrane liner with three feet of compacted clay and a groundwater underdrain system.

The CPP LF impoundment, which is located immediately to the west of the GMF GSP impoundment, has a composite liner constructed in 2010. The LF has an active groundwater underdrain system that is currently being pumped.

2.3 Geology and Hydrogeology

This section provides a summary of the site geology and hydrogeology; additional detail is provided in the Hydrogeologic Site Characterization Report (Ramboll 2021).

The hydrostratigraphic units (HSUs) present in the vicinity of the CPP GMF GSP consist of an upper confining unit (UCU), uppermost aquifer (UA), lower confining unit (LCU), deep aquifer (DA), and deep confining unit (DCU).

- The UCU consists of the silty or clayey silt of the Loess Unit and the upper clayey portion of the Hagerstown Member.
- The UA is predominantly sandy to gravelly silts with thin sand beds, with lithology identified as the Hagerstown Member.
- The LCU is comprised primarily of sandy to silty till, with discontinuous sand lenses that have been identified as potential migration pathways (PMPs). The LCU includes lithologies identified as the Vandalia Member, Mulberry Grove Member, and Smithboro Member.

- The DA is predominantly sand and sandy silt/clay units of the Yarmouth Soil and is discontinuous beneath the CPP.
- The DCU includes the lithology identified as the Banner Formation, within which the thick Lierle Clay is the first encountered unit.

A geologic cross-section originally included in the Hydrogeologic Site Characterization Report and locator map are provided as **Attachment 2**.

Vertical gradients measured throughout CPP indicate downward flow from the UA to the LCU and DA. Both the DA and the LCU have been identified as PMPs because of the observed downward gradients and the higher hydraulic conductivities measured in the DA relative to the UA.

The groundwater monitoring network for the CPP GMF GSP consists of 10 monitoring wells: 8 downgradient compliance monitoring wells (G206, G206D, G209, G212, G213, G215, G217, and G218) and 2 background monitoring wells (R201 and G200) (locations shown in **Attachment 3**). All network groundwater monitoring wells are screened in the UA except G206D, which is considered a DA PMP monitoring location that monitors the potential migration of impacts downward through the LCU. The only other groundwater monitoring well screened within the DA in the vicinity of the GMF GSP is well G275D, which is downgradient of the GMF RP (location shown in **Attachment 3**).

The potentiometric groundwater contours and generalized groundwater flow directions at the site are shown in **Attachment 3**. Groundwater flow is generally east to southeast in the vicinity of the GMF GSP in the direction of the unnamed tributary. Groundwater flow directions are generally consistent across seasons. A key feature controlling groundwater flow elsewhere at the CPP is the discharge flume located between Ash Pond 1 and Ash Pond 2, which intersects shallow groundwater.

3. ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION LINES OF EVIDENCE

This ASD for the arsenic GWPS exceedance at G206D is based on three LOEs. These LOEs are described and supported below.

3.1 LOE #1: Arsenic Concentrations in CCR Source Material and Groundwater in the Vicinity of the GMF GSP Indicate that the Unit is Not the Source of Detected Arsenic at G206D

3.1.1 GMF GSP Source Material Does Not Contain Elevated Arsenic Concentrations

Arsenic was not detected above the reporting limit for grab samples of CCR solids collected from two locations at the GMF GSP (sample identifications “GSP Gypsum 1” & “GSP Gypsum 2”) in 2021 (**Attachment 4**).¹ These locations are anticipated to be reflective of waste placed in the GMF GSP because the waste deposition and treatment have been consistent over the operating period.

CCR source water samples have been collected for total arsenic from the ‘NE Riser’ location, which collects contact water from process piping located in the northeast corner of the GSP, from March 2021 to August 2022. The USEPA considers the use of CCR source water data (which is often collected in the form of porewater) as the most appropriate approach to estimate constituent fluxes to groundwater from CCR surface impoundments. As per USEPA, “...this is because porewater better represents the leachate seeping from the bottom of the impoundment than impoundment water samples” (USEPA 2014). The arsenic concentrations reported for CCR source water sampling location ‘NE Riser’ have been consistently below the GWPS, as shown in **Figure 1**.

3.1.2 UA Groundwater Does Not Contain Elevated Arsenic or Increasing Arsenic Trends

The GMF GSP monitoring well network consists of eight compliance wells, all of which are screened in the UA except for G206D, which is screened in the DA. The UA is shallower than the DA and would receive water migrating vertically downward before any hypothetically impacted water reaches the DA. Should a release from the GMF GSP have occurred resulting in the arsenic concentrations at G206D, elevated arsenic concentrations would first be expected in UA groundwater, particularly at well G206, which is screened in the UA and nested with well G206D (**Attachment 1**). Arsenic concentrations at G206 have never exceeded the GWPS and do not display an increasing trend (**Figure 1**), indicating that GMF GSP water has not migrated to the DA

¹ Borings were not advanced during the 2021 investigation in the GMF GSP due to safety concerns (Ramboll 2021).

and caused the arsenic concentrations at G206D. Further, no arsenic GWPS exceedances have been confirmed at any GMF GSP UA compliance wells to date.

3.1.3 G206D Arsenic Concentrations are Consistent with Geologically Comparable Glacial Drift Material

The aqueous arsenic concentrations observed at G206D are consistent with other samples collected from the shallow glacial drift materials within which G206D is screened, as documented by the United States Geological Survey's (USGS's) study of arsenic in Illinois groundwater (Warner 2001; Warner et al., 2003), which is provided in **Attachment 5**. Based on this study, estimated arsenic concentrations within glacial materials in the area of Montgomery County, Illinois where the CPP is located can range from 0.005 to 0.025 mg/L (**Figure 2**). Arsenic concentrations observed at G206D from March 30, 2021 through February 16, 2024 (i.e., Event 004 exceedance date) ranged from 0.0024 to 0.0184 mg/L, which is within the estimated range of naturally occurring arsenic in groundwater for this area of Illinois. This is evidence that the arsenic concentrations reported at G206D are within the expected concentrations for the area.

3.1.4 Arsenic Concentration Trends Do Not Match Other More Mobile Potential Source Constituents

A comparison of boron concentrations between background and compliance monitoring wells to source water was conducted to assess whether boron trends were similar or divergent relative to arsenic. Boron is a geochemically conservative parameter that is not significantly attenuated during advective flow. Concentrations of boron in groundwater are unlikely to be modified as a result of geochemical processes such as mineral precipitation/dissolution, ion exchange, or oxidation-reduction (redox) conditions; variations in aqueous arsenic concentrations are more likely to be affected from these processes given its higher redox and pH sensitivity.

Boron concentrations in the CCR source water are more than two orders of magnitude greater than those reported in groundwater at G206D (**Figure 3**). If a release from the GMF GSP to groundwater had occurred, physical mixing would occur and boron concentrations in downgradient groundwater would be expected to increase because the boron source water concentrations are multiple orders of magnitude higher than boron concentrations in the groundwater. Boron concentrations in groundwater at G206D appear stable since monitoring began in 2021 (**Figure 3**). If the GMF GSP was the source, the increasing trend of arsenic concentrations should be matched with increasing boron concentrations, and it is not. The stability in boron concentrations at G206D compared to arsenic trends provides additional evidence that the arsenic exceedance detected at this well is not attributable to the GMF GSP unit.

Additionally, should a release from the GMF GSP have occurred, elevated boron concentrations would first be expected in UA groundwater, particularly at well G206, which is screened in the UA and nested with well G206D (**Attachment 1**). Boron concentrations at G206 have never

exceeded the GWPS of 2 mg/L and do not display an increasing trend (**Figure 3**), indicating that GMF GSP water has not migrated to the DA.

A review of hydrogeological conditions at the Site found that the other regulated units at the Site (i.e., Ash Pond 1, Ash Pond 2, and the GMF RP) and the Landfill are also not anticipated to influence the arsenic concentrations at G206D based on the following observations:

- The Landfill is not a potential source because it is on the opposite side of a groundwater divide located between the Landfill and G206D. Groundwater elevations are primarily controlled by surface topography, geologic unit topography, and surface water levels within Coffeen Lake and the Unnamed Tributary. Overall groundwater flow within the uppermost aquifer reflects surface water flow and is divided towards the eastern and western lobes of Coffeen Lake, as shown by the map provided as **Attachment 6**. The groundwater divide runs approximately through the center of the CPP, with groundwater east of the divide flowing east to southeast towards the unnamed tributary or the eastern lobe and groundwater west of the divide flowing west to southwest towards the western lobe. The groundwater divide runs north-to-south between the Landfill and GMF GSP, and groundwater flow is directed from the Landfill to the west. G206D is on the east side of the groundwater divide, separate from the Landfill on the west side, indicating any potential release from the would not flow toward G206D and is not a potential source
- The nearest CCR SIs (Ash Pond 2 and the GMF RP) are hydraulically downgradient from G206D as shown on the contour map provided as **Attachment 3**. Groundwater flows east from Ash Pond 2 towards the unnamed tributary and south from Ash Pond 2 towards the former discharge flume which intersects shallow groundwater (location shown on Attachment 6). Groundwater flows east from the GMF RP towards the unnamed tributary. Therefore, Ash Pond 2 and the GMF RP could not impact G206D groundwater because it is upgradient.
- Ash Pond 1 is located farther downgradient from Ash Pond 2 and is across a hydraulic barrier, the former discharge flume. This barrier, which intersects groundwater, prevents groundwater from Ash Pond 1 flowing north to G206D due to the lower observed surface water elevations in the discharge flume and the eastern lobe of Coffeen Lake as shown in **Attachments 3 and 6**.

3.2 LOE #2: Groundwater at G206D Has a Distinct Ionic Composition and is Different than the GMF GSP Source Water.

The groundwater at G206D has a distinct ionic composition compared to the GMF GSP source water and is geochemically stable, suggesting that G206D is not affected by the GMF GSP. A Piper diagram, which illustrates the relative concentration of major cations and anions in groundwater samples, shows that the anion composition of groundwater at G206D appears to be

predominantly carbonate alkalinity, whereas the cation distribution is relatively even between monovalent (sodium and potassium) and divalent (calcium and magnesium) species (**Figure 4**). This groundwater composition is different from the GMF GSP source water composition (NE Riser), which tends to have greater relative contributions of sulfate and magnesium. G206D, which is screened within the DA, is more similar in composition to background locations G200 and R201, even though they are screened in the UA HSU. In fact, a mixture of pore water with underlying groundwater would be expected to plot on a ‘mixing line’ connecting the pore water points to the shallow groundwater points. If 206D resulted from a release, it should plot on that line, but in fact it plots in the opposite direction.

Advanced statistical analyses were used to evaluate the similarity or dissimilarity among different groundwater samples or groups based on a broad suite of analytes. Dimensional reduction techniques, such as principal component analysis (PCA), are especially effective in identifying the analytes responsible for statistical differences between samples and revealing underlying patterns related to environmental factors, contamination sources, or other natural characteristics of the Site. Clustering methods were utilized with PCA to group samples based on their combined chemical composition through maximizing intra-group similarity and minimizing inter-group similarity.

PCA is often used to simplify large datasets with multiple variables by creating new uncorrelated variables known as principal components (PCs). The PCs are linear combinations of the original variables; the first few PCs typically capture most of the variation within the dataset. Factor loadings are calculated based on the correlation between PCs and the original variables. Consequently, variables with notably higher positive or negative factor loadings are main drivers of similarity or dissimilarity and clustering of samples. Factor scores are calculated based on the correlation between the combined chemical composition of each sample and the PCs.

Samples with similar chemical compositions show similar factor scores and tend to cluster together on a PCA plot. In this evaluation, the dataset used for PCA included 101 groundwater samples collected between 2021 and 2024 from upgradient wells (G200 and R201), downgradient wells (G206, G206D, G209, G212, G213, G215, G217, G218, and G275D) and the source water sampling location (Ne Riser).²

The CCR pore water chemistry is significantly different from that of the monitoring wells (e.g., boron concentration in pore water was 41.86 ± 3.0 mg/L compared to 0.11 ± 0.23 mg/L in the rest of the wells; see **Figure 3**). Therefore, the total variability in the dataset is expected to be dominated by the greater variance of CCR signatures between pore water and the rest of the wells, potentially obscuring the variabilities in samples from monitoring wells. As such, two parallel scenarios – one with and one without porewater samples - were evaluated.

² Analytes included in this PCA include alkalinity, arsenic, boron, pH, barium, chloride, calcium, magnesium, ORP, potassium, sodium, sulfate, TDS and fluoride. The complete dataset used for PCA analysis is provided with this submission as **Attachment 7**.

The results from the PCA evaluation without porewater are discussed first and shown in **Figures 5 to 8**. PCA requires that input variables have similar scales of measurement and variances. Consequently, data were standardized by scaling to unit variance prior to performing PCA.

The fraction of total variation explained by each PC is shown in **Figure 5**, with the first two PCs accounting for approximately 67 percent [%] of the total variation in the datasets. Additionally, the quality of representation of each variable is presented in **Figure 6**. As illustrated in **Figure 6**, the first dimension is dominated by magnesium, calcium, TDS, sulfate, boron, potassium, and chloride, while the second dimension is dominated by arsenic, barium, alkalinity, fluoride, chloride, and sodium. **Figure 6** further shows that the contribution of all variables to the first two principal components exceeded the anticipated value from uniform contributions, confirming that these PCs capture the majority of the variability of most constituents in the dataset.

PCA results are often visualized using biplots where samples are projected onto the first two PCs (i.e., factor scores), and factor loadings are represented as vectors. The closer the data points are on the graph, the greater the similarity in their chemical composition. The result from this evaluation is shown on **Figure 7**, where the samples acquired from the UA are dark green, and samples from the DA are orange.

The biplot shows that the DA samples cluster separately from the UA samples collected from background or downgradient suggesting lithography as the main driver for chemistry of samples. Additionally, G215, which exhibits impacts from the GMF GSP CCR source (Ramboll 2024b) clusters separately than the DA samples, as illustrated via the extent of the orange (DA) and dark green (G215) ellipses which represent the 95% confidence interval of the distribution. Distinct clusters of DA wells separate from G215 further confirms that DA wells are not impacted by porewater. Furthermore, the factor loadings, represented as vectors on the biplot, suggest that higher levels of constituents such as barium, bicarbonate, arsenic and lower redox (more reducing conditions) drive the chemical composition of DA samples.

Clustering was further explored using Ward's hierarchical clustering method, a distance measure employed in agglomerative algorithms and commonly applied in hydrogeochemical studies. The analysis was performed on a scaled and centered dataset. The results from clustering (**Figure 8**) align with the findings from the PCA biplot, with the DA samples showing distinct clusters than the G215 samples and other background or downgradient UA samples. Therefore, the chemical composition of G206D is influenced by the native lithology rather than by impacts from the GMF Gypsum Stack Pond. This finding is further supported by the results of the evaluations with porewater samples included, which are presented in **Attachment 8**. The PCA biplot (**Attachment 8a**) and cluster dendrogram (**Attachment 8b**) indicated a distinct cluster of porewater separate from the groundwater samples.

3.3 LOE #3: Arsenic Occurs Naturally in the Glacial Till of the Deep Aquifer and is Mobilized to Groundwater due to Geochemical Conditions.

Solid phase analyses identified arsenic within the glacial till of the deep aquifer at the Site, providing evidence of naturally occurring arsenic within the mineral matrix of the aquifer. The presence of arsenic within the solid phase of the deep aquifer (i.e., glacial till) allows for potential contribution of arsenic to groundwater through mobilization due to geochemical conditions. The USGS specifically identified mobilization of coprecipitated arsenic from aquifer solids as buried glacial environments become more reducing as a potential source of arsenic concentrations above drinking water standards in Illinois groundwater (Warner et al, 2003; provided as **Attachment 5**).

Site-specific aquifer solids were analyzed to evaluate whether subsurface material in the vicinity of the GMF GSP may account for reported arsenic concentrations in groundwater. Samples were submitted for analyses of total arsenic and arsenic distribution within the aquifer solids using sequential extraction procedure (SEP) and for mineralogy using X-ray diffraction (XRD).

Geosyntec collected aquifer solids samples near DA wells G206D (downgradient of the GMF GSP) and G275D (downgradient of the GMF RP) during a field event completed in March 2024. Field observations of the sample lithologies (provided in **Table 1**) are also provided in the relevant boring logs (**Attachment 9**). Additional aquifer solid samples were collected near well G200, which is screened in the UA and is located to the north (i.e., upgradient) of both the GMF GSP and RP (location shown on **Attachment 3**), and G215, downgradient of the GMF GSP, in 2021.³ Samples were obtained from depths representing the screened interval of the nearby well at each boring location. Boring logs and monitoring well construction information for the adjacent wells are provided in **Attachment 10**.

SEP is an analytical technique used to infer associations between constituents and different classes of solids (Tessier et al., 1979). SEP uses progressively stronger reagents to solubilize metals from specific phases within the solid matrix. These classes of solids are identified based on their solubility under different reagents and include the exchangeable fraction (i.e., the most labile), the carbonate-bound fraction, the fraction associated with amorphous (non-crystalline) metal oxides such as iron oxides, the crystalline iron/manganese oxide-bound fraction, the organic matter-bound fraction, the fraction assumed to be associated with sulfides, and the residual fraction (i.e., the most recalcitrant).

To evaluate data quality in an SEP analysis, first the sum of individual extraction steps from the SEP was compared to the total arsenic concentration to verify that total arsenic recovery from SEP methods is similar to total arsenic analytical results. The sum of the SEP is not expected to be

³Aquifer solid samples were also collected from near wells G311, G306, G316, and G313 during the August 2021 field effort. Results for these samples are excluded from subsequent results tables and discussion to emphasize relevant findings; however, SEP results for these locations are included in **Attachment 11**.

exactly equal to the total metals analysis but should be generally consistent with the total metals result.

Results for total and SEP analyses of arsenic in these samples are presented in **Table 1**, and the analytical laboratory reports are provided as **Attachment 11**. The total arsenic concentrations ranged from 4.4 to 21 milligrams per kilograms of soil (mg/kg). The summed concentrations of arsenic from the SEP analyses ranged from 3.9 to 13 mg/kg. The results were generally consistent between the total metals analyses and the summed SEP steps, except for results from the samples from SB-275D (46-48 ft.), which reported 5.3 mg/kg total arsenic against a summed SEP total of 10 mg/kg, and SB-215 (23-24.5 ft.), which reported 21.0 mg/kg total arsenic against a summed SEP total of 13 mg/kg. The difference might be due to heterogeneity in the two analytical aliquots, as reproducibility of analytical data for duplicate samples for solids is often poor. The total arsenic result reported for SB-275D (46-48 ft.) may be considered biased low and the total arsenic result reported for SB-215 may be considered biased high; all other results indicate good metals recovery and data quality. These results indicate that arsenic is naturally present in both background and downgradient (compliance well) solid-phase samples at the Site. Total arsenic concentrations at all locations were higher than those observed in the CCR solids materials (**Attachment 4**).

The largest fraction of arsenic in most samples analyzed via SEP was associated with the fraction assumed to be sulfides (18-55%), which is more recalcitrant than the other reactive fractions (i.e., all fractions excluding residual metals) (**Table 1**). Additional arsenic fractions are associated with:

- the residual metals fraction bound within the aluminosilicate mineral crystal lattice (23-37%),
- the crystalline oxyhydroxide fraction (4-25%),
- the organic fraction (0-27%), and
- the non-crystalline metals fraction (2-23%).

Amorphous and crystalline iron oxides are assumed to be associated with the non-crystalline metals fraction and the oxyhydroxide fraction, respectively. Arsenic is known to become incorporated into the mineral structure of aquifer materials through co-precipitation with iron-bearing minerals, and is commonly sorbed to organic matter, clay minerals, and iron oxyhydroxides in the aquifer (Thomas et al. 2005).

Mineralogical analyses were completed using XRD to characterize the mineralogy of the aquifer solids to evaluate specific mineral-water interactions which may affect arsenic in groundwater. Mineralogy results are provided in **Table 2** and the laboratory analytical reports are included in **Attachment 12**. Mineralogy of the analyzed samples consists primarily of quartz, carbonate minerals (dolomite and calcite), feldspar minerals (albite and microcline), clay minerals (kaolinite, chlorite, montmorillonite, and illite), sulfide mineral pyrite and oxide mineral anatase. Sulfide minerals were identified via XRD at low percentage by weight within the DA samples but were

not identified in either UA sample. Arsenic substitution into pyrite is a well-known phenomenon (Raju 2022), indicating that the DA contains a greater mass of pyrite capable of containing potentially mobilizable arsenic.

In soils and sediments, arsenic redox chemistry (and as a result, arsenic mobilization to groundwater) is well-studied and linked to iron cycling (Giménez et al. 2007; Gubler and Thomas-Arrigo 2021). Generally, arsenic and iron are both redox sensitive elements that tend to be mobilized under more reducing groundwater conditions (Smedley and Kinniburgh 2002). Iron is mainly present in groundwater in two forms, reduced Fe(II) and oxidized Fe(III). In natural aqueous environments at pH 3 to 9, arsenic is primarily found as either the more oxidized species arsenate (As(V)) or the more reduced species arsenite (As(III)) (Smedley and Kinniburgh 2002). Under more oxidizing conditions, arsenic is typically present as As(V), which has a high sorption affinity to mixed valence and/or Fe(III)-oxyhydroxides such as magnetite or ferrihydrite (Dixit and Hering 2003; Sun et al. 2018).

Pourbaix diagrams were prepared for arsenic (**Figure 9**) and iron (**Figure 10**) using conditions at DA well G206D to illustrate the thermodynamic stability (range of conditions in which a species is stable) of different minerals or chemical species in an aqueous solution as a function of both pH and redox conditions.⁴ **Figure 9** indicates a distinct separation between DA groundwater (wells G206D and G275D) and UA groundwater (wells G200 and R201), with DA groundwater plotting nearer to the stability boundary representing equilibrium between arsenate (As(V)) and more mobile arsenite (As(III)). Stability of aqueous arsenite in DA groundwater is supported by arsenic speciation analytical results of groundwater from DA well G206D, which found that 81% percent of the detected arsenic was present as arsenite species (**Table 3; Attachment 13**).

In addition to arsenic speciation distribution, dissolution of iron oxide minerals can result in mobilization of arsenic to groundwater due to the release of coprecipitated and/or sorbed arsenic, consistent with the mechanism proposed by USGS (Warner et al. 2003). **Figure 10** demonstrates that DA groundwater plots on the stability boundary between amorphous iron oxide phase Fe(OH)₃ and aqueous Fe²⁺, whereas UA groundwater indicates stability of solid-phase iron. Should iron-oxide be present within the DA, iron equilibrium between Fe(OH)₃ and aqueous Fe²⁺ would likely result in partial reductive dissolution of iron oxide material and subsequent release of coprecipitated arsenic. Although iron oxyhydroxide minerals were not identified in DA aquifer solids samples via XRD, SEP analyses of these samples indicated notable components of arsenic associated with these materials (**Table 1**), indicating that iron oxyhydroxides are likely present either as amorphous (non-crystalline) material which is unable to be detected by XRD, or as crystalline mineral phases at abundances below the XRD detection limit.

⁴ Redox conditions are expressed in Pourbaix diagrams as redox potential (Eh) in units of volts. Eh values for groundwater samples are calculated from ORP measures collected in the field. Field ORP measurements were converted to Eh by adding +200 millivolts to correct for the Ag/AgCl electrode.

Likewise, dissolution of iron sulfide minerals such as pyrite would also result in the release of co-precipitated arsenic to groundwater (Raju 2022). Pyrite is not predicted to be stable under conditions in either the DA or the UA (**Figure 10**); however, pyrite was detected in aquifer solids within the DA. Dissolution of this pyrite is thermodynamically favored based on geochemical conditions at well G206D and constitutes an additional arsenic mobilization mechanism. The lack of detected pyrite at UA wells G215 and G200 partially explains the lower aqueous arsenic concentrations observed at these wells relative to G206D.

4. CONCLUSIONS

It has been demonstrated that the arsenic GWPS exceedance at G206D is not caused by a release from the GMF GSP CCR unit, but instead is attributed to a source other than the GMF GSP. The following summarizes the three LOEs used to support this demonstration:

1. GMF GSP UA compliance wells do not have arsenic GWPS exceedances or display increasing arsenic trends as would be expected if GMF GSP water was the source of detected arsenic concentrations at the deeper G206D. Further, GMF GSP CCR material contains low concentrations of arsenic in solid and aqueous phases.
2. The ionic composition of G206D groundwater is different than the ionic composition of GMF GSP source water and does not show geochemical or statistical influence of impact from the GMF GSP source water.

Consequently, if the geochemical signatures are different, then the source of arsenic is not the GMF GSP.

3. Site-specific aquifer solids analyses identified solid-phase arsenic at G206D and G275D which is associated with multiple reactive phases within the aquifer material. These phases are likely subject to natural processes of dissolution/alteration based on G206D groundwater conditions, indicating that mobilization of geogenic arsenic to deep aquifer groundwater is expected, consistent with academic studies completed in the region.

The alternative source of arsenic is naturally occurring arsenic in the glacial till which is mobilized to groundwater.

This demonstration meets the expectations in both 35 IAC 845.650(e) and the technical manual for the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill federal regulatory program (Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Section 258) that a statistically significant increase may result from natural variation in groundwater quality.

The information serves as the written ASD prepared in accordance with 35 IAC 845.650(e) demonstrating that the GWPS exceedance for arsenic at G206D is not due to the GMF GSP CCR unit. Therefore, implementation of corrective measures is not required for arsenic at the GMF GSP CCR unit.

5. REFERENCES

- Dixit S. and Hering J.G. 2003. Comparison of arsenic(V) and arsenic(III) sorption onto iron oxide minerals: implications for arsenic mobility. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 37 (2003), pp. 4182-4189.
- EPRI. 2017. *Guidelines for Development of Alternative Source Demonstrations at Coal Combustion Residual Sites*. Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, CA: 2017. 3002010920.
- Giménez J, Martínez M, de Pablo J, Rovira M, Duro L. Arsenic sorption onto natural hematite, magnetite, and goethite. *J Hazard Mater.* 2007 Mar 22;141(3):575-80.
- Gubler R. and Thomas-Arrigo L.K. Ferrous iron enhances arsenic sorption and oxidation by non-stoichiometric magnetite and maghemite, *J Hazard Mater.* Vol 402, 2021, 123425.
- Raju N. Arsenic in the geo-environment: A review of sources, geochemical processes, toxicity and removal technologies, *Environ. Research.* Vol 203, 2022, 111782.
- Ramboll. 2021. *Hydrogeologic Site Characterization Report. Coffeen Power Plant GMF Gypsum Stack Pond*. Ramboll Americas Engineering Solutions, Inc. October.
- Ramboll. 2024a. 35 I.A.C. § 845.610(b)(3)(D) *Groundwater Monitoring Data and Detected Exceedances – Quarter 1, 2024. GMF Gypsum Stack Pond, Coffeen Power Plant, Coffeen, Illinois*. Ramboll Americas Engineering Solutions, Inc. June.
- Ramboll. 2024b. 35 I.A.C. § 845 *Corrective Measures Assessment. GMF Gypsum Stack Pond, Coffeen Power Plant, Coffeen, Illinois*. Ramboll Americas Engineering Solutions, Inc. June.
- Smedley P.L. and Kinniburgh D.G. 2002. A review of the source, behaviour and distribution of arsenic in natural waters. *Appl. Geochem.*, 17 (2002), pp. 517-568.
- Sun J, Prommer H, Siade AJ, Chillrud SN, Mailloux BJ, Bostick BC. Model-Based Analysis of Arsenic Immobilization via Iron Mineral Transformation under Advective Flows. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2018 Aug 21;52(16):9243-9253.
- Tessier, A., P. G. C. Campbell, and M. Bisson. 1979. Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of particulate trace metals. *Analytical Chemistry* 5(7):844–851.
- Thomas, M.A., Schumann, T.L., and Pletsch, B.A., 2005, Arsenic in ground water in selected parts of southwestern Ohio, 2002–03: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005–5138, 30 p.
- USEPA. 1993. *Criteria for Solid Waste Disposal Facilities: A Guide for Owners/Operators*. United States Environmental Protection Agency. March.
- USEPA. 2014. “Human and Ecological Risk Assessment of Coal Combustion Residuals (2050-AE81)”. United States Environmental Protection Agency. December.
- Warner, K. L. 2001. Arsenic in glacial drift aquifers and the implication for drinking water – lower Illinois River Basin. *Ground Water*, 39, 3, 443-442.

Warner, K. L., Martin, A., & Arnold, T. L. 2003. Arsenic in Illinois ground water — community and private supplies. *Water-Resources Investigations Report, 2003-4103*.

TABLES

Table 1. Arsenic SEP Results Summary
Coffeen Power Plant - GMF Gypsum Stack Pond

Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.

Soil Boring Location	SB-206D	SB-206D	SB-275D	SB-275D	SB-215	SB200							
Sample Depth (ft bgs)	(45-47)	(56-57)	(46-48)	(50-53)	(23-24.5)	(14-15)							
Location	Downgradient	Downgradient	Downgradient	Downgradient	Downgradient	Background							
Aquifer	Deep Aquifer	Deep Aquifer	Deep Aquifer	Deep Aquifer	Upper Aquifer	Upper Aquifer							
Adjacent Well	G206D	G206D	G275D	G275D	G215	G200							
Field Boring Log Description	Stiff/Hard Dark Gray Clay	Dark Gray Clay, Staining	Stiff/Hard Dark Gray Clay	White/Gray Poorly Graded Sand	Very Silty Clay with Sand and Gravel	Gray Silty Clay							
Total Arsenic	4.4	8.4	5.3	4.5	21.0	7.5							
SEP Results													
SEP Fraction	SEP Reagent	Concentration	% of Total	Concentration	% of Total	Concentration	% of Total	Concentration	% of Total	Concentration	% of Total	Concentration	% of Total
Exchangeable Metals Fraction	MgSO ₄	<2.3	--	<2.4	--	<2.3	--	<2.1	--	<2.3	--	<2.4	--
Metals Bound to Carbonates Fraction	Sodium acetate, acetic acid	<1.7	--	<1.8	--	<1.7	--	<1.6	--	<1.7	--	<1.8	--
Non-crystalline Materials Fraction	Ammonium oxalate (pH 3)	1.0	18%	0.55 J	6%	1.2	12%	0.92	24%	0.30 J	2%	0.37 J	6%
Metals Bound to Crystalline Metal Hydroxide Fraction	Hydroxylamine HCl and acetic acid	1.00	18%	2.2	25%	0.66	7%	0.41 J	11%	0.55 J	4%	0.87	14%
Bound to Organic Material Fraction	5% sodium hypochlorite (pH 9.5)	<8.5	--	2.3 J	26%	2.7 J	27%	<7.8	--	3.2 J	25%	<8.8	--
Metals Bound to Acid/Sulfide Fraction	HNO ₃ , HCl, and H ₂ O	1.7	31%	1.6	18%	3.2	32%	1.5	38%	7.1	55%	3.3	54%
Residual Metals Fraction	HF, HNO ₃ , HCL, and H ₃ BO ₃	1.8	33%	2.0	23%	2.3	23%	1.1	28%	2.3	18%	1.6 B	26%
SEP Total		5.5	100%	8.7	100%	10	100%	3.9	100%	13	100%	6.1	100%

Notes:

SEP - sequential extraction procedure

ft bgs - feet below ground surface

All results shown in miligram of arsenic per kilogram of soil (mg/kg).

Total arsenic was analyzed using aqua regia digest, ICP-MS.

Non-detect values are shown as less than the reporting limit.

The arsenic fraction associated with each SEP phase is shown.

% of total arsenic is calculated from the sum of the SEP fractions.

B data qualifier - compound was found in the blank and sample.

Table 2 - Summary of X-Ray Diffraction Analysis
Coffeen Power Plant - GMF Gypsum Stack Pond

Field Boring Location			SB-206D	SB-206D	SB-275D	SB-275D	SB-215	SB-200
Sample Depth (ft bgs)			(45-47)	(56-57)	(46-48)	(50-53)	(23-24.5)	(14-15)
Location			Downgradient	Downgradient	Downgradient	Upgradient	Downgradient	Upgradient
Aquifer			Deep Aquifer	Deep Aquifer	Deep Aquifer	Deep Aquifer	Upper Aquifer	Upper Aquifer
Adjacent Well			G206D	G206D	G275D	G275D	G215	G200
Field Boring Log Description			Stiff/Hard Dark Gray Clay	Dark Gray Clay, Staining	Stiff/Hard Dark Gray Clay	White/Gray Poorly Graded Sand	Very Silty Clay with Sand and Gravel	Gray Silty Clay
Mineral/Compound	Formula	Mineral Type	(wt %)	(wt %)	(wt %)	(wt %)	(wt %)	(wt %)
Quartz	SiO ₂	Silicate	50.3	62.6	48.7	58.4	53.1	53.1
Albite	NaAlSi ₃ O ₈	Feldspar	7.9	8.4	10.3	6.2	9.0	8.0
Microcline	KAlSi ₃ O ₈	Feldspar	7.8	8.6	7.5	5.7	6.2	6.4
Calcite	CaCO ₃	Carbonate	3.4	--	2.9	4.3	4.5	3.3
Dolomite	CaMg(CO ₃) ₂	Carbonate	14.3	--	13.5	17.8	12.9	18.2
Actinolite	Ca ₂ (Mg,Fe) ₅ Si ₈ O ₂₂ (OH) ₂	Amphibole	0.2	--	0.1	0.0	--	--
Muscovite	KAl ₂ (AlSi ₃ O ₁₀)(OH) ₂	Mica	9.8	--	8.4	4.0	--	--
Kaolinite	Al ₂ Si ₂ O ₅ (OH) ₄	Clay	3.9	8.5	3.8	1.1	--	--
Chlorite	(Fe,(Mg,Mn) ₅ ,Al)(Si ₃ Al)O ₁₀ (OH) ₈	Clay	2.2	--	4.0	1.3	0.8	2.1
Montmorillonite	(Na,Ca) _{0.3} (Al,Mg) ₂ Si ₄ O ₁₀ (OH) ₂ ·10H ₂ O	Clay	--	3.8	--	--	--	--
Illite	K(Al,Mg,Fe) ₂ (Si,Al) ₄ O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	Clay	--	7.3	--	--	--	--
Pyrite	FeS ₂	Sulfide	0.1	--	0.2	0.1	--	--
Anatase	TiO ₂	Oxide	--	0.8	--	--	--	--
Hydroxylapatite	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ (OH)	Phosphate	--	--	0.7	1.1	--	--
Diopside	CaMgSi ₂ O ₆	Pyroxene	--	--	--	--	4.1	3.8
Ankerite	CaFe(CO ₃) ₂	Carbonate	--	--	--	--	4.3	5.2
Clay Minerals Total			6.1	19.6	7.8	2.4	0.8	2.1

Notes
Sample depth is shown in feet below ground surface (ft bgs).
wt %: percentage by weight

Table 3. Arsenic Groundwater Speciation Results Summary
Coffeen Power Plant - GMF Gypsum Stack Pond

Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.

Monitoring Well Location	G206D	
Location	Downgradient	
Sample Date	3/26/2024	
Arsenic Species	Concentration	% of Total
As(III) (Arsenite)	8.16	81%
As(V) (Arsenate)	0.905	9%
DMAs (Dimethylarsonate)	<0.050	--
MMAs (Monomethylarsenate)	<0.040	--
Unknown Arsenic Species	1.05	10%
Arsenic Total	10.1	100%


Notes:

All results shown in micrograms per liter (µg/L).

Non-detect values are shown as less than the method detection limit.

% of total arsenic is calculated from the sum of the arsenic speciation total.

FIGURES



Notes: Arsenic concentrations are shown in milligrams per liter (mg/L). Upgradient wells G200 and R201 are shown as dashed lines. UA wells are represented with circles and DA well G206D represented with square symbology.

Arsenic Time Series Graph


Coffeen GMF Gypsum Stack Pond

Geosyntec
consultants

Columbus, Ohio

July 2024

Figure
1



Note: Figure is modified from Warner, K. L., Martin, A., & Arnold, T. L. 2003. Arsenic in Illinois ground water — community and private supplies. *Water-Resources Investigations Report*, 2003-4103.

Estimated Illinois Arsenic Distribution


Coffee GMF Gypsum Stack Pond

Geosyntec
consultants

Figure
2

Columbus, Ohio

August 2024



Notes: Boron concentrations are shown in milligrams per liter (mg/L). Upgradient wells G200 and R201 are shown as dashed lines. UA wells are represented with circles and DA well G206D represented with square symbology.

Boron Time Series Graph


Coffeen GMF Gypsum Stack Pond

Geosyntec
consultants

Columbus, Ohio

July 2024

Figure
3



Notes: Upgradient UA wells G200 and R201 are shown as hollow symbols, DA well G206D is shown with blue symbology, and GMF GSP source water (i.e., NE Riser), is shown with red symbology. Black dashed lines represent mixing lines associated with variable proportion mixes between average NE Riser and background monitoring well groundwater compositions.

meq/kg: milliequivalents per kilogram

Piper Diagram


Coffeen GMF Gypsum Stack Pond

Geosyntec
consultants

Columbus, Ohio

August 2024

Figure
4



Notes:

1. Samples collected from (i) Uppermost Aquifer Unit wells G200, G206, G209, G212, G213, G215, G217, G218, R201, and (ii) Deep Aquifer Unit wells G206D and G275D.

PCA Analysis (Without Pore Water) – Quality of Representation of Principal Components


Coffeen GMF Recycle Pond

Geosyntec
consultants

Columbus, Ohio


July 2024

Figure
5



Notes: 1. The dashed red line represents the anticipated value for uniform contribution. The constituents with a contribution exceeding the reference line are considered significant in its contribution to each PC (principal component).	Contribution of Variables to First Two Principal Components (Without Pore Water) Coffeen GMF Gypsum Stack Pond	
	Geosyntec consultants	
	Columbus, Ohio	July 2024

Figure
6



Notes:

1. The arrows signify the correlations between the constituents and the principal components.
2. Deep Aquifer Unit = Orange
Uppermost Aquifer Unit = Dark Green
3. The background samples are represented with hollow symbols.
4. The ellipses contain data points within the 95% confidence interval and shows distinct clusters of Deep Aquifer samples (orange ellipse) and impacted G215 samples (dark green ellipse).


**Principal Component Analysis Biplot
(Without Pore Water)**
Coffeen GMF Gypsum Stack Pond

Geosyntec
consultants

Figure
7

Columbus, Ohio

July 2024



Notes: Groundwater concentrations of major cations and anions at G206D collected in 2024 were used to establish baseline conditions for the diagram. Q1 2024 data appeared anomalous based on the historical range of ORP values, so Q2 2024 field data are shown instead. While G275D is located in the GMF Recycle Pond, it is screened within the deep aquifer, similar to G206D. Diagram species above the dashed blue line represent As(V) species, whereas species below the dashed line are As (III) species.

Arsenic Eh-pH Diagram


Coffeen GMF Gypsum Stack Pond

Geosyntec
consultants

Columbus, Ohio

August 2024

Figure
9



Notes: Groundwater concentrations of major cations and anions at G206D collected in 2024 were used to establish baseline conditions for the diagram. Q1 2024 data appeared anomalous based on the historical range of ORP values, so Q2 2024 field data are shown instead. While G275D is located near the GMF Recycle Pond, it is screened within the deep aquifer, similar to G206D.

Iron Eh-pH Diagram

Coffeen GMF Gypsum Stack Pond

Geosyntec
consultants


Columbus, Ohio

August 2024

Figure
10

ATTACHMENT 1


Relevant Sampling Locations



Legend

-  Background
-  Compliance
-  Source Sample
-  Staff Gauge

Note:
-G275D is not associated with the Gypsum Stack Pond monitoring well network.



Relevant Sampling Locations

Coffeen Power Plant
134 Cips Lane
Coffeen, Illinois

Geosyntec
consultants

St. Louis


August 2024

Figure





1

ATTACHMENT 2

Geologic Cross Section



- ## NOTES
1. This profile was developed by interpolation between widely spaced boreholes. Only at the borehole location should it be considered as an approximately accurate representation and then only to the degree implied by the notes on the borehole logs.
 2. Scale is approximate.
 3. Vertical scale is exaggerated 10X.
 4. Groundwater elevations measured on July 26, 2021.



GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTIONS


FIGURE 2-7

HYDROGEOLOGIC SITE CHARACTERIZATION REPORT
GMF GYPSUM STACK POND
 COFFEEN POWER PLANT
 COFFEEN, ILLINOIS

RAMBOLL AMERICAS
ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.



ATTACHMENT 3
Uppermost Aquifer Potentiometric Surface Map
– February 12 and 13, 2024



- COMPLIANCE MONITORING WELL

BACKGROUND MONITORING WELL

MONITORING WELL

PORE WATER WELL

CCR SOURCEWATER SAMPLE

STAFF GAGE, CCR UNIT

STAFF GAGE, RIVER
- LEACHATE WELL

GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR (2-FT CONTOUR INTERVAL, NAVD88)

INFERRED GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR

GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION

REGULATED UNIT (SUBJECT UNIT)

SITE FEATURE

PROPERTY BOUNDARY



NOTES:
1. ELEVATIONS IN PARENTHESES WERE NOT USED FOR CONTOURING.
2. ELEVATION CONTOURS SHOWN IN FEET, NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD88)

POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE MAP
FEBRUARY 12 AND 13, 2024

2024 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING
AND CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT
GMF GYPSUM STACK POND
COFFEEN POWER PLANT
COFFEEN, ILLINOIS

RAMBOLL AMERICAS
ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.



ATTACHMENT 4
CCR Solids Data Summary Table and Laboratory
Analytical Report

TABLE 2-2. CCR ANALYTICAL RESULTS
HYDROGEOLOGIC SITE CHARACTERIZATION REPORT
COFFEEN POWER PLANT
GMF GYPSUM STACK POND
COFFEEN, ILLINOIS

Sample Location	Sample Depth (ft BGS)	Sample Date	Antimony (mg/kg)	Arsenic (mg/kg)	Barium (mg/kg)	Beryllium (mg/kg)	Boron (mg/kg)	Cadmium (mg/kg)	Calcium (mg/kg)	Chloride (mg/kg)	Chromium (mg/kg)	Cobalt (mg/kg)	Fluoride (mg/kg)	Lead (mg/kg)	Lithium (mg/kg)	Mercury (mg/kg)	Molybdenum (mg/kg)	Selenium (mg/kg)	Sulfate (mg/kg)	Thallium (mg/kg)
GSP Gypsum 1	0-0	01/29/2021	<1.5	<0.51	6.6	<0.51	13	<0.51	--	25	<2	<1	13	0.67	<2.6	<0.1	1.2	<0.51	19000	<0.51
GSP Gypsum 2	0-0	03/09/2021	<3	<1	13	<1	<10	<1	130000	260	<4	<2	7.6	<1	<5	--	<1	<1	15000	<1

Notes:
< = concentration is less than the concentration shown, which corresponds to the reporting limit for the method.
-- = data not available
BGS = below ground surface
CCR = coal combustion residuals
ft = feet
mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

generated 10/05/2021, 2:11:53 PM CDT



February 23, 2021

Rhonald Hasenyager
Hanson Professional Services, Inc.
1525 South Sixth Street
Springfield, IL 62703-2886

RE: HANSON VISTRA SOIL

Dear Rhonald Hasenyager:

Please find enclosed the analytical results for the **6** sample(s) the laboratory received on **1/29/21 4:12 pm** and logged in under work order **EA04870**. All testing is performed according to our current TNI accreditations unless otherwise noted. This report cannot be reproduced, except in full, without the written permission of PDC Laboratories, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding your report, please contact your project manager. Quality and timely data is of the utmost importance to us.

PDC Laboratories, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to provide you with analytical expertise. We are always trying to improve our customer service and we welcome you to contact the Director of Client Services, Lisa Grant, with any feedback you have about your experience with our laboratory at 309-683-1764 or lgrant@pdclab.com.

Sincerely,

Gail Schindler
Project Manager
(309) 692-9688 x1716
gschindler@pdclab.com



**SAMPLE RECEIPT CHECK LIST**

Items not applicable will be marked as in compliance

Work Order EA04870

YES	Samples received within temperature compliance when applicable
YES	COC present upon sample receipt
YES	COC completed & legible
YES	Sampler name & signature present
YES	Unique sample IDs assigned
YES	Sample collection location recorded
YES	Date & time collected recorded on COC
YES	Relinquished by client signature on COC
YES	COC & labels match
YES	Sample labels are legible
YES	Appropriate bottle(s) received
YES	Sufficient sample volume received
YES	Sample containers recieved undamaged
NO	Zero headspace, <6 mm present in VOA vials
NO	Trip blank(s) received
YES	All non-field analyses received within holding times
NO	Short hold time analysis
YES	Current PDC COC submitted
NO	Case narrative provided



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EA04870-01
Name: G275D - S1
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

Miscellaneous - A & L Great Lakes Laboratory

Cation Exchange Capacity - subcontracted	22.95	meq/100g			1	1			Subcontracted
--	-------	----------	--	--	---	---	--	--	---------------

Sample: EA04870-02
Name: G275D - S2
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:30
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

Miscellaneous - A & L Great Lakes Laboratory

Cation Exchange Capacity - subcontracted	7.93	meq/100g			1	1			Subcontracted
--	------	----------	--	--	---	---	--	--	---------------

Sample: EA04870-03
Name: G275D - S3
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

Miscellaneous - A & L Great Lakes Laboratory

Cation Exchange Capacity - subcontracted	9.25	meq/100g			1	1			Subcontracted
--	------	----------	--	--	---	---	--	--	---------------

Sample: EA04870-04
Name: G275D - S3
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

Miscellaneous - A & L Great Lakes Laboratory

Cation Exchange Capacity - subcontracted	9.63	meq/100g			1	1			Subcontracted
--	------	----------	--	--	---	---	--	--	---------------



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EA04870-06
Name: GYPSUM
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:15
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

Miscellaneous - A & L Great Lakes Laboratory

Cation Exchange Capacity - subcontracted	0.41	meq/100g			1	1			Subcontracted
--	------	----------	--	--	---	---	--	--	---------------

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EA04870-01
Name: G275D - S1
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

General Chemistry - Eurofins Eaton Analytical, Inc. - Lancaster, PA

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	603	mg/kg			1.37	411	02/10/21 15:53		SM 5310C 2000
----------------------------	-----	-------	--	--	------	-----	----------------	--	---------------

Sample: EA04870-02
Name: G275D - S2
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:30
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

General Chemistry - Eurofins Eaton Analytical, Inc. - Lancaster, PA

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	11200	mg/kg			6.62	1990	02/11/21 18:38		SM 5310C 2000
----------------------------	-------	-------	--	--	------	------	----------------	--	---------------

Sample: EA04870-03
Name: G275D - S3
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

General Chemistry - Eurofins Eaton Analytical, Inc. - Lancaster, PA

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	10900	mg/kg			10.08	3020	02/11/21 18:51		SM 5310C 2000
----------------------------	-------	-------	--	--	-------	------	----------------	--	---------------



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EA04870-04
Name: G275D - S3
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

General Chemistry - Eurofins Eaton Analytical, Inc. - Lancaster, PA

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	13500	mg/kg			9.12	2740	02/11/21 19:04		SM 5310C 2000
----------------------------	-------	-------	--	--	------	------	----------------	--	---------------

Sample: EA04870-06
Name: GYPSUM
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:15
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

General Chemistry - Eurofins Eaton Analytical, Inc. - Lancaster, PA

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	184 J	mg/kg	J		1.33	399	02/10/21 17:47		SM 5310C 2000
----------------------------	-------	-------	---	--	------	-----	----------------	--	---------------

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EA04870-01
Name: G275D - S1
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

Miscellaneous - Pace Analytical - Mt Juliet, Tn

Radium 226 - subcontracted	0.376	pCi/g dry wt			1	0.0478	02/20/21 11:20		Subcontracted
Radium 228 - subcontracted	0.653	pCi/g dry wt			1	0.401	02/17/21 10:35		Subcontracted

Sample: EA04870-02
Name: G275D - S2
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:30
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
-----------	--------	------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	----------	---------	--------

Miscellaneous - Pace Analytical - Mt Juliet, Tn

Radium 226 - subcontracted	0.402	pCi/g dry wt			1	0.0888	02/20/21 11:20		Subcontracted
Radium 228 - subcontracted	1.34	pCi/g dry wt			1	0.383	02/17/21 10:35		Subcontracted



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EA04870-03
Name: G275D - S3
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
Miscellaneous - Pace Analytical - Mt Juliet, Tn									
Radium 226 - subcontracted	0.445	pCi/g dry wt			1	0.074	02/20/21 11:20		Subcontracted
Radium 228 - subcontracted	0.807	pCi/g dry wt			1	0.402	02/17/21 10:35		Subcontracted

Sample: EA04870-04
Name: G275D - S3
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
Miscellaneous - Pace Analytical - Mt Juliet, Tn									
Radium 226 - subcontracted	0.606	pCi/g dry wt			1	0.0671	02/20/21 11:20		Subcontracted
Radium 228 - subcontracted	0.726	pCi/g dry wt			1	0.422	02/17/21 10:35		Subcontracted

Sample: EA04870-06
Name: GYPSUM
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:15
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
Miscellaneous - Pace Analytical - Mt Juliet, Tn									
Radium 226 - subcontracted	0.212	pCi/g dry wt			1	0.0682	02/20/21 11:20		Subcontracted
Radium 228 - subcontracted	-0.226	pCi/g dry wt	U		1	0.388	02/17/21 10:35		Subcontracted

ANALYTICAL RESULTS



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EA04870-01
Name: G275D - S1
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
Anions - PIA									
Chloride	< 10	mg/kg		02/04/21 17:23	1	10	02/04/21 17:23	CRD	EPA 9056A
Sulfate	50	mg/kg		02/04/21 17:23	1	10	02/04/21 17:23	CRD	EPA 9056A
General Chemistry - PIA									
Fluoride	< 2.5	mg/kg		02/04/21 16:42	1	2.5	02/04/21 16:42	TTH	SM 4500F C 1997
Total Nitrogen	300	mg/kg dry		02/04/21 08:00	1	58	02/05/21 10:23	CRS1	(calc)
Metals by ICP-MS - PIA									
Iron as Fe2O3	22000	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	41	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	calculated
Manganese as MnO2	180	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.5	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	calculated
Nutrients - PIA									
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	1.4	mg/kg		02/03/21 13:42	1	0.20	02/03/21 13:42	CJP	EPA 353.2 REV 2
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	260	mg/kg		02/04/21 08:00	1	50	02/05/21 10:23	CRS1	EPA 351.2 REV 2*
Total Metals - PIA									
Antimony	< 2.9	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	2.9	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Arsenic	4.3	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	0.95	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Barium	47	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	0.95	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Beryllium	< 0.95	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	0.95	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Boron	< 9.5	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	9.5	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A*
Cadmium	< 0.95	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	0.95	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Chromium	14	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	3.8	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Cobalt	6.3	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.9	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Iron	16000	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	29	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A*
Lead	13	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	0.95	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Manganese	110	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	0.95	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Molybdenum	< 0.95	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	0.95	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Selenium	0.96	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	0.95	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Thallium	< 0.95	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	0.95	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Mercury	< 0.19	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	0.19	02/05/21 15:03	JMW	EPA 6020A
Lithium	6.7	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	1	4.8	02/05/21 13:50	TJJ	EPA 6010B*
Sulfur	24	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	1	9.5	02/04/21 11:48	TJJ	EPA 6010B*



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EA04870-02
Name: G275D - S2
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:30
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
Anions - PIA									
Chloride	< 10	mg/kg		02/04/21 18:00	1	10	02/04/21 18:00	CRD	EPA 9056A
Sulfate	20	mg/kg		02/04/21 18:00	1	10	02/04/21 18:00	CRD	EPA 9056A
General Chemistry - PIA									
Fluoride	< 2.5	mg/kg		02/04/21 16:53	1	2.5	02/04/21 16:53	TTH	SM 4500F C 1997
Total Nitrogen	270	mg/kg dry		02/04/21 08:00	1	56	02/05/21 10:24	CRS1	(calc)
Metals by ICP-MS - PIA									
Iron as Fe2O3	14000	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	43	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	calculated
Manganese as MnO2	310	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.6	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	calculated
Nutrients - PIA									
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	< 0.20	mg/kg		02/03/21 13:41	1	0.20	02/03/21 13:41	CJP	EPA 353.2 REV 2
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	240	mg/kg		02/04/21 08:00	1	50	02/05/21 10:24	CRS1	EPA 351.2 REV 2*
Total Metals - PIA									
Antimony	< 3.0	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	3.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Arsenic	2.1	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Barium	63	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Beryllium	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Boron	< 10	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	10	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A*
Cadmium	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Chromium	11	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	4.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Cobalt	4.2	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	2.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Iron	9900	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	30	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A*
Lead	7.2	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Manganese	190	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Molybdenum	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Selenium	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Thallium	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	1.0	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Mercury	< 0.20	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	10	0.20	02/05/21 15:06	JMW	EPA 6020A
Lithium	12	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	1	5.0	02/05/21 13:51	TJJ	EPA 6010B*
Sulfur	66	mg/kg		02/04/21 07:36	1	10	02/04/21 11:50	TJJ	EPA 6010B*



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EA04870-03
Name: G275D - S3
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
Anions - PIA									
Chloride	< 10	mg/kg		02/04/21 18:18	1	10	02/04/21 18:18	CRD	EPA 9056A
Sulfate	48	mg/kg		02/04/21 18:18	1	10	02/04/21 18:18	CRD	EPA 9056A
General Chemistry - PIA									
Fluoride	< 2.5	mg/kg		02/04/21 16:55	1	2.5	02/04/21 16:55	TTH	SM 4500F C 1997
Total Nitrogen	370	mg/kg dry		02/04/21 08:00	1	57	02/05/21 10:25	CRS1	(calc)
Metals by ICP-MS - PIA									
Iron as Fe2O3	12000	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	43	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	calculated
Manganese as MnO2	370	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.6	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	calculated
Nutrients - PIA									
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	0.29	mg/kg		02/03/21 13:43	1	0.20	02/03/21 13:43	CJP	EPA 353.2 REV 2
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	330	mg/kg		02/04/21 08:00	1	50	02/05/21 10:25	CRS1	EPA 351.2 REV 2*
Total Metals - PIA									
Antimony	< 3.0	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	3.0	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A
Arsenic	2.6	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A
Barium	53	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A
Beryllium	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 12:18	KMC	EPA 6020A
Boron	< 10	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	10	02/10/21 12:18	KMC	EPA 6020A*
Cadmium	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A
Chromium	9.1	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	4.0	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A
Cobalt	4.3	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	2.0	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A
Iron	8200	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	30	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A*
Lead	6.7	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 12:18	KMC	EPA 6020A
Manganese	240	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A
Molybdenum	1.0	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A
Selenium	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A
Thallium	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A
Mercury	< 0.20	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.20	02/10/21 10:06	wjm	EPA 6020A
Lithium	7.7	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	1	5.0	02/10/21 09:48	TJJ	EPA 6010B*
Sulfur	640	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	1	10	02/11/21 14:46	tjj	EPA 6010B*



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EA04870-04
Name: G275D - S3
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
Anions - PIA									
Chloride	< 10	mg/kg		02/04/21 19:30	1	10	02/04/21 19:30	CRD	EPA 9056A
Sulfate	42	mg/kg	Q4	02/04/21 19:30	1	10	02/04/21 19:30	CRD	EPA 9056A
General Chemistry - PIA									
Fluoride	3.1	mg/kg		02/04/21 16:56	1	2.5	02/04/21 16:56	TTH	SM 4500F C 1997
Total Nitrogen	410	mg/kg dry		02/04/21 08:00	1	56	02/05/21 10:26	CRS1	(calc)
Metals by ICP-MS - PIA									
Iron as Fe2O3	7400	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	43	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	calculated
Manganese as MnO2	400	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.6	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	calculated
Nutrients - PIA									
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	0.23	mg/kg		02/03/21 13:32	1	0.20	02/03/21 13:32	CJP	EPA 353.2 REV 2
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	360	mg/kg	Q3	02/04/21 08:00	1	50	02/05/21 10:26	CRS1	EPA 351.2 REV 2*
Total Metals - PIA									
Antimony	< 3.0	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	3.0	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A
Arsenic	< 1.0	mg/kg	Q3	02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A
Barium	16	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A
Beryllium	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 12:22	KMC	EPA 6020A
Boron	< 10	mg/kg	R	02/09/21 14:58	10	10	02/10/21 12:22	KMC	EPA 6020A*
Cadmium	< 1.0	mg/kg	R	02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A
Chromium	5.6	mg/kg	R	02/09/21 14:58	10	4.0	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A
Cobalt	3.9	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	2.0	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A
Iron	5200	mg/kg	Q4	02/09/21 14:58	10	30	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A*
Lead	6.7	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 12:22	KMC	EPA 6020A
Manganese	250	mg/kg	Q4	02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A
Molybdenum	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A
Selenium	< 1.0	mg/kg	Q3	02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A
Thallium	< 1.0	mg/kg	R	02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A
Mercury	< 0.20	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.20	02/10/21 10:10	wjm	EPA 6020A
Lithium	5.1	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	1	5.0	02/10/21 09:50	TJJ	EPA 6010B*
Sulfur	390	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	1	10	02/11/21 14:48	tjj	EPA 6010B*



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EA04870-06
Name: GYPSUM
Matrix: Soil - Composite

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:15
Received: 01/29/21 16:12

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
Anions - PIA									
Chloride	25	mg/kg		02/08/21 17:23	10	10	02/08/21 17:23	CRD	EPA 9056A
Sulfate	19000	mg/kg		02/12/21 14:49	250	2500	02/12/21 14:49	CRD	EPA 9056A
General Chemistry - PIA									
Fluoride	13	mg/kg	Q3	02/04/21 16:44	1	2.5	02/04/21 16:44	TTH	SM 4500F C 1997
Total Nitrogen	1400	mg/kg dry		02/04/21 08:00	1	87	02/05/21 10:29	CRS1	(calc)
Metals by ICP-MS - PIA									
Iron as Fe2O3	370	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	22	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	calculated
Manganese as MnO2	43	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.81	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	calculated
Nutrients - PIA									
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	6.3	mg/kg		02/03/21 13:44	1	0.20	02/03/21 13:44	CJP	EPA 353.2 REV 2
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	820	mg/kg		02/04/21 08:00	1	50	02/05/21 10:29	CRS1	EPA 351.2 REV 2*
Total Metals - PIA									
Antimony	< 1.5	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.5	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	EPA 6020A
Arsenic	< 0.51	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.51	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	EPA 6020A
Barium	6.6	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.51	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	EPA 6020A
Beryllium	< 0.51	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.51	02/10/21 13:13	KMC	EPA 6020A
Boron	13	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	5.1	02/10/21 13:13	KMC	EPA 6020A*
Cadmium	< 0.51	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.51	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	EPA 6020A
Chromium	< 2.0	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	2.0	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	EPA 6020A
Cobalt	< 1.0	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	1.0	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	EPA 6020A
Iron	260	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	15	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	EPA 6020A*
Lead	0.67	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.51	02/10/21 13:13	KMC	EPA 6020A
Manganese	27	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.51	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	EPA 6020A
Molybdenum	1.2	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.51	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	EPA 6020A
Selenium	< 0.51	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.51	02/10/21 10:47	wjm	EPA 6020A
Thallium	< 0.51	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.51	02/10/21 13:13	KMC	EPA 6020A
Mercury	< 0.10	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	10	0.10	02/10/21 13:13	KMC	EPA 6020A
Lithium	< 2.6	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	1	2.6	02/10/21 09:51	TJJ	EPA 6010B*
Sulfur	30000	mg/kg		02/09/21 14:58	100	510	02/15/21 15:36	AMB	EPA 6010B*



NOTES

Specifications regarding method revisions and method modifications used for analysis are available upon request. Please contact your project manager.

* Not a TNI accredited analyte

Certifications

CHI - McHenry, IL - 4314-A W. Crystal Lake Road, McHenry, IL 60050

TNI Accreditation for Drinking Water and Wastewater Fields of Testing through IL EPA Accreditation No. 100279

Illinois Department of Public Health Bacterial Analysis in Drinking Water Approved Laboratory Registry No. 17556

PIA - Peoria, IL - 2231 W. Altorfer Drive, Peoria, IL 61615

TNI Accreditation for Drinking Water, Wastewater, Solid and Hazardous Material Fields of Testing through IL EPA Accreditation No. 100230

Illinois Department of Public Health Bacterial Analysis in Drinking Water Approved Laboratory Registry No. 17553

Drinking Water Certifications/Accreditations: Iowa (240); Kansas (E-10338); Missouri (870)

Wastewater Certifications/Accreditations: Arkansas (88-0677); Iowa (240); Kansas (E-10338)

Solid and Hazardous Material Certifications/Accreditations: Arkansas (88-0677); Iowa (240); Kansas (E-10338)

SPMO - Springfield, MO - 1805 W Sunset Street, Springfield, MO 65807

USEPA DMR-QA Program

STL - Hazelwood, MO - 944 Anglum Rd, Hazelwood, MO 63042

TNI Accreditation for Wastewater, Solid and Hazardous Material Fields of Testing through KS KDHE Certification No. E-10389

TNI Accreditation for Wastewater, Solid and Hazardous Material Fields of Testing through IL EPA Accreditation No. - 200080

Illinois Department of Public Health Bacterial Analysis in Drinking Water Approved Laboratory, Registry No. 171050

Missouri Department of Natural Resources - Certificate of Approval for Microbiological Laboratory Service - No. 1050

Qualifiers

- Q3 Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate both failed % recovery acceptance limits. The associated blank spike recovery was acceptable.
- Q4 The matrix spike recovery result is unusable since the analyte concentration in the sample is greater than four times the spike level. The associated blank spike was acceptable.
- R Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Failed %Relative Percent Difference criterion.



Certified by: Gail Schindler, Project Manager

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Env, LLC
2425 New Holland Pike
Lancaster, PA 17601
Tel: (717)656-2300

Laboratory Job ID: 410-28227-1
Client Project/Site: EA04870

For:
PDC Laboratories, Inc.
2231 W. Altorfer Drive
Peoria, Illinois 61615

Attn: Gail Schindler



Authorized for release by:
2/12/2021 10:07:09 AM

Marissa Williams, Project Manager
(717)556-7246
Marissa.Williams@eurofinset.com

LINKS

Review your project
results through
Total Access

Have a Question?



Visit us at:

www.eurofinsus.com/Env

The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC, 2009 TNI, and 2016 TNI requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.



Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (e.g., NELAC (TNI), DoD, and ISO 17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis. Data qualifiers are applied to note exceptions. Noncompliant quality control (QC) is further explained in narrative comments.

- QC results that exceed the upper limits and are associated with non-detect samples are qualified but further narration is not required since the bias is high and does not change a non-detect result. Further narration is also not required with QC blank detection when the associated sample concentration is non-detect or more than ten times the level in the blank.
 - Matrix QC may not be reported if insufficient sample or site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD is performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.
 - Surrogate and/or isotope dilution analyte recoveries (if applicable) which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to a dilution or otherwise noted in the narrative.
- Regulated compliance samples (e.g. SDWA, NPDES) must comply with the associated agency requirements/permits.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.

Test results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff. Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" and tested in the laboratory are not performed within 15 minutes of collection.


This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. The foregoing express warranty is exclusive and is given in lieu of all other warranties, expressed or implied, except as otherwise agreed. We disclaim any other warranties, expressed or implied, including a warranty of fitness for particular purpose and warranty of merchantability. In no event shall Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental, LLC be liable for indirect, special, consequential, or incidental damages including, but not limited to, damages for loss of profit or goodwill regardless of (A) the negligence (either sole or concurrent) of Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental and (B) whether Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental has been informed of the possibility of such damages. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. Except as otherwise agreed, no purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

Marrison Williams
Project Manager
2/12/2021 10:07:10 AM

Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	3
Definitions/Glossary	4
Case Narrative	5
Detection Summary	6
Client Sample Results	7
QC Sample Results	8
QC Association Summary	9
Lab Chronicle	10
Certification Summary	11
Method Summary	12
Sample Summary	13
Chain of Custody	14
Receipt Checklists	16



Definitions/Glossary

Client: PDC Laboratories, Inc.
Project/Site: EA04870

Job ID: 410-28227-1

Qualifiers

General Chemistry

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
□	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
1C	Result is from the primary column on a dual-column method.
2C	Result is from the confirmation column on a dual-column method.
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
MPN	Most Probable Number
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
NEG	Negative / Absent
POS	Positive / Present
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PRES	Presumptive
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count

Case Narrative

Client: PDC Laboratories, Inc.
Project/Site: EA04870

Job ID: 410-28227-1

Job ID: 410-28227-1

Laboratory: Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Env, LLC

Narrative

Job Narrative 410-28227-1

Receipt

The samples were received on 2/2/2021 11:46 AM. Unless otherwise noted below, the samples arrived in good condition, and, where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt time was 0.3°C

General Chemistry

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/ Glossary page.



Detection Summary

Client: PDC Laboratories, Inc.
Project/Site: EA04870

Job ID: 410-28227-1

Client Sample ID: EA04870-1 G275D-S1

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Total Organic Carbon	603		411	137	mg/Kg	1.37		Lloyd Kahn	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: EA04870-2 G275D-S2

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Total Organic Carbon	11200		1990	662	mg/Kg	6.62		Lloyd Kahn	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: EA04870-3 G275D-S3

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Total Organic Carbon	10900		3020	1010	mg/Kg	10.08		Lloyd Kahn	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: EA04870-4 G275D-S1

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Total Organic Carbon	13500		2740	912	mg/Kg	9.12		Lloyd Kahn	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: EA04870-6 GYPSUM

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Total Organic Carbon	184	J	399	133	mg/Kg	1.33		Lloyd Kahn	Total/NA

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Env, LLC

Client Sample Results

Client: PDC Laboratories, Inc.
Project/Site: EA04870

Job ID: 410-28227-1

Client Sample ID: EA04870-1 G275D-S1

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-1

Date Collected: 01/28/21 16:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 02/02/21 11:46

General Chemistry

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Organic Carbon	603		411	137	mg/Kg			02/10/21 15:53	1.37
Percent Moisture	16.9		1.0	1.0	%			02/03/21 10:39	1
Percent Solids	83.1		1.0	1.0	%			02/03/21 10:39	1

Client Sample ID: EA04870-2 G275D-S2

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-2

Date Collected: 01/28/21 16:30

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 02/02/21 11:46

General Chemistry

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Organic Carbon	11200		1990	662	mg/Kg			02/11/21 18:38	6.62
Percent Moisture	18.6		1.0	1.0	%			02/03/21 10:39	1
Percent Solids	81.4		1.0	1.0	%			02/03/21 10:39	1

Client Sample ID: EA04870-3 G275D-S3

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-3

Date Collected: 01/28/21 11:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 02/02/21 11:46

General Chemistry

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Organic Carbon	10900		3020	1010	mg/Kg			02/11/21 18:51	10.08
Percent Moisture	18.3		1.0	1.0	%			02/03/21 10:39	1
Percent Solids	81.7		1.0	1.0	%			02/03/21 10:39	1

Client Sample ID: EA04870-4 G275D-S1

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-4

Date Collected: 01/28/21 11:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 02/02/21 11:46

General Chemistry

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Organic Carbon	13500		2740	912	mg/Kg			02/11/21 19:04	9.12
Percent Moisture	19.7		1.0	1.0	%			02/03/21 10:44	1
Percent Solids	80.3		1.0	1.0	%			02/03/21 10:44	1

Client Sample ID: EA04870-6 GYPSUM

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-5

Date Collected: 01/28/21 11:15

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 02/02/21 11:46

General Chemistry

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Organic Carbon	184	J	399	133	mg/Kg			02/10/21 17:47	1.33
Percent Moisture	39.4		1.0	1.0	%			02/03/21 10:39	1
Percent Solids	60.6		1.0	1.0	%			02/03/21 10:39	1

QC Sample Results

Client: PDC Laboratories, Inc.
Project/Site: EA04870

Job ID: 410-28227-1

Method: Lloyd Kahn - Organic Carbon, Total (TOC)

Lab Sample ID: MB 410-93317/22

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 93317

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Organic Carbon	ND		300	100	mg/Kg			02/10/21 19:03	1

Lab Sample ID: MB 410-93317/3

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 93317

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Organic Carbon	ND		300	100	mg/Kg			02/10/21 15:02	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 410-93317/23

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 93317

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Total Organic Carbon	4300	4595		mg/Kg		107	47 - 143

Lab Sample ID: LCS 410-93317/4

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 93317

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Total Organic Carbon	4300	3708		mg/Kg		86	47 - 143

Lab Sample ID: MB 410-93774/3

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 93774

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Organic Carbon	ND		300	100	mg/Kg			02/11/21 17:35	1

Lab Sample ID: MB 410-93774/31

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 93774

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Organic Carbon	ND		300	100	mg/Kg			02/11/21 23:30	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 410-93774/32

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 93774

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Total Organic Carbon	4300	3789		mg/Kg		88	47 - 143

Lab Sample ID: LCS 410-93774/4

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 93774

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Total Organic Carbon	4300	3038		mg/Kg		71	47 - 143

Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Env, LLC

QC Association Summary

Client: PDC Laboratories, Inc.
Project/Site: EA04870

Job ID: 410-28227-1

General Chemistry

Analysis Batch: 90493

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
410-28227-1	EA04870-1 G275D-S1	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
410-28227-2	EA04870-2 G275D-S2	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
410-28227-3	EA04870-3 G275D-S3	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
410-28227-5	EA04870-6 GYPSUM	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	

Analysis Batch: 90496

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
410-28227-4	EA04870-4 G275D-S1	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	

Analysis Batch: 93317

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
410-28227-1	EA04870-1 G275D-S1	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
410-28227-1	EA04870-1 G275D-S1	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
410-28227-1	EA04870-1 G275D-S1	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
410-28227-1	EA04870-1 G275D-S1	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
410-28227-5	EA04870-6 GYPSUM	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
MB 410-93317/22	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
MB 410-93317/3	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
LCS 410-93317/23	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
LCS 410-93317/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	

Analysis Batch: 93774

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
410-28227-2	EA04870-2 G275D-S2	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
410-28227-3	EA04870-3 G275D-S3	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
410-28227-4	EA04870-4 G275D-S1	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
MB 410-93774/3	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
MB 410-93774/31	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
LCS 410-93774/32	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	
LCS 410-93774/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	Lloyd Kahn	

Lab Chronicle

Client: PDC Laboratories, Inc.
Project/Site: EA04870

Job ID: 410-28227-1

Client Sample ID: EA04870-1 G275D-S1

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-1

Date Collected: 01/28/21 16:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 02/02/21 11:46

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	Lloyd Kahn		1.38	93317	02/10/21 15:27	NKL9	ELLE
Total/NA	Analysis	Lloyd Kahn		1.38	93317	02/10/21 15:40	NKL9	ELLE
Total/NA	Analysis	Lloyd Kahn		1.37	93317	02/10/21 15:53	NKL9	ELLE
Total/NA	Analysis	Lloyd Kahn		1.37	93317	02/10/21 16:05	NKL9	ELLE
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	90493	02/03/21 10:39	UVJN	ELLE

Client Sample ID: EA04870-2 G275D-S2

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-2

Date Collected: 01/28/21 16:30

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 02/02/21 11:46

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	Lloyd Kahn		6.62	93774	02/11/21 18:38	NKL9	ELLE
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	90493	02/03/21 10:39	UVJN	ELLE

Client Sample ID: EA04870-3 G275D-S3

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-3

Date Collected: 01/28/21 11:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 02/02/21 11:46

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	Lloyd Kahn		10.08	93774	02/11/21 18:51	NKL9	ELLE
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	90493	02/03/21 10:39	UVJN	ELLE

Client Sample ID: EA04870-4 G275D-S1

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-4

Date Collected: 01/28/21 11:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 02/02/21 11:46

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	Lloyd Kahn		9.12	93774	02/11/21 19:04	NKL9	ELLE
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	90496	02/03/21 10:44	USA6	ELLE

Client Sample ID: EA04870-6 GYPSUM

Lab Sample ID: 410-28227-5

Date Collected: 01/28/21 11:15

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 02/02/21 11:46

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	Lloyd Kahn		1.33	93317	02/10/21 17:47	NKL9	ELLE
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	90493	02/03/21 10:39	UVJN	ELLE

Laboratory References:

ELLE = Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Env, LLC, 2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601, TEL (717)656-2300

Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Env, LLC

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: PDC Laboratories, Inc.
Project/Site: EA04870

Job ID: 410-28227-1

Laboratory: Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Env, LLC

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
A2LA	Dept. of Defense ELAP	1.01	11-30-22
Alaska	State	PA00009	06-30-21
Alaska (UST)	State	17-027	01-31-21 *
Arizona	State	AZ0780	03-12-21
Arkansas DEQ	State	19-053-0	08-09-21
California	State	2792	02-01-22
Colorado	State	PA00009	06-30-21
Connecticut	State	PH-0746	06-30-21
DE Haz. Subst. Cleanup Act (HSCA)	State	019-006 (PA cert)	01-31-22
Delaware (DW)	State	N/A	02-01-22
Florida	NELAP	E87997	07-01-21
Hawaii	State	N/A	01-31-22
Iowa	State	361	03-02-22
Kansas	NELAP	E-10151	10-31-21
Kentucky (DW)	State	KY90088	01-01-22
Kentucky (WW)	State	KY90088	12-31-21
Louisiana	NELAP	02055	06-30-21
Maine	State	2019012	03-12-21
Maryland	State	100	06-30-21
Massachusetts	State	M-PA009	06-30-21
Minnesota	NELAP	042-999-487	12-31-21
Missouri	State	450	01-31-22
Montana (DW)	State	0098	01-01-22
Montana (UST)	State	0098	01-01-22
Nebraska	State	NE-OS-32-17	01-31-20 *
Nevada	State	PA000092019-3	07-31-21
New Hampshire	NELAP	273019	01-10-22
New Jersey	NELAP	PA011	06-30-21
New York	NELAP	10670	04-01-21
North Carolina (DW)	State	42705	07-31-21
North Carolina (WW/SW)	State	521	12-31-21
North Dakota	State	R-205	01-31-20 *
Oklahoma	NELAP	R-205	08-31-21
Oregon	NELAP	PA200001-018	09-12-21
PALA	Canada	1978	05-08-21
Pennsylvania	NELAP	36-00037	01-31-22
Tennessee	State	02838	01-31-22
Texas	NELAP	T104704194-20-38	08-31-21
Utah	NELAP	PA000092019-16	02-28-21
Vermont	State	VT - 36037	10-29-21
Virginia	NELAP	10561	06-14-21
Washington	State	C457	04-11-21
West Virginia DEP	State	055	06-30-21
Wyoming	State	8TMS-L	01-31-22
Wyoming (UST)	A2LA	1.01	11-30-22

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Env, LLC

Method Summary

Client: PDC Laboratories, Inc.
Project/Site: EA04870

Job ID: 410-28227-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
Lloyd Kahn	Organic Carbon, Total (TOC)	EPA	ELLE
Moisture	Percent Moisture	EPA	ELLE

Protocol References:

EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency

Laboratory References:

ELLE = Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Env, LLC, 2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601, TEL (717)656-2300

Sample Summary

Client: PDC Laboratories, Inc.
Project/Site: EA04870

Job ID: 410-28227-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	Asset ID
410-28227-1	EA04870-1 G275D-S1	Solid	01/28/21 16:00	02/02/21 11:46	
410-28227-2	EA04870-2 G275D-S2	Solid	01/28/21 16:30	02/02/21 11:46	
410-28227-3	EA04870-3 G275D-S3	Solid	01/28/21 11:00	02/02/21 11:46	
410-28227-4	EA04870-4 G275D-S1	Solid	01/28/21 11:00	02/02/21 11:46	
410-28227-5	EA04870-6 GYPSUM	Solid	01/28/21 11:15	02/02/21 11:46	

SUBCONTRACT ORDER
Transfer Chain of Custody

PDC Laboratories, Inc.
EA04870



410-28227 Chain of Custody

SENDING LABORATORY

PDC Laboratories, Inc.
2231 W Altorfer Dr
Peoria, IL 61615
(800) 752-6651

RECEIVING LABORATORY

Eurofins Eaton Analytical, Inc. - Lancaster, PA
2425 New Holland Pike
Lancaster, PA 17601
(717) 656-2300

Sample: EA04870-01
Name: G275D - S1

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:00
Matrix: Soil
Preservative: H2SO4, cool <6

Analysis	Due	Expires	Comments
01-TOC-STL	02/09/21 16:00	02/25/21 16:00	

Sample: EA04870-02
Name: G275D - S2

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:30
Matrix: Soil
Preservative: H2SO4, cool <6

Analysis	Due	Expires	Comments
01-TOC-STL	02/09/21 16:00	02/25/21 16:30	

Sample: EA04870-03
Name: G275D - S3

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Matrix: Soil
Preservative: H2SO4, cool <6

Analysis	Due	Expires	Comments
01-TOC-STL	02/09/21 16:00	02/26/21 11:00	

Sample: EA04870-04
Name: G275D - S3

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Matrix: Soil
Preservative: H2SO4, cool <6

Analysis	Due	Expires	Comments
01-TOC-STL	02/09/21 16:00	02/26/21 11:00	

Sample: EA04870-06
Name: GYPSUM

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:15
Matrix: Soil
Preservative: H2SO4, cool <6

Analysis	Due	Expires	Comments
01-TOC-STL	02/09/21 16:00	02/26/21 11:15	

Handwritten signature/initials

SUBCONTRACT ORDER
Transfer Chain of Custody

PDC Laboratories, Inc.

EA04870

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Please email results to Gail Schindler at gschindler@pdclab.com

Date Shipped: 2/1/21 Total # of Containers: 5 Sample Origin (State): IL PO #: 11506

Turn-Around Time Requested ☒ NORMAL ☐ RUSH Date Results Needed: _____

 Relinquished By _____ Date/Time <u>2/1/21 1434</u>				Sample Temperature Upon Receipt _____ °C	
				Sample(s) Received on Ice _____ Y or N	
Relinquished By _____ Date/Time _____				Proper Bottles Received in Good Condition _____ Y or N	
				Bottles Filled with Adequate Volume _____ Y or N	
Relinquished By _____ Date/Time _____				Samples Received Within Hold Time _____ Y or N	
				Date/Time Taken From Sample Bottle _____ Y or N	
Relinquished By _____ Date/Time _____				Received By  Date/Time <u>2/2/21 1146</u>	

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: PDC Laboratories, Inc.

Job Number: 410-28227-1

Login Number: 28227

List Number: 1

Creator: Jeremiah, Cory T

List Source: Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Env

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is $\leq$ background as measured by a survey meter.	N/A	
The cooler's custody seal is intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable ($\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$, not frozen).	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
WV: Container Temperature is acceptable ($\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$, not frozen).	N/A	
WV: Container Temperature is recorded.	N/A	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs)	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses.	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	N/A	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	False	Received project as a subcontract.
Sample Preservation Verified.	N/A	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	
Sample custody seals are intact.	N/A	



Report Number
F21034-0049
Account Number
67045



3505 Conestoga Dr.
Fort Wayne, IN 46808
260.483.4759
algreatlakes.com

To: PDC LABORATORIES, INC.
2231 W ALTORFER DR
PEORIA, IL 61615-1807

For: EA04870

Date Received: 02/03/2021

Date Reported: 02/18/2021 Page: 1 of 1

Attn: JANET CLUTTERS

REPORT OF ANALYSIS

Lab Number	Sample ID	Analysis	Result	Unit	Method
19134	01	Cation Exchange Capacity (NH4-Sat.)	22.95	meq/100g	MSA Part 3 (1996) pp 1220-1221
19135	02	Cation Exchange Capacity (NH4-Sat.)	7.93	meq/100g	MSA Part 3 (1996) pp 1220-1221
19136	03	Cation Exchange Capacity (NH4-Sat.)	9.25	meq/100g	MSA Part 3 (1996) pp 1220-1221
19137	04	Cation Exchange Capacity (NH4-Sat.)	9.63	meq/100g	MSA Part 3 (1996) pp 1220-1221
19138	06	Cation Exchange Capacity (NH4-Sat.)	0.41	meq/100g	MSA Part 3 (1996) pp 1220-1221

Report Number
F21034-0049
Account Number
67045



3505 Conestoga Dr.
Fort Wayne, IN 46808
260.483.4759
algreatlakes.com

To: PDC LABORATORIES, INC.
2231 W ALTORFER DR
PEORIA, IL 61615-1807

For: EA04870

Date Received: 02/03/2021

Date Reported: 02/18/2021 Page: 1 of 1

Attn: JANET CLUTTERS


REPORT OF ANALYSIS

Lab Number	Sample ID	Analysis	Result	Unit	Method
19134	01	Cation Exchange Capacity (NH4-Sat.)	22.95	meq/100g	MSA Part 3 (1996) pp 1220-1221
19135	02	Cation Exchange Capacity (NH4-Sat.)	7.93	meq/100g	MSA Part 3 (1996) pp 1220-1221
19136	03	Cation Exchange Capacity (NH4-Sat.)	9.25	meq/100g	MSA Part 3 (1996) pp 1220-1221
19137	04	Cation Exchange Capacity (NH4-Sat.)	9.63	meq/100g	MSA Part 3 (1996) pp 1220-1221
19138	06	Cation Exchange Capacity (NH4-Sat.)	0.41	meq/100g	MSA Part 3 (1996) pp 1220-1221



ANALYTICAL REPORT

February 22, 2021



PDC Laboratory, Inc.


Sample Delivery Group: L1313806
Samples Received: 02/04/2021
Project Number: EA04863
Description:

Report To: Gail Schindler
2231 W. Altorfer Drive
Peoria, IL 61615

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Mark W. Beasley
Project Manager

Results relate only to the items tested or calibrated and are reported as rounded values. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. Where applicable, sampling conducted by Pace Analytical National is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures ENV-SOP-MTJL-0067 and ENV-SOP-MTJL-0068. Where sampling conducted by the customer, results relate to the accuracy of the information provided, and as the samples are received.



Pace Analytical National

12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN 37122 615-758-5858 800-767-5859 www.pacenational.com

ACCOUNT:
PDC Laboratory, Inc.

PROJECT:
EA04863

SDG:
L1313806

DATE/TIME:
02/22/21 13:24

Page 31 of 46

1 of 15



Cp: Cover Page	1	¹ Cp
Tc: Table of Contents	2	
Ss: Sample Summary	3	² Tc
Cn: Case Narrative	4	
Sr: Sample Results	5	³ Ss
EA04870-01 L1313806-01	5	
EA04870-02 L1313806-02	6	⁴ Cn
EA04870-03 L1313806-03	7	⁵ Sr
EA04870-04 L1313806-04	8	
EA04870-06 L1313806-05	9	⁶ Qc
Qc: Quality Control Summary	10	
Radiochemistry by Method 9320	10	⁷ Gl
Radiochemistry by Method SM7500Ra B M	11	⁸ Al
Gl: Glossary of Terms	12	
Al: Accreditations & Locations	13	⁹ Sc
Sc: Sample Chain of Custody	14	



EA04870-01 L1313806-01 Solids and Chemical Materials

Collected by

Collected date/time

Received date/time

01/28/21 16:00

02/04/21 09:00

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Radiochemistry by Method 9320	WG1617956	1	02/08/21 11:32	02/17/21 10:35	SNR	Mt. Juliet, TN
Radiochemistry by Method Calculation	WG1617957	1	02/11/21 09:30	02/20/21 11:20	RGT	Mt. Juliet, TN
Radiochemistry by Method SM7500Ra B M	WG1617957	1	02/11/21 09:30	02/20/21 11:20	RGT	Mt. Juliet, TN

¹Cp²Tc³Ss⁴Cn⁵Sr⁶Qc⁷Gl⁸Al⁹Sc

EA04870-02 L1313806-02 Solids and Chemical Materials

Collected by

Collected date/time

Received date/time

01/28/21 16:30

02/04/21 09:00

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Radiochemistry by Method 9320	WG1617956	1	02/08/21 11:32	02/17/21 10:35	SNR	Mt. Juliet, TN
Radiochemistry by Method Calculation	WG1617957	1	02/11/21 09:30	02/20/21 11:20	RGT	Mt. Juliet, TN
Radiochemistry by Method SM7500Ra B M	WG1617957	1	02/11/21 09:30	02/20/21 11:20	RGT	Mt. Juliet, TN

EA04870-03 L1313806-03 Solids and Chemical Materials

Collected by

Collected date/time

Received date/time

01/29/21 11:00

02/04/21 09:00

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Radiochemistry by Method 9320	WG1617956	1	02/08/21 11:32	02/17/21 10:35	SNR	Mt. Juliet, TN
Radiochemistry by Method Calculation	WG1617957	1	02/11/21 09:30	02/20/21 11:20	RGT	Mt. Juliet, TN
Radiochemistry by Method SM7500Ra B M	WG1617957	1	02/11/21 09:30	02/20/21 11:20	RGT	Mt. Juliet, TN

EA04870-04 L1313806-04 Solids and Chemical Materials

Collected by

Collected date/time

Received date/time

01/29/21 11:00

02/04/21 09:00

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Radiochemistry by Method 9320	WG1617956	1	02/08/21 11:32	02/17/21 10:35	SNR	Mt. Juliet, TN
Radiochemistry by Method Calculation	WG1617957	1	02/11/21 09:30	02/20/21 11:20	RGT	Mt. Juliet, TN
Radiochemistry by Method SM7500Ra B M	WG1617957	1	02/11/21 09:30	02/20/21 11:20	RGT	Mt. Juliet, TN

EA04870-06 L1313806-05 Solids and Chemical Materials

Collected by

Collected date/time

Received date/time

01/29/21 11:15

02/04/21 09:00

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Radiochemistry by Method 9320	WG1617956	1	02/08/21 11:32	02/17/21 10:35	SNR	Mt. Juliet, TN
Radiochemistry by Method Calculation	WG1617957	1	02/11/21 09:30	02/20/21 11:20	RGT	Mt. Juliet, TN
Radiochemistry by Method SM7500Ra B M	WG1617957	1	02/11/21 09:30	02/20/21 11:20	RGT	Mt. Juliet, TN



All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All radiochemical sample results for solids are reported on a dry weight basis with the exception of tritium, carbon-14 and radon, unless wet weight was requested by the client. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.

Mark W. Beasley
Project Manager

¹ Cp

² Tc

³ Ss

⁴ Cn

⁵ Sr

⁶ Qc

⁷ Gl

⁸ Al

⁹ Sc

Radiochemistry by Method 9320

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
RADIUM-228	0.653		0.231	0.401	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956
(T) Barium	103			62.0-143	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956
(T) Yttrium	99.0			79.0-136	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956

1Cp

2Tc

3Ss

4Cn

5Sr

6Qc

7Gl

8Al

9Sc

Radiochemistry by Method Calculation

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
Combined Radium	1.03		0.346	0.449	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957

Radiochemistry by Method SM7500Ra B M

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
RADIUM-226	0.376		0.115	0.0478	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957
(T) Barium-133	97.0			30.0-143	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957

Radiochemistry by Method 9320

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
RADIUM-228	1.34		0.232	0.383	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956
(T) Barium	112			62.0-143	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956
(T) Yttrium	97.6			79.0-136	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956

1Cp

2Tc

3Ss

4Cn

5Sr

6Qc

7Gl

8Al

9Sc

Radiochemistry by Method Calculation

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
Combined Radium	1.74		0.367	0.472	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957

Radiochemistry by Method SM7500Ra B M

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
RADIUM-226	0.402		0.135	0.0888	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957
(T) Barium-133	92.0			30.0-143	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957

Radiochemistry by Method 9320

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
RADIUM-228	0.807		0.234	0.402	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956
(T) Barium	114			62.0-143	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956
(T) Yttrium	95.4			79.0-136	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956

1Cp

2Tc

3Ss

4Cn

5Sr

6Qc

7Gl

8Al

9Sc

Radiochemistry by Method Calculation

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
Combined Radium	1.25		0.373	0.476	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957

Radiochemistry by Method SM7500Ra B M

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
RADIUM-226	0.445		0.139	0.074	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957
(T) Barium-133	92.0			30.0-143	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957

Radiochemistry by Method 9320

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
RADIUM-228	0.726		0.244	0.422	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956
(T) Barium	113			62.0-143	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956
(T) Yttrium	99.7			79.0-136	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956

1Cp

2Tc

3Ss

4Cn

5Sr

6Qc

7Gl

8Al

9Sc

Radiochemistry by Method Calculation

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
Combined Radium	1.33		0.405	0.489	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957

Radiochemistry by Method SM7500Ra B M

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
RADIUM-226	0.606		0.161	0.0671	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957
(T) Barium-133	91.0			30.0-143	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957

Radiochemistry by Method 9320

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
RADIUM-228	-0.226	U	0.210	0.388	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956
(T) Barium	97.9			62.0-143	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956
(T) Yttrium	99.3			79.0-136	02/17/2021 10:35	WG1617956

1Cp

2Tc

3Ss

4Cn

5Sr

6Qc

7Gl

8Al

9Sc

Radiochemistry by Method Calculation

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
Combined Radium	0.202	J	0.299	0.456	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957

Radiochemistry by Method SM7500Ra B M

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Uncertainty	MDA	Analysis Date	Batch
	pCi/g		+ / -	pCi/g	date / time	
RADIUM-226	0.202		0.0894	0.0682	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957
(T) Barium-133	99.2			30.0-143	02/20/2021 11:20	WG1617957



Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3623477-1 02/17/21 10:35

Analyte	MB Result pCi/g	MB Qualifier	MB MDA pCi/g
Radium-228	-0.305	U	0.492
(T) Barium	106		
(T) Yttrium	90.3		

¹Cp

²Tc

³Ss

⁴Cn

⁵Sr

⁶Qc

⁷Gl

⁸Al

⁹Sc

Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) • (DUP) R3623477-5 02/17/21 10:35

Analyte	Original Result	DUP Result	Dilution	DUP RPD	DUP RER	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits	DUP RER Limit
		pCi/g		%			%	
Radium-228		0.756	1	37.2	0.918		20	3
(T) Barium		103						
(T) Yttrium		99.2						

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3623477-2 02/17/21 10:35

Analyte	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier
	pCi/g	pCi/g	%	%	
Radium-228	5.00	4.42	88.4	80.0-120	
(T) Barium			105		
(T) Yttrium			94.8		

Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) • (MS) R3623477-3 02/17/21 10:35 • (MSD) R3623477-4 02/17/21 10:35

Analyte	Spike Amount	Original Result	MS Result	MSD Result	MS Rec.	MSD Rec.	Dilution	Rec. Limits	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD	MS RER	RPD Limits
	pCi/g		pCi/g	pCi/g	%	%		%			%		%
Radium-228	4.75		4.90	4.96	91.5	92.9	1	70.0-130			1.32		20
(T) Barium					101	103							
(T) Yttrium					105	101							

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3623849-1 02/20/21 11:20

Analyte	MB Result pCi/g	MB Qualifier	MB MDA pCi/g
Radium-226	0.0275	↓	0.0453
(T) Barium-133	91.5		

1Cp

2Tc

3Ss

4Cn

5Sr

6Qc

7Gl

8Al

9Sc

L1313806-05 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) L1313806-05 02/20/21 11:20 • (DUP) R3623849-5 02/20/21 11:20

Analyte	Original Result pCi/g	DUP Result pCi/g	Dilution	DUP RPD %	DUP RER	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits %	DUP RER Limit
Radium-226	0.202	0.138	1	37.8	0.564		20	3
(T) Barium-133	99.2	103						

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3623849-2 02/20/21 11:20

Analyte	Spike Amount pCi/g	LCS Result pCi/g	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
Radium-226	5.02	5.51	110	60.0-144	
(T) Barium-133			94.7		

L1313791-01 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1313791-01 02/20/21 11:20 • (MS) R3623849-3 02/20/21 11:20 • (MSD) R3623849-4 02/20/21 11:20

Analyte	Spike Amount pCi/g	Original Result pCi/g	MS Result pCi/g	MSD Result pCi/g	MS Rec. %	MSD Rec. %	Dilution	Rec. Limits %	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD %	MS RER	RPD Limits %
Radium-226	5.01	0.619	5.53	5.79	98.0	103	1	65.0-135			4.61		20
(T) Barium-133		99.4			99.7	102							



Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report

The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

Results Disclaimer - Information that may be provided by the customer, and contained within this report, include Permit Limits, Project Name, Sample ID, Sample Matrix, Sample Preservation, Field Blanks, Field Spikes, Field Duplicates, On-Site Data, Sampling Collection Dates/Times, and Sampling Location. Results relate to the accuracy of this information provided, and as the samples are received.

Abbreviations and Definitions

MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity.
Rec.	Recovery.
RER	Replicate Error Ratio.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
(T)	Tracer - A radioisotope of known concentration added to a solution of chemically equivalent radioisotopes at a known concentration to assist in monitoring the yield of the chemical separation.
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Limits	These are the target % recovery ranges or % difference value that the laboratory has historically determined as normal for the method and analyte being reported. Successful QC Sample analysis will target all analytes recovered or duplicated within these ranges.
Original Sample	The non-spiked sample in the prep batch used to determine the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) from a quality control sample. The Original Sample may not be included within the reported SDG.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the result reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state "ND" (Not Detected) or "BDL" (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Quality Control Summary (Qc)	This section of the report includes the results of the laboratory quality control analyses required by procedure or analytical methods to assist in evaluating the validity of the results reported for your samples. These analyses are not being performed on your samples typically, but on laboratory generated material.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section for each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.

Qualifier Description

J	The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.
U	Below Detectable Limits: Indicates that the analyte was not detected.

1 Cp

2 Tc

3 Ss

4 Cn

5 Sr

6 Qc

7 GI

8 AI

9 Sc



Pace National is the only environmental laboratory accredited/certified to support your work nationwide from one location. One phone call, one point of contact, one laboratory. No other lab is as accessible or prepared to handle your needs throughout the country. Our capacity and capability from our single location laboratory is comparable to the collective totals of the network laboratories in our industry. The most significant benefit to our one location design is the design of our laboratory campus. The model is conducive to accelerated productivity, decreasing turn-around time, and preventing cross contamination, thus protecting sample integrity. Our focus on premium quality and prompt service allows us to be YOUR LAB OF CHOICE.

* Not all certifications held by the laboratory are applicable to the results reported in the attached report.

* Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by Pace National.

Pace Analytical National 12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN, 37122

Alabama	40660	Nebraska	NE-OS-15-05
Alaska	17-026	Nevada	TN000032021-1
Arizona	AZ0612	New Hampshire	2975
Arkansas	88-0469	New Jersey–NELAP	TN002
California	2932	New Mexico ¹	TN00003
Colorado	TN00003	New York	11742
Connecticut	PH-0197	North Carolina	Env375
Florida	E87487	North Carolina ¹	DW21704
Georgia	NELAP	North Carolina ³	41
Georgia ¹	923	North Dakota	R-140
Idaho	TN00003	Ohio–VAP	CL0069
Illinois	200008	Oklahoma	9915
Indiana	C-TN-01	Oregon	TN200002
Iowa	364	Pennsylvania	68-02979
Kansas	E-10277	Rhode Island	LAO00356
Kentucky ^{1 6}	KY90010	South Carolina	84004002
Kentucky ²	16	South Dakota	n/a
Louisiana	AI30792	Tennessee ^{1 4}	2006
Louisiana	LA018	Texas	T104704245-20-18
Maine	TN00003	Texas ⁵	LAB0152
Maryland	324	Utah	TN000032021-11
Massachusetts	M-TN003	Vermont	VT2006
Michigan	9958	Virginia	110033
Minnesota	047-999-395	Washington	C847
Mississippi	TN00003	West Virginia	233
Missouri	340	Wisconsin	998093910
Montana	CERT0086	Wyoming	A2LA
A2LA – ISO 17025	1461.01	AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP	100789
A2LA – ISO 17025 ⁵	1461.02	DOD	1461.01
Canada	1461.01	USDA	P330-15-00234
EPA–Crypto	TN00003		

Pace Analytical National 1313 Point Mallard Parkway SE Suite B Decatur, AL, 35601

Alabama	40160
ANSI National Accreditation Board	L2239

Pace Analytical National 660 Bercut Dr. Ste. C Sacramento, CA, 95811

California	2961	Oregon	CA300002
Minnesota	006-999-465	Washington	C926
North Dakota	R-214		

Pace Analytical National 6000 South Eastern Avenue Ste 9A Las Vegas, NV, 89119

Nevada	NV009412021-1
--------	---------------

Pace Analytical National 1606 E. Brazos Street Suite D Victoria, TX, 77901

Texas	T104704328-20-18
-------	------------------

¹ Drinking Water ² Underground Storage Tanks ³ Aquatic Toxicity ⁴ Chemical/Microbiological ⁵ Mold ⁶ Wastewater n/a Accreditation not applicable



SUBCONTRACT ORDER
Transfer Chain of Custody

H044

PDC Laboratories, Inc.

EA04870

SENDING LABORATORY

PDC Laboratories, Inc.
2231 W Altonfer Dr
Peoria, IL 61615
(800) 752-6651

RECEIVING LABORATORY

Pace Analytical - Mt Juliet, Tn
12065 Lebanon Rd
Mt Juliet, TN 37122
(615) 758-5858

Sample: EA04870-01
Name: G275D - S1

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:00
Matrix: Soil
Preservative: Cool <6

-c1

Analysis	Due	Expires	Comments
----------	-----	---------	----------

01-Radium 226/228 combined	02/09/21 16:00	07/27/21 16:00	
----------------------------	----------------	----------------	--

Sample: EA04870-02
Name: G275D - S2

Sampled: 01/28/21 16:30
Matrix: Soil
Preservative: Cool <6

02

Analysis	Due	Expires	Comments
----------	-----	---------	----------

01-Radium 226/228 combined	02/09/21 16:00	07/27/21 16:30	
----------------------------	----------------	----------------	--

Sample: EA04870-03
Name: G275D - S3

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Matrix: Soil
Preservative: Cool <6

03

Analysis	Due	Expires	Comments
----------	-----	---------	----------

01-Radium 226/228 combined	02/09/21 16:00	07/28/21 11:00	
----------------------------	----------------	----------------	--

Sample: EA04870-04
Name: G275D - S3

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:00
Matrix: Soil
Preservative: Cool <6

04

Analysis	Due	Expires	Comments
----------	-----	---------	----------

01-Radium 226/228 combined	02/09/21 16:00	07/28/21 11:00	
----------------------------	----------------	----------------	--

Sample: EA04870-06
Name: GYPSUM

Sampled: 01/29/21 11:15
Matrix: Soil
Preservative: Cool <6

05

Analysis	Due	Expires	Comments
----------	-----	---------	----------

01-Radium 226/228 combined	02/09/21 16:00	07/28/21 11:15	
----------------------------	----------------	----------------	--

SUBCONTRACT ORDER
Transfer Chain of Custody

PDC Laboratories, Inc.

EA04870

1313806

5 total

7727 9603 7950

Sample Receipt Checklist
COC Seal Present/Intact: Y N If Applicable
COC Signed/Accurate: Y N VOA Zero HeadSpace: Y N
Bottles active intact: Y N Pres. Correct/Check: Y N
Correct bottles used: Y N
Sufficient volume sent: Y N
RAD Screen <0.5 mR/hr: Y N

All Cont <500 cpm

Please email results to Gail Schindler at gschindler@pdclab.com

Date Shipped: 2-2-21 Total # of Containers: 5 Sample Origin (State): IL PO #: 11508

Turn-Around Time Requested ☒ NORMAL ☐ RUSH

Date Results Needed: _____

Relinquished By [Signature] 2-2-21 11:00 Received By W. Rogers 2-4-21 9:00
Date/Time Date/Time

Sample Temperature Upon Receipt 54.125°C
Sample(s) Received on Ice Y or N
Proper Bottles Received in Good Condition Y or N
Bottles Filled with Adequate Volume Y or N
Samples Received Within Hold Time Y or N
Date/Time Taken From Sample Bottle Y or N

Relinquished By _____ Date/Time _____ Received By _____ Date/Time _____



PDC LABORATORIES, INC.
WWW.PDCLAB.COM

REGULATORY PROGRAM (CIRCLE):	NPDES
MORBCA	RCRA
CCDD	TACO: RES OR IND/COMM

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

STATE WHERE SAMPLE COLLECTED IL

EA04870-07

ALL HIGHLIGHTED AREAS MUST BE COMPLETED BY CLIENT (PLEASE PRINT)

1 CLIENT HANSON PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		PROJECT NUMBER COFFEEN GMF		PROJECT LOCATION		PURCHASE ORDER #		3 ANALYSIS REQUESTED		4 (FOR LAB USE ONLY) EA04870-07	
ADDRESS 1525 S 6TH STREET		PHONE NUMBER		E-MAIL		DATE SHIPPED		SB, AS, BA, BE, B, CD, CA, CR, CO, FE, PB, LI, MG, MN, MO, K SE, NA, S, TL, CL, F, SO4, TN FE OXIDE, MN OXIDE RAD 226/228 TOC CEC		LOGIN # KEL	
CITY SPRINGFIELD IL 62703-6801		SAMPLER (PLEASE PRINT) COLIN WINTER		MATRIX TYPES: WW- WASTEWATER DW- DRINKING WATER GW- GROUND WATER WWSL- SLUDGE NAS- NON AQUEOUS SOLID LCHT- LEACHATE OIL- OIL SO- SOIL SOL- SOLID		CLIENT: HANSON PROFESSIONAL SERVICES				PROJECT: HANSON VISTRA COFFEEN GMF SOIL	
CONTACT PERSON MR RHON HASENYAGER		SAMPLER'S SIGNATURE 				PRJ. MGR.: GJ SCHINDLER					
2 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION (UNIQUE DESCRIPTION AS IT WILL APPEAR ON THE ANALYTICAL REPORT)		DATE COLLECTED	TIME COLLECTED	SAMPLE TYPE GRAB COMP	MATRIX TYPE	BOTTLE COUNT	PRES CODE CLIENT PROVIDED	REMARKS			
G275D-S1		1/28/21	SEE JARS		X	SO	3	3-4pm 1/29/21 daw			
G275D-S2		↓	↓		X	↓	3	4-4:30pm 1/29/21 daw			
G275D-S3		1/28/29/21	3:50pm/8-11AM		X	↓	4	8-11am 1/29/21 daw			
G275D-S3 (MS/MSD/Field Dup)		↓	↓		X	↓	3	8-11am 1/29/21 daw			
G275D-S21 (Equip Blank)		1/29/21	9AM	X		N/A	8	9am 1/29/21 daw			
GYPSUM		1/29/21	11AM	X		SOL	4	11:15am 1/29/21 daw			
CHEMICAL PRESERVATION CODES: 1 - HCL 2 - H2SO4 3 - HNO3 4 - NAOH 5 - NA2S2O3 6 - UNPRESERVED 7 - OTHER											
5		TURNAROUND TIME REQUESTED (PLEASE CIRCLE) NORMAL RUSH (RUSH TAT IS SUBJECT TO PDC LABS APPROVAL AND SURCHARGE)		DATE RESULTS NEEDED		6 I understand that by initialing this box I give the lab permission to proceed with analysis, even though it may not meet all sample conformance requirements as defined in the receiving facility's Sample Acceptance Policy and the data will be qualified. Qualified data may NOT be acceptable to report to all regulatory authorities. PROCEED WITH ANALYSIS AND QUALIFY RESULTS: (INITIALS)					
RUSH RESULTS VIA (PLEASE CIRCLE) EMAIL PHONE		EMAIL IF DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE:		PHONE # IF DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE:							
7		RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE) 		DATE 1/29/21 TIME 12:30 PM		RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE) 		DATE 1/29/21 TIME 12:30 PM		8	
RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE) 		DATE 1/29/21 TIME 16:12		RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)		DATE		TIME		COMMENTS: (FOR LAB USE ONLY)	
RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE) 		DATE		RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE) 		DATE 1/29/21 TIME 1612		SAMPLE TEMPERATURE UPON RECEIPT 9.1 °C CHILL PROCESS STARTED PRIOR TO RECEIPT Y OR N SAMPLE(S) RECEIVED ON ICE Y OR N SAMPLE ACCEPTANCE NONCONFORMANT REPORT IS NEEDED Y OR N DATE AND TIME TAKEN FROM SAMPLE BOTTLE			



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample: EC02226-02
Name: Coffeen Gypsum
Matrix: Soil - Grab

Sampled: 03/09/21 13:15
Received: 03/10/21 17:00

Parameter	Result	Unit	Qualifier	Prepared	Dilution	MRL	Analyzed	Analyst	Method
Anions - PIA									
Chloride	260	mg/kg		03/15/21 22:53	10	100	03/15/21 22:53	CRD	EPA 9056A
Fluoride	7.6	mg/kg		03/17/21 02:20	1	2.5	03/17/21 02:20	CRD	EPA 9056A
Sulfate	15000	mg/kg		03/17/21 02:39	250	2500	03/17/21 02:39	CRD	EPA 9056A
General Chemistry - PIA									
Solids - total solids (TS)	59	%		03/15/21 13:46	1	0.050	03/15/21 14:23	BMA	SM 2540G*
Total Nitrogen	880	mg/kg dry		03/17/21 12:57	1	84	03/17/21 13:28	BMS	(calc)
Nutrients - PIA									
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	8.6	mg/kg		03/17/21 12:57	1	0.20	03/17/21 13:28	CRS1	EPA 353.2 REV 2
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	510	mg/kg		03/11/21 07:57	1	50	03/12/21 09:11	BMS	EPA 351.2 REV 2*
Total Metals - PIA									
Antimony	< 3.0	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	3.0	03/18/21 16:07	KMC	EPA 6020A
Arsenic	< 1.0	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	1.0	03/18/21 16:07	KMC	EPA 6020A
Barium	13	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	1.0	03/18/21 16:07	KMC	EPA 6020A
Beryllium	< 1.0	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	1.0	03/19/21 11:10	JMW	EPA 6020A
Boron	< 10	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	10	03/18/21 16:07	KMC	EPA 6020A*
Cadmium	< 1.0	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	1.0	03/18/21 16:07	KMC	EPA 6020A
Calcium	130000	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	20	200	03/30/21 12:38	JMW	EPA 6020A*
Chromium	< 4.0	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	4.0	03/18/21 16:07	KMC	EPA 6020A
Cobalt	< 2.0	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	2.0	03/18/21 16:07	KMC	EPA 6020A
Iron	380	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	20	60	03/30/21 12:38	JMW	EPA 6020A*
Lead	< 1.0	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	1.0	03/18/21 16:07	KMC	EPA 6020A
Magnesium	320	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	20	200	03/30/21 12:38	JMW	EPA 6020A*
Manganese	17	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	20	2.0	03/30/21 12:38	JMW	EPA 6020A
Molybdenum	< 1.0	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	1.0	03/18/21 16:07	KMC	EPA 6020A
Potassium	< 200	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	20	200	03/30/21 12:38	JMW	EPA 6020A*
Selenium	< 1.0	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	1.0	03/18/21 16:07	KMC	EPA 6020A
Sodium	240	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	20	200	03/31/21 10:23	JMW	EPA 6020A*
Thallium	< 1.0	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	10	1.0	03/18/21 16:07	KMC	EPA 6020A
Lithium	< 5.0	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	1	5.0	03/18/21 11:38	TJJ	EPA 6010B*
Sulfur	86000	mg/kg		03/17/21 13:02	100	1000	03/18/21 14:24	TJJ	EPA 6010B*

ATTACHMENT 5
*Arsenic in Illinois Groundwater – Community
and Private Supplies. Warner et al. 2003.*

In cooperation with the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

Arsenic in Illinois Ground Water—Community and Private Supplies

By Kelly L. Warner, Angel Martin, Jr., and Terri L. Arnold

Introduction

Assessing the distribution of arsenic in ground water from community-water supplies, private supplies, or monitoring wells is part of the process of determining the risk of arsenic contamination of drinking water in Illinois. Lifestyle, genetic, and environmental factors make certain members of the population more susceptible to adverse health effects from repeated exposure to drinking water with high arsenic concentrations (Ryker, 2001). In addition, such factors may have geographic distribution patterns that complicate the analysis of the relation between arsenic in drinking water and health effects. For example, arsenic may not be the only constituent affecting the quality of drinking water in a region (Ryker, 2001); however, determining the extent and distribution of arsenic in ground water is a starting place to assess the potential risk for persons drinking from a community or private supply. Understanding the potential sources and pathways that mobilize arsenic in ground water is a necessary step in protecting the drinking-water supply in Illinois (fig. 1).




Figure 1. Location of community water-supply wells and the Mahomet Buried Bedrock Valley in Illinois.

Relation Between High Arsenic Concentrations and Health Effects

The metallic element arsenic has a long history as a poison. Albertus Magnus (Albert the Great), in approximately 1250, is the first to have recorded producing pure arsenic (MacRae, 2002), which usually was found in a mixed mineral. It is believed that Napoleon was poisoned with arsenic (Weider and Forshufvud, 1995). In the early 1800s in Italy, there were over a thousand unexplained deaths of young children who died in their living rooms. The deaths were determined to be the result of the release of poisonous arsenic gas from Paris green wallpaper that lined the living rooms and this heavier-than-air gas accumulated at lower levels where young children were more likely to breathe

(King, 2002). Up to the 1940s, arsenic successfully was used to treat syphilis and leprosy. Arsenic was popularized as the poison of choice in many murder-mystery novels and movies, such as *Arsenic and Old Lace*, released in 1944. Today, the threat of arsenic poisoning is real in many parts of the world, such as Bangladesh, India, and China, where in the late 1990s many people consumed or inhaled toxic amounts of arsenic (West Bengal and Bangladesh, 2002). Arsenic in ground water also is a public-health issue in Illinois (fig. 1) and other parts of the Nation. Understanding the distribution, fate, and transport of arsenic in ground water and defining susceptible areas needing further investigation helps water-resource managers assess the risk of arsenic contamination of wells, perhaps, by geographic location and aquifer.

Dissolved arsenic is found in ground water across Illi-

nois. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) drinking-water standard for arsenic has been 50 micrograms per liter ($\mu\text{g/L}$) since 1942 (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2002). In January 2001, the standard for arsenic in drinking water was lowered by USEPA to 10 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and must be implemented at all community-supply facilities by 2006 (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2002; Dawn Shellenberger, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, written commun., 2002). The cost is substantial for remediating high arsenic concentrations. The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) has estimated that the total cost to reduce arsenic concentrations to below 10 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for 50 selected community-water supplies with elevated arsenic concentrations in Illinois (fig. 2) could reach 40 million dollars, with the highest costs associated with small community supplies (Illinois State Water Survey, 2002). Private wells are not regulated for arsenic concentration.

The purpose of this report, prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), in cooperation with the IEPA, is to describe the known distribution of arsenic in ground water in Illinois and to




Figure 2. Projected number and cost of remediating arsenic from community-water supplies in Illinois (<, less than) (Modified from Illinois State Water Survey, 2002; oral commun., Rick Cobb, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, 2002).




Figure 3. Areas of equal arsenic concentrations in ground water, United States (from Ryker, 2001). In 2001, the U.S. Geological Survey database included trace-element data from 31,000 wells and springs.

describe use of a geostatistical technique to estimate arsenic concentrations in areas where little or no data are available. In addition, potential sources of arsenic in Illinois are described. In Illinois, the most abundant data on arsenic in ground water are from community supplies (fig. 1). Assessment of the spatial distribution of arsenic in community supplies and comparison to other water-quality data available from private supplies can allow inferences to be made about the extent and distribution of arsenic in private supplies.

Because arsenic is common in ground water in Illinois, the patterns and correlations of arsenic with other constituents can be used to identify areas of concern, highlight potential indicators of high arsenic concentrations, and determine where additional research is needed to understand the fate and transport of arsenic in ground water. In addition, the study of community-water supplies will help the owners of private, unregulated wells make informed decisions on whether to have their well tested for arsenic.

Arsenic Concentrations on a National and Regional Scale

Elevated arsenic concentrations (above drinking-water standards) are a national and regional concern. An environmental research program by the USGS (Ryker, 2001) compiled arsenic analyses from 31,000 wells and springs in 49 States for the national map (fig. 3). Scientists with the USGS and State agencies collected and analyzed these data mainly from

private wells, monitoring wells, and community-supply wells. These samples were collected for studies on the quality of the Nation's potable ground-water resources. The data set contains no explicit information on the rural population that does not use ground water from public supply. The national map includes arsenic concentrations in drinking-water data from monitoring and research programs in the United States. Monitoring of community-supply systems is required for compliance with State and Federal water-quality standards. The national map data set provides an important basis for estimating how many community-supply systems have arsenic concentrations above the present standard, or what proportion of the urban population obtains water from community-supply systems with arsenic concentrations above the drinking-water standard (Ryker, 2001).

More than 99 percent of the Nation's rural population relies on ground water for drinking water. Approximately 50 percent of community supplies in Illinois are from ground water; approximately 90 percent of private supplies are from ground water. Because private wells are unregulated, no national regulatory database is available to fill this data gap on rural private wells.

Additional data often are available at statewide or local scales for more specific comparison. Although the national map shows that Illinois has three areas with arsenic concentrations at or above 5 µg/L in ground water (central, northeastern, and northwestern Illinois), larger areas with appreciably higher arsenic concentrations are present in other parts of the Nation.

Arsenic Concentrations in Ground Water in Illinois

Known Distribution

The most extensive data set of arsenic concentrations in ground water in Illinois has been collected by the IEPA as part of compliance monitoring programs. Approximately 8,200 samples collected by IEPA from 2,771 community-water supplies in Illinois provide a detailed picture of the arsenic distribution in most of the aquifers underlying Illinois. The USGS National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) program has collected data from 225 monitoring and private wells screened in the glacial and alluvial aquifer system. The glacial and alluvial aquifer system, as defined in this report,

includes all aquifers above bedrock (fig. 4). In the central Mahomet Buried Bedrock Valley (fig. 1), the aquifer of concern is the deep portions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system (Mahomet aquifer) (fig. 4). NAWQA samples are collected for studies of the quality of the Nation's surface- and ground-water resources. This program uses a nationally consistent network design, sampling program, and methods of data analyses for low levels of over 300 chemical constituents. The Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS) also collects arsenic data for studies in the State. In a study by the ISWS, in cooperation with the Illinois Waste Management and Research Center, the variability of arsenic concentrations with depth is being studied in the glacial and alluvial aquifer system in central Illinois. In another study, approximately 30 small community-water supplies are being sampled for arsenic and arsenic species concentrations by the ISWS. In addition, 150 non-community wells are being sampled for arsenic and other chemical constituents. All these programs and studies provide useful information, but it also is important to combine the available data sets. IEPA and USGS provide data on arsenic concentrations in community and private wells.

TIME STRATIGRAPHY			GENERAL ROCK STRATIGRAPHY		HYDROSTRATIGRAPHY		
Quaternary System	Pleistocene Series	Holocene	Recent deposits		Glacial and alluvial aquifer system	Shallow glacial drift aquifer (less than 100 feet below land surface)	
		Wisconsinan	Wedron and Mason Groups				
		Illinoian	Winnebago and Glasford Formations				
	Pre-Illinoian	Banner Formation				Deep glacial drift aquifer (greater than 200 feet below land surface)	Local aquifers and confining units not described in this report
		Mahomet Sand Member	Sankoty Sand Member				
					Mahomet aquifer		

Figure 4. Relation of time stratigraphy, general rock stratigraphy, and hydrostratigraphy of glacial deposits in central Illinois (modified from Willman and Frye, 1970; Hansel and Johnson, 1996; and Warner, 2001).

Concentrations of total (dissolved and suspended) arsenic samples collected from community supplies and concentrations of dissolved arsenic in samples collected from monitoring and private wells may not be comparable. Two major issues concerning these data sets are: (1) the difference in pumping volumes; (2) the difference in filtering procedures; samples from community-supply wells are unfiltered, whereas samples from monitoring and private wells are filtered. Because community-supply wells generally pump larger amounts than

monitoring or private wells, water flows from a larger area in an aquifer (capture zone) to community-supply wells; therefore, resulting arsenic concentrations may differ even when community-supply, and monitoring or private wells are close to each other (Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, 2002). The filtering issue is well documented (Horowitz and others, 1996). Comparing arsenic concentrations from filtered and unfiltered samples is complicated by the adsorption of arsenic to clay particles and colloids within the water sample.

Filtration will remove these particles and the adsorbed arsenic, decreasing the apparent concentration of arsenic in the sample. Turbidity is a rough estimate of the amount of particles in a water sample. When it is low, few particulates are present in samples, and dissolved and total arsenic concentrations should be similar. Turbidity in both the community- and private-supply samples was low, so comparing arsenic concentrations in ground water from these two well types should not be biased because of turbidity.

Arsenic concentrations in ground water usually are the result of the interaction between water and geologic materials. The geochemical environment in the aquifer is one of the biggest factors affecting arsenic concentrations. Factors such as ground-water age, depth to water, and land use affect the fate and transport of constituents introduced by humans, but have limited effects on the concentration of arsenic in ground water. Arsenic data from community and private supplies were compared in Illinois and other parts of the country (Warner, 2001; Bruce and Oelsner, 2001). Comparison of arsenic concentrations in private supplies (filtered) and arsenic concentrations in community-water supplies (unfiltered) in the deep por-




Figure 5. Arsenic concentrations in community-supply well samples in Illinois, 1978-2001.

tions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system in Illinois were similar for a data set containing less than 100 samples (Warner, 2001). The deep portions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system are defined as the deep glacial drift aquifer (fig. 4) by Warner (2001). In the deep portions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system, filtered arsenic concentrations for ground-water

samples from private wells ranged from less than 1.0 to 84 µg/L, with a median concentration of 1.5 µg/L; whereas the range of unfiltered arsenic concentrations for ground water from community-water supplies ranged from less than 1 to 110 µg/L, with a median of 1.0 µg/L (Warner, 2001). A study comparing filtered private and unfiltered community

supplies in the High Plains aquifer in central Colorado did not show a statistical difference in arsenic concentration (Bruce and Oelsner, 2001). The median concentration in the High Plains aquifer from private and community supplies was 2.04 and 1.55 µg/L, respectively. On a national basis, arsenic concentrations in samples not from community-supply wells tended to be higher than concentrations in samples from community-supply samples (Welch and others, 1999).

The IEPA collected arsenic data at 2,771 community-water supply wells (prior to treatment) in various aquifers in Illinois from 1978 through 2001. Some wells were sampled multiple times. Arsenic was not detected in 60 percent of samples (5031 of 8180 samples; fig. 5).

Table 1. Comparison of arsenic concentrations in ground water from private and monitoring wells within the glacial and alluvial aquifer system, all routinely sampled community-supply wells, and community-supply wells within the glacial and alluvial aquifer system. [NAWQA, National Water-Quality Assessment; IEPA, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency; µg/L, micrograms per liter; <, less than]

	NAWQA program wells in the glacial and alluvial aquifer system	All IEPA routine samples from community supplies in all aquifers	All IEPA routine samples from community-supply wells in the glacial and alluvial aquifer system
Number of analyses	268	2036	886
Minimum concentration (µg/L)	< .2	< 1	< 1
Maximum concentration (µg/L)	128	100	100
25 percent of analyses above this concentration (µg/L)	< .2	< 1	< 1
75 percent of analyses above this concentration (µg/L)	3.9	2	6
Median concentration (µg/L)	.5	< 1	< 1
Percent arsenic detections	58	43	47
Percent above 10 µg/L	14	11	19

As previously discussed, community-supply well samples are collected routinely or as part of special studies within the IEPA (table 1). Routine samples (2,036 samples in the data set) are samples collected by the community-supply well operator before any treatment for compliance. Samples for arsenic generally are collected every 5 years unless there are compliance issues requiring more sampling. Special studies can include a wide variety of programs from ambient monitoring to compliance. Of the community- and private-supply samples, 11 and 14 percent, respectively, exceeded the 10 $\mu\text{g/L}$ arsenic standard. The highest arsenic concentrations and most frequent detections in samples from community-supply wells were from the deep portions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system underlying central Illinois (Mahomet aquifer) (fig. 4).

With large water-quality data sets (for example, the community-supply data set from IEPA), there can be statistical bias because of the number of samples in any given year and the laboratory methods used for analysis. The largest number of samples from community-water supplies in Illinois was collected




Figure 6. Sampling program for community-supply wells in Illinois, 1978-2001

each year from 1982 to 1987 (fig. 6) after the implementation of the Clean Water Act in 1980. The percentage of samples each year with concentrations greater than or equal to 10 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and less than 50 $\mu\text{g/L}$ remained relatively constant at 9 percent across these years, and samples greater than 50 $\mu\text{g/L}$ ranged from 1 to 2 percent over this time period. Laboratory reporting levels (lrl) have ranged from 0.001 to 5 $\mu\text{g/L}$. Generally, the lower reporting levels are for more recent samples because analytical machines and methods with higher precision were used in more recent years. If all the samples were screened to the highest reporting level of 5 $\mu\text{g/L}$, then only 15 percent of all wells would have arsenic detections.

The glacial and alluvial aquifer system, which is the primary aquifer system used for community-water supplies in Illinois, had the greatest number of arsenic samples. Almost 50 percent of the community-supply wells in Illinois are open to this aquifer system (fig. 7). Similar ranges and arsenic detections are present for samples taken from either community-supply (less than 1.0-100 $\mu\text{g/L}$) or private/monitoring (less than 0.2-128 $\mu\text{g/L}$) wells open to this aquifer system (table 1).

Estimated Distribution

Possible arsenic sources may be determined by establishing a relation between arsenic




Figure 7. Number of community-supply samples analyzed for arsenic by aquifer system in Illinois.

concentration and concentrations of other chemical constituents and/or physical properties (such as well depth). The relation of arsenic with depth is not straightforward. Arsenic sources may be at various depths and geochemical conditions affecting arsenic mobilization also vary with depth. A study by the USGS in the central Mahomet Buried Bedrock Valley in Illinois (fig. 1) found arsenic concentrations and frequency of detection to be highest in the deep glacial drift aquifer (fig. 4) (median depth of 256 feet) compared to the shallow drift aquifer (fig. 4) (median depth of 33 feet) (Warner, 2001). A comparison of private supplies in this same area found concentrations to be similar for comparable depths (Warner, 2001). In contrast, the ISWS found, in general, higher arsenic concentrations in samples from the shallow portions than in

the deep portions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system in Tazewell County (Illinois State Water Survey, 2002). This result is based on 590 samples collected by the Tazewell County Health Department and interpolated well-depth information.

In Illinois, many groundwater supplies are hard (hardness as CaCO_3 greater than 120 milligrams per liter (mg/L); Heath, 1984). Therefore, most community and some private supplies treat the drinking water to remove calcium and magnesium, as well as iron, manganese, and other constituents. The removal of these constituents from drinking water also may reduce arsenic concentrations because arsenic adsorbs to iron and manganese oxides (Illinois State Water Survey, 2002). Data from 1,449 community-water supplies that utilize the glacial and alluvial aquifer system were analyzed for arsenic,

iron, and manganese concentrations. Co-kriging, a geostatistical method, was used to estimate arsenic concentrations in ground water across the State by interpolating between data points with known arsenic, iron, and manganese concentrations (figs. 8a, b). More information on these methods can be found in Isaaks and Srivastava (1989) and Kitanidis (1997).

Where the estimates are based on relatively few data points (compared to other parts of the State), there is more uncertainty in the estimated arsenic concentrations (fig. 8b). The geostatistical methods provide a good estimate when compared to known arsenic concentrations but tend to underestimate the highest concentrations and tend slightly to underestimate the variability of the concentrations (the multiple R^2 coefficient of determination was 0.64 between measured and estimated values). The darker shaded areas on figure 8a indicate the highest estimated arsenic concentrations in association with iron and manganese. These areas also may indicate where community and some private supplies likely are treating for iron and manganese, which may remove some of the arsenic. The area of high arsenic, iron, and manganese




Figure 8. (A) Estimated arsenic concentrations in association with iron and manganese and (B) uncertainty of arsenic concentration estimates, Illinois.




Figure 9. Arsenic concentrations in coal from Illinois, Indiana, and Kentucky (from Goldhaber and others, 2002).

concentrations in central Illinois is associated with part of the Mahomet Buried Bedrock Valley (fig. 1). Water-quality data from private and monitoring wells also indicated high arsenic concentrations in this area.

Potential Sources of Arsenic in Illinois

In most of Illinois, arsenic sources are natural. Three potential sources considered here for high arsenic concentrations in Illinois are: 1) dissolution from geologic material; 2) mobilization of adsorbed or coprecipitated arsenic; and/or 3) bedrock recharge.

The dissolution and mobilization of arsenic from geologic materials will occur if there is a source of arsenic in the geologic materials and if the geochemical conditions are conducive to arsenic transport. There are geographically extensive geologic and geochemical conditions that produced widespread arsenic enrichments in the earth's crust in the formation of arsenic-rich pyrite (Goldhaber and others, 2002). These bedrock deposits usually are in contact with overlying glacial sediments and structural features in the bedrock may provide conduits for arsenic transport. Another source of arsenic is dark shale and coal containing pyrite. Some coal deposits in Illinois,

Indiana, and Kentucky contain high arsenic concentration (fig. 9).

Geochemical rock analyses done on the glacial deposits and underlying bedrock in the Central Mahomet Buried Bedrock Valley indicate that the highest arsenic concentrations are in the organic-rich bedrock underlying the valley. This organic-rich bedrock usually is shale or dark limestone. The sand and gravel making up the deep portions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system had the lowest arsenic

concentration of the sediments above the bedrock. The till overlying the aquifer in this area had arsenic concentrations higher than the sand and gravel, but substantially less than the organic-rich bedrock (Jeff Catalano, U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 1999). Thus, there is an arsenic source in the geologic materials of the sediments and bedrock composing the aquifers, but the organic-rich bedrock has the highest concentration of arsenic.

The second possible source for the high arsenic concentrations considered here is the mobilization of coprecipitated arsenic. Consideration of this possible source is based on the premise that during glacial peri-

ods, waters with high arsenic concentration were in contact with clay within the till and the arsenic adsorbed onto these clay particles. As the glacial materials were buried, the geochemical environment became more reducing and the arsenic associated with the iron oxides and hydroxides was mobilized.

The third possible source for high arsenic concentrations considered here is bedrock recharge. This possible source was proposed by Panno and others (1994). Most of the work on arsenic in ground water in Illinois primarily has been in the deep portions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system, where high arsenic concentrations are present in some areas and depths. In Piatt County in central Illinois (fig. 1), there are many bedrock structural features, such as faults and folds, which could provide direct pathways for ground water to flow from the deep bedrock to the deep portions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system. Arsenic concentrations in the deep portions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system increase along the ground-water-flow path just west of Piatt County (Warner, 2001). Ground water from the bedrock may be recharging the aquifer with arsenic or may be altering geochemical conditions so that arsenic is mobilized in this area.

Summary and Conclusions

Dissolved arsenic is found in ground water across Illinois. Determining the extent and distribution of arsenic in ground water is a starting place to assess the potential risk for persons drinking from a community or private supply. Understanding the potential sources and pathways that mobilize arsenic in ground water is necessary in protecting the drinking-water supply in Illinois.

Arsenic was not detected in 60 percent of samples from community supplies considered in this study. The highest arsenic concentrations and most frequent detections in samples from community-supply wells were from the deep portions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system underlying central Illinois (Mahomet aquifer). Of the community- and private-supply samples, 11 and 14 percent, respectively, exceeded the 10 µg/L arsenic standard; but, private-supply wells are not regulated for arsenic concentrations in ground water.

Arsenic sources may be at various depths and geochemical conditions affecting arsenic mobilization also vary with depth. Estimates of arsenic,

iron, and manganese have been extrapolated from measured concentrations. An area of high estimated arsenic concentration in ground water in central Illinois appears to be associated with the Mahomet Buried Bedrock Valley. Where the estimates are based on relatively few data points (compared to other parts of the State), there is more uncertainty in the estimated arsenic concentrations. The geostatistical methods provide a good estimate when compared to known arsenic concentrations but tend to underestimate the highest concentrations and tend slightly to underestimate the variability of the concentrations.

In most of Illinois, arsenic sources are natural. Limited geochemical rock analyses done on the glacial deposits and underlying bedrock in the Central Mahomet Buried Bedrock Valley indicate that the highest arsenic concentrations are in the organic-rich bedrock underlying the valley. Understanding the fate and transport of arsenic from the source to a community-supply well will need to involve simulation of the ground-water flow and chemical conditions of the glacial and alluvial aquifer system.

References

- Bruce, B.W. and Oelsner, G.P., 2001, Contrasting water quality from paired domestic/community supply wells, central High Plains: *Journal of American Water Resources Association*, v. 37, no. 5, p. 1389-1403.
- Goldhaber, M.B. and others, 2002, Role of large-scale fluid flow in subsurface enrichment, in Welch, A.H., and Stollenwerk, K.G., eds., *Arsenic in ground water: geochemistry and occurrence*, chap. 5: Boston, Mass., Kluwer Academic Publishers, p. 127-176.
- Hansel, A.K. and Johnson, W.H., 1996, Wedron and Mason Groups—lithostratigraphic reclassification of deposits of the Wisconsin Episode, Lake Michigan lobe area: *Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin* 104, 116 p.
- Heath, R.C., 1984, *Basic ground-water hydrology*: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2220, 84 p.
- Horowitz, A.J., Lum, K.R., Garbarino, J.R., Hall, G.E.M., Lemieux, C., and Demas, C.R., 1996, Problems associated with using filtration to define dissolved trace element concentrations in natural water samples: *Environmental Science and Technology*, 30, 954-963.
- Illinois State Water Survey, 2002, *Arsenic in Illinois groundwater*: accessed October 18, 2002, at URL <http://www.sws.uiuc.edu/gws/arsenic/ilsources.asp>
- Isaaks, E.H., and Srivastava, R.M., 1989, *An introduction to applied geostatistics*: Oxford University Press, New York, 561 p.
- King, Victoria, 2002, *Arsenic*; *History Magazine* (original article appeared in the October-November 2001 issue): accessed October 12, 2002, at URL <http://www.history-magazine.com/arsenic.html>
- Kitanidis, P.K., 1997, *Introduction to geostatistics—applications in hydrogeology*: Cambridge University Press, New York, 249 p.
- MacRae, J.D., 2002, *University of Maine, Department of Civil Engineering*: accessed October 18, 2002, at URL http://www.umeciv.maine.edu/MacRae/arsenic_history.htm
- Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, 2002, *Ohio's Ground Water Quality*; 2002, 305(b) report: Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Columbus, Ohio, in press.
- Panno, S.V., Hackley, K.C., Cartwright, K., and Liu, C.L., 1994, *Hydrochemistry of the Mahomet Bedrock Valley Aquifer, east-central Illinois—indicators of recharge and ground-water flow*: *Ground Water*, v. 32, no. 4, p. 591-604.
- Ryker, S.J., 2001, Mapping arsenic in ground water: *Geotimes*, v. 46, no. 11, p. 34-36.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2002, *Ground water and drinking water*: accessed November 8, 2002, at URL <http://www.epa.gov/safewater/arsenic.html>
- Warner, K.L., 2001, *Arsenic in glacial drift aquifers and the implication for drinking water—lower Illinois River basin*: *Ground Water*, v. 39, no. 3, p. 433-442.
- Weider, Ben and Forshufvud, Sten, 1995, *Assassination at St. Helena revisited*: New York, N.Y., John Wiley & Sons, 555 p.
- Welch, A.H., Helsel, D.R., Focazio, M.J., and Watkins, S.A., 1999, *Arsenic in ground water supplies of the United States*, in W.R. Chappell, C.O. Abernathy and R.L. Calderon, eds., *Arsenic exposure and health effects*: Elsevier Science, New York, p. 9-17.
- West Bengal and Bangladesh, 2002, *Arsenic crisis information center*: accessed October 11, 2002, at URL <http://bicn.com/acic/>
- Willman, H.B. and Frye, J.C., 1970, *Pleistocene stratigraphy of Illinois*: *Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin* 94, 204 p.


For further information, please contact:

District Chief
U.S. Geological Survey
221 N. Broadway Avenue
Urbana, Illinois 61801
Telephone: 217-344-0037
Email: dc_il@usgs.gov

or visit the Illinois District Web site:
il.water.usgs.gov

ATTACHMENT 6

Coffeen Power Plant Surface Water Divide



- REGULATED UNIT (SUBJECT UNIT)
- PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- SURFACE WATER DIVIDE
- FLOW DIRECTION

SURFACE WATER DIVIDE

FIGURE 1

ATTACHMENT 7

PCA Data Input Summary

ELECTRONIC PCA DATA FOR ATTACHMENT 7
35 I.A.C. § 845.650(e): ALTERNATIVE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION
COFFEEN POWER PLANT
GYPSUM MANAGEMENT FACILITY (GMF) GYPSUM STACK POND
COFFEEN, IL

Well	HSU	Date	Location	pH (SU)	Alkalinity, bicarbonate (mg/L)	Arsenic (mg/L)	Barium (mg/L)	Boron (mg/L)	Calcium (mg/l)	Chloride (mg/L)	Fluoride (mg/L)	Magnesium (mg/L)	ORP (mg/L)	Potassium (mg/L)	Sodium (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/l)	TDS (mg/L)
G200	UA	01/29/21	Background	7.3	310	0.0013	0.043	0.014	81	53	0.36	40	43.6	1.1	78	110	580
G200	UA	03/29/21	Background	7.3	300	0.001	0.044	0.014	82	50	0.32	41	28.1	0.59	56	100	460
G200	UA	04/21/21	Background	7.15	300	0.001	0.047	0.035	88	63	0.435	43	92.7	0.46	52	95	570
G200	UA	05/06/21	Background	7.08	290	0.0035	0.08	0.012	140	73	0.25	62	10.8	1.7	68	100	570
G200	UA	05/17/21	Background	7.21	280	0.001	0.055	0.01	91	78	0.342	45	34	0.52	56	110	560
G200	UA	07/28/21	Background	7.02	280	0.001	0.044	0.11	83	51	0.255	42	-23.5	0.86	58	100	500
G200	UA	08/18/21	Background	7.18	300	0.001	0.04	0.016	87	44	0.383	40	42.6	0.55	67	100	540
G200	UA	02/09/22	Background	7.1	290	0.001	0.057	0.01	92	80	0.327	43	89.7	0.5	60	110	500
G200	UA	08/23/22	Background	7.06	250	0.00069	0.052	0.064	85	61	0.322	39	-146	0.61	58	110	540
G200	UA	02/16/23	Background	6.65	310	0.0081	0.16	0.029	120	50	0.04	54	126	1.9	65	100	440
G200	UA	06/07/23	Background	7.11	300	0.0085	0.15	0.011	110	65	0.216	53	26	1.9	56	110	630
G200	UA	11/14/23	Background	7.16	281	0.002	0.0818	0.01	80.3	43	0.38	38.6	97	0.969	53.1	106	455
G200	UA	02/21/24	Background	6.69	287	0.001	0.038	0.0932	83.8	46	0.32	38.7	171	0.718	54.3	109	496
G206	UA	01/27/21	Downgradient	7.1	280	0.001	0.037	0.01	65	22	0.426	35	39.1	0.25	42	130	480
G206	UA	08/20/21	Downgradient	7.2	290	0.001	0.047	0.01	83	21	0.643	38	67.9	0.33	52	130	560
G206	UA	02/10/22	Downgradient	7.03	260	0.001	0.051	0.012	82	23	0.439	34	124	0.54	54	130	540
G206	UA	08/23/22	Downgradient	6.86	300	0.00069	0.051	0.0071	92	21	0.364	40	-178	0.91	55	140	540
G206	UA	02/16/23	Downgradient	7.43	280	0.00069	0.057	0.0098	87	23	0.382	32	188	0.37	47	140	460
G206	UA	06/09/23	Downgradient	7.08	310	0.0026	0.05	0.012	86	22	0.43	37	-232	0.85	50	140	600
G206	UA	08/14/23	Downgradient	6.93	320	0.0015	0.0581	0.0092	86.8	23	0.43	35.9	-188	0.87	52.6	138	548
G206	UA	11/15/23	Downgradient	7.46	293	0.0016	0.0534	0.0092	83.3	23	0.48	35.2	-256	0.625	49.8	132	485
G206	UA	02/13/24	Downgradient	7.17	285	0.0001	0.0763	0.0092	88.4	24	0.43	38.7	96	0.541	48.6	166	538
G206D	DA	02/16/12	Downgradient	7.53	410	0.015	0.12	0.11	89	29	0.784	37	95	1.2	120	160	670
G206D	DA	06/09/23	Downgradient	7.21	400	0.016	0.17	0.12	86	25	0.873	31	-194	1.1	120	160	680
G206D	DA	08/14/23	Downgradient	6.94	414	0.0149	0.2	0.118	84.9	23	1	31	-48	1.3	128	155	685
G206D	DA	11/17/23	Downgradient	7.14	420	0.0168	0.183	0.123	80.3	22	1.09	29.7	-54	1.21	116	153	755
G206D	DA	02/16/24	Downgradient	6.63	422	0.0184	0.203	0.137	86.6	22	0.97	31.1	173	1.2	111	126	630
G209	UA	01/27/21	Downgradient	7.1	380	0.001	0.043	0.01	120	77	0.401	53	47.8	0.36	63	250	810
G209	UA	08/20/21	Downgradient	6.83	400	0.0019	0.059	0.02	150	59	0.493	55	-82.5	0.48	77	240	840
G209	UA	02/10/22	Downgradient	7.16	390	0.0029	0.063	0.012	150	63	0.472	51	-53.8	0.63	79	270	810
G209	UA	08/23/22	Downgradient	6.81	400	0.0016	0.058	0.0071	160	66	0.344	57	-120	0.47	81	240	880
G209	UA	02/15/23	Downgradient	7.35	380	0.0014	0.057	0.0094	150	56	0.371	47	128	0.43	70	230	930
G209	UA	06/09/23	Downgradient	7.02	380	0.0029	0.07	0.011	140	61	0.396	50	-33	0.45	73	230	860
G209	UA	08/14/23	Downgradient	6.6	401	0.0014	0.0685	0.0092	149	53	0.46	51.2	84	0.551	77.6	243	878
G209	UA	11/16/23	Downgradient	7	387	0.0017	0.0599	0.014	143	52	0.52	50.6	-23	0.581	75.7	255	826
G209	UA	02/13/24	Downgradient	6.83	390	0.0013	0.0702	0.03	143	59	0.47	53.6	139	0.656	71.9	251	798
G212	UA	01/26/21	Downgradient	6.8	250	0.001	0.046	0.032	56	41	0.25	29	152	0.24	63	55	400
G212	UA	08/19/21	Downgradient	7.22	250	0.001	0.047	0.01	54	41	0.322	28	210	0.26	62	51	420
G212	UA	02/11/22	Downgradient	7.17	240	0.001	0.048	0.033	57	46	0.39	28	121	0.65	68	57	330
G212	UA	08/24/22	Downgradient	6.87	240	0.00069	0.046	0.0071	59	43	0.281	30	108	0.23	66	54	330
G212	UA	02/14/23	Downgradient	7.52	240	0.00069	0.051	0.0071	60	45	0.254	27	159	0.26	59	54	520
G212	UA	06/07/23	Downgradient	7.2	280	0.00069	0.051	0.01	56	41	0.222	27	110	0.25	58	54	480
G212	UA	08/10/23	Downgradient	7.22	234	0.0004	0.0529	0.0092	53.6	46	0.25	26.3	88	0.278	59.6	65	412
G212	UA	11/16/23	Downgradient	7.15	236	0.0004	0.063	0.0125	54.6	47	0.34	26.6	102	0.301	59.7	63	434
G212	UA	02/14/24	Downgradient	7.09	254	0.001	0.0549	0.0092	56.3	48	0.32	27.5	152	0.289	56.4	59	412
G213	UA	02/15/23	Downgradient	7.52	250	0.00069	0.055	0.0071	68	39	0.309	29	140	0.34	34	54	510
G213	UA	06/07/23	Downgradient	7.21	240	0.00069	0.054	0.01	65	45	0.24	30	-1.5	0.37	37	59	500
G213	UA	08/10/23	Downgradient	7.16	228	0.0004	0.0541	0.0092	65.6	46	0.34	29.3	102	0.468	36.6	68	402
G213	UA	11/16/23	Downgradient	7.09	226	0.0004	0.0469	0.0125	64.7	52	0.36	30.1	84	0.429	35.7	79	420
G213	UA	02/14/24	Downgradient	6.98	247	0.001	0.0622	0.0092	74.3	53	0.34	33.9	157	0.745	34.9	70	412
G215	UA	01/26/21	Downgradient	6.8	320	0.0064	0.1	0.36	180	120	0.25	74	-2.9	0.6	87	490	1100
G215	UA	08/19/21	Downgradient	7.04	310	0.0053	0.058	0.49	180	110	0.597	84	-26.4	0.78	89	440	1300
G215	UA	02/09/22	Downgradient	6.9	320	0.0066	0.051	0.6	190	130	0.292	89	26.2	1.3	100	520	1200
G215	UA	05/11/22	Downgradient	6.95	450	0.0085	0.044	0.59	200	130	0.04	90	-11.7	1.8	93	540	1300
G215	UA	08/23/22	Downgradient	6.81	310	0.0058	0.044	0.6	190	150	0.165	93	-40	3.1	98	470	1300
G215	UA	02/15/23	Downgradient	7.1	340	0.0026	0.041	0.77	200	130	0.231	89	-31	4.1	90	560	1500
G215	UA	06/01/23	Downgradient	7.02	340	0.013	0.045	0.84	180	130	0.209	88	-16	4.2	96	540	1200
G215	UA	08/10/23	Downgradient	6.95	333	0.0024	0.0402	0.712	171	127	0.33	86.5	93	4.5	91.8	481	1270
G215	UA	11/16/23	Downgradient	6.94	343	0.0112	0.0585	0.727	180	138	0.34	95.3	-12	4.73	95.4	512	1250
G215	UA	02/13/24	Downgradient	6.85	354	0.0021	0.0458	0.809	186	157	0.3	106	122	4.56	95.8	566	1260
G217	UA	02/15/23	Downgradient	7.2	290	0.00069	0.096	0.015	160	93	0.3	57	13	0.43	63	310	1100
G217	UA	06/08/23	Downgradient	6.74	310	0.0013	0.11	0.016	180	130	0.296	48	2	0.71	49	370	1100
G217	UA	08/10/23	Downgradient	6.92	303	0.0004	0.107	0.02	175	109	0.38	67.7	77	0.659	74	394	1100
G217	UA	11/16/23	Downgradient	6.88	288	0.0005	0.127	0.015	177	123	0.42	71.4	16	0.626	73.6	427	1100
G217	UA	02/13/24	Downgradient	6.85	292	0.0004	0.0898	0.0243	180	125	0.35	75.5	129	0.638	73	428	950
G218	UA	01/26/21	Downgradient	7	390	0.0034	0.14	0.017	120	81	0.276	50	10.5	0.9	58	210	710
G218	UA	08/19/21	Downgradient	7.09	300	0.0018	0.15	0.01	150	88	0.63	59	-49.3	0.47	63	260	1000
G218	UA	02/09/22	Downgradient	6.78	290	0.0016	0.14	0.012	140	110	0.374	57	45.1	0.63	67	260	850
G218	UA	08/23/22	Downgradient	6.86	290	0.0012	0.097	0.0092	170	100	0.234	70	-28	0.63	75	350	1100
G218	UA	02/16/23	Downgradient	7.12	310	0.0026	0.11	0.01	160	100	0.04	57	2.9	0.79	63	300	920
G218	UA	06/01/23	Downgradient	7.16	300	0.0033	0.085	0.014	170	160	0.255	65	-27	0.69	73	370	1000
G218	UA	08/10/23	Downgradient	6.94	305	0.002	0.0747	0.02	179	116	0.32	70.4	70	0.772	75.3	424	1140
G218	UA	11/16/23	Downgradient	6.84	298	0.0031	0.0931	0.0237	181	125	0.35	74.3	-5	0.81	73.7	433	1140
G218	UA	02/13/24	Downgradient	6.86	313	0.0019	0.075	0.02	169	119	0.3	73.1	125	0.925	69.7	396	835
R201	UA	01/29/21	Background	7	380	0.0028	0.074	0.01	94	46	0.25	41	-32.1	0.65	110	160	710
R201	UA	03/29/21	Background	7	360	0.0017	0.073	0.019	97	55	0.45	43	-56.5	0.64	1		

ATTACHMENT 8


PCA Analysis – Porewater Included

ATTACHMENT 8 – ADDITIONAL PCA ANALYSIS

To minimize the impacts of CCR-related signatures on total variability in the dataset, porewater samples were excluded from the multivariate analyses described in the August 2024 *Alternative Source Demonstration* report prepared by Geosyntec. An additional scenario with porewater samples included is presented herein.

The biplot for the scenario with porewater samples included is shown on **Attachment 8a** indicating that the porewater samples are clustered separately from the UA and DA samples, with the DA samples (orange) and UA samples (dark green) also separated on the biplot. As before, constituents such as barium, bicarbonate and arsenic are responsible for the chemical signature of the DA samples. This finding is consistent with the scenario without the porewater samples included (**Figure 7** of main text), in which lithology is the main driver for the chemistry of the groundwater samples. The results of clustering analysis confirmed one cluster of porewater samples distinct from the combined UA and DA groundwater sample cluster (**Attachment 8b**).

This finding is consistent with the scenario described in the main document, in which lithology is the main driver for the chemistry of the groundwater samples.



Notes:

1. The arrows signify the correlations between the constituents and the principal components.
2. Deep Aquifer Unit = Orange
Uppermost Aquifer Unit = Dark Green
Coal Combustion Residual Unit = Gray
3. The background samples are represented with hollow symbols.

Principal Component Analysis Biplot

Coffeen GMF Gypsum Stack Pond

Geosyntec
consultants

Attachment
8a

Columbus, Ohio

July 2024

ATTACHMENT 9

Field Soil Boring Logs

Facility/Project Name Coffeen 2024 Nature & Extent Investigation		License/Permit/Monitoring Number		Boring Number G206D SB	
Boring Drilled By: Name of crew chief (first, last) and Firm Ethan Orange Cascade Drilling LP		Date Drilling Started 3/19/2024		Date Drilling Completed 3/19/2024	
Common Well Name		Final Static Water Level Feet (NAVD88)		Surface Elevation 628.91 Feet (NAVD88)	
				Borehole Diameter 6.0 inches	
Local Grid Origin ☐ (estimated: ☐) or Boring Location ☒		Lat ° ' "		Local Grid Location	
State Plane 875,105.43 N, 2,514,689.68 E ☒ E/W		Long ° ' "		☐ N ☐ E	
1/4 of 1/4 of Section , T N, R				☐ S ☐ W	
Facility ID		County Montgomery		State Illinois	
				Civil Town/City/ or Village Coffeen	

Sample		Blow Counts	Depth In Feet	Soil/Rock Description And Geologic Origin For Each Major Unit	U S C S	Graphic Log	Well Diagram	PID 10.6 eV Lamp	Soil Properties					RQD/ Comments
Number and Type	Length Att. & Recovered (in)								Compressive Strength (tsf)	Moisture Content	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	P 200	
1 CS	120 120		1	0 - 0.3' CLAYEY SILT : ML/CL, dark brown (10YR 3/3), organic material, roots, soft, low plasticity, moist.	ML/CL									
			2	0.3 - 19.5' FAT CLAY : to LEAN CLAY : CH, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6), silt (5-15%), sand (0-5%), very stiff, high plasticity, moist.										
			3											
			4											
			5											
			6											
			7											
			8											
			9											
			10											
2 CS	120 120		11	10' dark gray (10YR 4/1), strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) mottling (10-20%).	CH									
			12											

I hereby certify that the information on this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature DRAFT - KLT	Firm Ramboll 234 W Florida Street, 5th Floor, Milwaukee, WI 53204	Tel: (414)837-3607 Fax: (414)837-3608
---------------------------------	---	--

Facility/Project Name Coffeen 2024 Nature & Extent Investigation		License/Permit/Monitoring Number		Boring Number G275D SB	
Boring Drilled By: Name of crew chief (first, last) and Firm Ethan Orange Cascade Drilling LP		Date Drilling Started 3/21/2024		Date Drilling Completed 3/21/2024	
Common Well Name		Final Static Water Level Feet (NAVD88)		Surface Elevation 615.58 Feet (NAVD88)	
				Borehole Diameter 6.0 inches	
Local Grid Origin ☐ (estimated: ☐) or Boring Location ☒		Lat ° ' "		Local Grid Location	
State Plane 874,272.78 N, 2,516,364.19 E ☒ E/W		Long ° ' "		☐ N ☐ E ☐ S ☐ W	
1/4 of 1/4 of Section , T N, R				Feet Feet	
Facility ID		County Montgomery		State Illinois	
				Civil Town/City/ or Village Coffeen	

Sample			Blow Counts	Depth In Feet	Soil/Rock Description And Geologic Origin For Each Major Unit	U S C S	Graphic Log	Well Diagram	PID 10.6 eV Lamp	Soil Properties					RQD/ Comments
Number and Type	Length Att. & Recovered (in)	Compressive Strength (tsf)								Moisture Content	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	P 200		
1 CS	120 76		0.5	0 - 0.3' CLAYEY SILT : ML/CL, dark brown (10YR 3/3), organic material, grass, roots, soft, moist.	ML/CL										
			1.0	0.3 - 11' LEAN CLAY : CL, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6), silt (5-15%), sand (0-5%), very stiff, high plasticity, moist.											
			1.5												
			2.0												
			2.5												
			3.0												
			3.5	3' orange mottling (10%).											
			4.0												
			4.5												
			5.0												
			5.5												
			6.0												
			6.5												
			7.0												
			7.5												
			8.0												
			8.5												
			9.0												
			9.5												
			10.0												
			10.5												
			11.0												
2 CS	120 120														

I hereby certify that the information on this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature DRAFT - KLT	Firm Ramboll 234 W Florida Street, 5th Floor, Milwaukee, WI 53204	Tel: (414)837-3607 Fax: (414)837-3608
---------------------------------	---	--

Boring Number G275D SB

Page 3 of 4

Sample		Blow Counts	Depth In Feet	Soil/Rock Description And Geologic Origin For Each Major Unit	U S C S	Graphic Log	Well Diagram	PID 10.6 eV Lamp	Soil Properties					RQD/ Comments											
Number and Type	Length Att. & Recovered (in)								Compressive Strength (tsf)	Moisture Content	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	P 200												
4 CS	120 120		29.5	23 - 50' SILTY CLAY: CL/ML, dark gray (5YR 4/1), sand (0-5%), very stiff to hard, low plasticity, dry to moist. <i>(continued)</i>	CL/ML																				
			30.0																						
			30.5																						
			31.0																						
			31.5																						
			32.0																						
			32.5																						
			33.0																						
			33.5																						
			34.0																						
			34.5																						
			35.0																						
			35.5																						
			36.0																						
			36.5																						
			37.0																						
			37.5																						
			38.0																						
	38.5																								
	39.0																								
	39.5																								
5 CS	120 120		40.0																						6-inch casing set to 40 feet below ground surface (ft bgs). Resumed drilling on 3/20/2024.
			40.5																						
			41.0																						
			41.5																						
			42.0																						
			42.5																						
			43.0																						
			43.5																						
			44.0																						
			44.5																						
			45.0																						
	45.5																								
	46.0																								
	46.5																								
	47.0																								
	47.5																								

Page 4 of 4

Sample		Blow Counts	Depth In Feet	Soil/Rock Description And Geologic Origin For Each Major Unit	U S C S	Graphic Log	Well Diagram	PID 10.6 eV Lamp	Soil Properties						RQD/ Comments	
Number and Type	Length Att. & Recovered (in)								Compressive Strength (tsf)	Moisture Content	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	P 200			
6 CS	120 120		48.0	23 - 50' SILTY CLAY: CL/ML, dark gray (5YR 4/1), sand (0-5%), very stiff to hard, low plasticity, dry to moist. <i>(continued)</i>	CL/ML											Soil sample collected from 47-49 ft bgs.
		48.5														
		49.0														
		49.5														
				50.0	50 - 53' POORLY-GRADED SAND: SP, white (10YR 8/1) to gray (10YR 5/1), white to gray, fine sand, angular to subangular sand, coarse sand (0-5%), loose, moist.	SP									Soil sample collected from 51-53 ft bgs.	
		50.5														
		51.0														
		51.5														
				52.0	53 - 60' SILTY CLAY: CL/ML, very dark brown (10YR 2/2), wood (0-5%), sand (0-5%), hard, high plasticity, moist.	CL/ML										
		52.5														
		53.0														
		53.5														
		54.0														
		54.5														
		55.0														
		55.5														
				56.0	56' dark gray (10YR 4/1).	CL/ML										
		56.5														
		57.0														
57.5																
		58.0	60' End of Boring.													
58.5																
59.0																
59.5																
60.0																

ATTACHMENT 10

Monitoring Well Boring Logs

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station
Site: CCB Management Facility
Location: Coffeen, Illinois
Project: 05S3004A
DATES: Start: 2/25/2008
Finish: 2/25/2008
WEATHER: Overcast, cold

CONTRACTOR: Testing Service Corp.
Rig mfg/model: CME-650 Track Drill
Drilling Method: 3/4" HSA w/SS & CME samplers
FIELD STAFF: Driller: B. Williamson
Helper: R. Keedy
Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G200
Well ID: G200
Surface Elev: 624.20 ft. MSL
Completion: 18.00 ft. BGS
Station: 877,930.59N
 2,515,649.96E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Q _p (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 2, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = 13.50 - While drilling ▼ = 2.75 - 3/12/08 ▼ =		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
1A	24/24 100%	ss	3-2 3-3 N=5					Very dark grayish brown (10YR3/2), moist, firm, friable, clayey SILT		624	
2A	19/24 79%	ss	3-3 6-6 N=9				2	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 5% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY		622	
2B							4	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 70% yellowish brown (10YR5/8) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY			
3A	19/24 79%	ss	3-3 4-5 N=7					Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 70% yellowish brown (10YR5/8) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY, slight trace sand		620	
3B							6	Very dark gray (10YR3/1), moist, firm, silty CLAY, slight trace sand			
4A	22/24 92%	ss	5-5 5-5 N=10					Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 10% yellowish brown (10YR5/8) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY, trace coarse sand		618	
5A	20/24 83%	ss	2-2 3-5 N=5				10	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 10% yellowish brown (10YR5/8) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY, sand and slight trace gravel		614	
6A	22/24 92%	ss	1-3 2-3 N=5				12	Yellowish brown (10YR5/8), moist, soft, sandy CLAY		612	
7A	24/24 100%	ss	3-3 5-6 N=8				14	Gray (10YR5/1), wet, soft, fine- to coarse-grained SAND		610	
7B								Gray (10YR5/1), wet, soft, silty CLAY, trace sand and gravel			
8A	19/24 79%	ss	0-3 5-8 N=8				16	Yellowish brown (10YR5/4), wet, soft, fine- to coarse-grained SAND, trace gravel		608	
8B											
9A	24/24 100%	ss	8-15 30-50 N=45				18	Gray (10YR5/1), moist, hard, silty CLAY, trace sand and gravel			
9B								End of Boring = 18.0 ft. BGS			

NOTE(S):

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/14/2010

Finish: 10/14/2010

WEATHER: Sunny, warm, breezy (lo-70's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G206

Well ID: G206

Surface Elev: 630.54 ft. MSL

Completion: 24.00 ft. BGS

Station: 875,103.91N

2,514,669.16E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = 22.00 - While drilling ▼ = 21.54 - Upon completion ▼ =		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
1A	12/24 50%	ss	2-2 3-2 N=5	18			0	FILL - Grayish brown (10YR5/2), moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		630	
2A	20/24 83%	ss	2-2 3-5 N=5	16			2			628	
3A	20/24 83%	ss	4-9 6-8 N=15	19			4	FILL - Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 30% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		626	
4A	19/24 79%	ss	2-4 5-6 N=9	20			6			624	
5A	17/24 71%	ss	2-3 4-5 N=7	30			8	Very dark gray (10YR3/1) with 20% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand, trace roots.		622	
							10	Dark grayish brown (10YR4/2) with 35% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand.			
6A	22/24 92%	ss	2-3 4-6 N=7	19			12	Gray (10YR5/1) with 20% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, clayey SILT with trace sand and gravel.		620	
7A	23/24 96%	ss	1-2 3-4 N=5	23			14	Gray (10YR5/1) with 15% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		618	
8A	22/24 92%	ss	1-1 3-3 N=4	22			16	Gray (10YR5/1) with 15% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		616	
9A	24/24 100%	ss	1-1 2-2 N=3	21			18	Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) with 30% gray (10YR5/1) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		614	
								Gray (10YR5/1) with 15% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.			
								Gray (10YR5/1) with 15% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, very soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.			
10A	24/24 100%	ss	woh-woh 1-5	25			20	Gray (10YR5/1), moist, very soft, very fine- to fine-grained sandy CLAY with trace gravel.		612	
								Gray (10YR5/1), moist, firm, very fine- to fine-grained			

NOTE(S): G206 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/14/2010

Finish: 10/14/2010

WEATHER: Sunny, warm, breezy (lo-70's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G206

Well ID: G206

Surface Elev: 630.54 ft. MSL

Completion: 24.00 ft. BGS

Station: 875,103.91N

2,514,669.16E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = 22.00 - While drilling ▼ = 21.54 - Upon completion ▼ =		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
11A	22/24 92%	ss	19-6 13-19 N=19	13				sandy CLAY with trace gravel.			
11B				16			▼	Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6), wet dense, silty, fine- to coarse-grained SAND with trace gravel.		610	
							▼ 22	Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6), moist, hard, clayey SILT with sand and gravel.			
								Grayish brown (10YR5/2), moist, dense, silty, very fine- to fine-grained SAND.			
12A	20/24 83%	ss	11-20 19-13 N=39	10				Dark gray (10YR4/1), slightly moist, hard, very silty CLAY with sand and gravel.		608	
12B				10				Dark gray (10YR4/1), wet, dense, silty, fine- to coarse-grained SAND with gravel.			
							24	Dark gray (10YR4/1), slightly moist, hard, very silty CLAY with sand and gravel.			
End of Boring = 24.0 ft. BGS											

NOTE(S): G206 installed in borehole.



FIELD BORING LOG

CLIENT: Illinois Power Generating Co.

Site: Coffeen Part 845 Groundwater

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 20E0111A

DATES: Start: 1/25/2021

Finish: 1/25/2021

WEATHER: Rain, cold (30s)

CONTRACTOR: Roberts

Rig mfg/model: CME-75 Track Rig

Drilling Method: 4.25" HSA w/SS sampler

FIELD STAFF: Driller: Matt

Helper: Corey

Eng/Geo: C. Colin Winter

BOREHOLE ID: G282D

Well ID: 282D

Surface Elev: ft. MSL

Completion: 60.00 ft. BGS

Station: N

E

SAMPLE		TESTING		TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:			WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle:	Township:	Section
							Coffeen, IL	East Fork Township	11, Tier 7N; Range 3W
							▽ = 18.80 - During Drilling	▽ = 55.90 - During Drilling	▽ =
Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description					Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks	
1A	15/24 63%	SS	3-3 3-4 N=6						Gray (10YR6/1), wet, loose, GRAVEL, with some sand. [FILL]
2A	17/24 71%	SS	3-4 4-5 N=8						Brown (10YR5/3), moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, trace very fine- to fine-grained sand. [FILL]
3A	22/24 92%	SS	2-3 5-6 N=8						Yellowish brown (10YR5/4) with 10% gray (10YR6/1) mottles, moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, trace very fine- to fine-grained sand and small gravel. [FILL]
4A	20/24 83%	SS	3-4 4-5 N=8						Grayish brown (10YR5/2), moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, trace small gravel.
5A	22/24 92%	SS	2-3 5-7 N=8						Grayish brown (10YR5/2) with 10% yellowish brown (10YR5/4) mottles, moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, trace small gravel.
6A	20/24 83%	SS	3-4 4-7 N=8						Grayish brown (10YR5/2) with 20% yellowish brown (10YR5/4) and 5% gray (10YR5/1) mottles, moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, trace small gravel.
7A	20/24 83%	SS	2-3 4-5 N=7						Gray (10YR6/1) with 20% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, little very fine- to fine-grained sand, trace small gravel.
8A	20/24 83%	SS	1-2 3-4 N=5						Dark gray (10YR4/1), moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt.
9A	21/24 88%	SS	1-2 2-3 N=4						Gray (10YR6/1) with 20% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, little very fine- to fine-grained sand, trace small gravel.
10A									Gray (10YR6/1) with 30% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, little very fine- to fine-grained sand, trace small gravel.
10B	24/24 100%	SS	0-1 1-0 N=2						Yellowish brown (10YR5/6) with 10% gray (10YR6/1) mottles, wet, very loose, SILT, with some very fine- to fine-grained sand, few small gravel, trace clay.

NOTE(S): G282D installed in borehole.



FIELD BORING LOG

CLIENT: Illinois Power Generating Co.

Site: Coffeen Part 845 Groundwater

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 20E0111A

DATES: Start: 1/25/2021

Finish: 1/25/2021

WEATHER: Rain, cold (30s)

CONTRACTOR: Roberts

Rig mfg/model: CME-75 Track Rig

Drilling Method: 4.25" HSA w/SS sampler

FIELD STAFF: Driller: Matt

Helper: Corey

Eng/Geo: C. Colin Winter

BOREHOLE ID: G282D

Well ID: 282D

Surface Elev: ft. MSL

Completion: 60.00 ft. BGS

Station: N

E

SAMPLE		TESTING		TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:			WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL	▼ = 18.80 - During Drilling	
							Township: East Fork Township	▼ = 55.90 - During Drilling	
							Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W	▼ =	
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail
								Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
11A	24/24 100%	SS	3-7 11-16 N=18				22	Yellowish brown (10YR5/4) with 20% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) and 5% yellowish red (5YR4/6) mottles, moist, hard, SILT, with some very fine- to fine-grained sand, little clay, few small gravel.	
12A	24/24 100%	SS	7-12 17-24 N=29				24	Yellowish brown (10YR5/4), wet, fine- to medium-grained SAND.	
13A	24/24 100%	SS	9-15 22-22 N=37				26	Yellowish brown (10YR5/4) with 20% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) and 5% yellowish red (5YR4/6) mottles, moist, hard, SILT, with some very fine- to fine-grained sand, little clay, few small gravel, trace medium gravel.	
14A	22/24 92%	SS	8-17 16-22 N=33				28	Dark gray (10YR4/1), moist, hard, SILT, with some very fine- to fine-grained sand, little clay, few small gravel, trace medium gravel.	
15A	21/24 88%	SS	5-11 15-19 N=26				30		
16A	22/22 100%	SS	5-25 33-50/4" N=58				32	Dark gray (10YR4/1), moist, SAND, little silt and clay.	
17A	22/24 92%	SS	7-10 15-20 N=25				34	Dark gray (10YR4/1), moist, hard, SILT, with some very fine- to fine-grained sand, little clay, few small gravel, trace medium gravel.	
18A	24/24 100%	SS	4-8 10-16 N=18				36	Dark gray (10YR4/1), moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine- to fine-grained sand, little small gravel.	
19A	24/24 100%	SS	5-8 13-15 N=21				38		
20A	21/24 88%	SS	2-4 8-11 N=12				40		

NOTE(S): G282D installed in borehole.



FIELD BORING LOG

CLIENT: Illinois Power Generating Co.

Site: Coffeen Part 845 Groundwater

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 20E0111A

DATES: Start: 1/25/2021

Finish: 1/25/2021

WEATHER: Rain, cold (30s)

CONTRACTOR: Roberts

Rig mfg/model: CME-75 Track Rig

Drilling Method: 4.25" HSA w/SS sampler

FIELD STAFF: Driller: Matt

Helper: Corey

Eng/Geo: C. Colin Winter

BOREHOLE ID: G282D

Well ID: 282D

Surface Elev: ft. MSL

Completion: 60.00 ft. BGS

Station: N

E

SAMPLE		TESTING		TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:			WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value	RQD	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf)	Failure Type	
TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION: Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Township Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W									
WATER LEVEL INFORMATION: = 18.80 - During Drilling = 55.90 - During Drilling =									
Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description					Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks	
21A	24/24 100%	SS	4-8 11-14 N=19						
22A	22/24 92%	SS	3-7 8-12 N=15						
23A	24/24 100%	SS	3-6 9-13 N=15						
24A	24/24 100%	SS	4-6 9-12 N=15						Trace wood fragments below 45.7 ft.
25A	24/24 100%	SS	4-6 12-13 N=18						0.5" gravel seam at 48.5 ft.
26A	24/24 100%	SS	2-7 9-13 N=16						
27A	24/24 100%	SS	4-7 11-14 N=18						
28A	24/24 100%	SS	6-12 9-18 N=21						
28B									
29A	24/24 100%	SS	6-10 11-11 N=21						
30A	24/24 100%	SS	4-5 8-9 N=13						

NOTE(S): G282D installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG


CLIENT: Illinois Power Generating Co.

Site: Coffeen Part 845 Groundwater

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 20E0111A

DATES: Start: 1/25/2021

Finish: 1/25/2021

WEATHER: Rain, cold (30s)

CONTRACTOR: Roberts

Rig mfg/model: CME-75 Track Rig

Drilling Method: 4.25" HSA w/SS sampler

FIELD STAFF: Driller: Matt

Helper: Corey

Eng/Geo: C. Colin Winter

BOREHOLE ID: G282D

Well ID: 282D

Surface Elev: ft. MSL

Completion: 60.00 ft. BGS

Station: N

E

SAMPLE			TESTING			TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:	
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (lb/ft ³)				
						Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Township Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION: ▽ = 18.80 - During Drilling ▽ = 55.90 - During Drilling ▽ =	
						Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL
									Remarks

End of boring = 60.0 feet

NOTE(S): G282D installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station
Site: CCB Management Facility
Location: Coffeen, Illinois
Project: 05S3004A
DATES: Start: 10/7/2010
Finish: 10/7/2010
WEATHER: Sunny, cool (10-50's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co
Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill
Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers
FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin
Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail
Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G209
Well ID: G209
Surface Elev: 630.57 ft. MSL
Completion: 24.00 ft. BGS
Station: 875,298.23N
 2,515,149.56E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = Dry - While drilling ▽ = 22.40 - Upon completion ▹ =		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
1A	24/24 100%	ss	4-4 4-6 N=8	21			0			630	
2A	24/24 100%	ss	3-4 6-6 N=10	13			2			628	
3A	24/24 100%	ss	2-3 6-8 N=9	19			4	FILL - Brown (10YR5/3) with 10% gray (10YR5/1) and 5% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		626	
4A	22/24 92%	ss	2-3 6-8 N=9	17			6			624	
5A	18/24 75%	ss	2-3 3-5 N=6	20			8	Grayish brown (10YR5/2), moist, firm, clayey SILT with trace sand and gravel.		622	
6A	24/24 100%	ss	1-2 2-5 N=4	26			10	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 15% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand.		620	
7A	22/24 92%	ss	1-3 4-4 N=7	22			12	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 25% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand.		618	
8A	24/24 100%	ss	woh-1 2-3 N=3	25			14			616	
9A	19/24 79%	ss	woh-1 2-3 N=3	24			16			614	
10A	14/24 58%	ss	woh-2 3-3 N=5	20			18	Gray (10YR5/1) with 15% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		612	
							20				

NOTE(S): G209 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/7/2010

Finish: 10/7/2010

WEATHER: Sunny, cool (10-50's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G209

Well ID: G209

Surface Elev: 630.57 ft. MSL

Completion: 24.00 ft. BGS

Station: 875,298.23N

2,515,149.56E

SAMPLE			TESTING				TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type					
							Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION: ▮ = Dry - While drilling ▮ = 22.40 - Upon completion ▮ =		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
11A	1/24 4%	ss	woh-1 1-1 N=2	21				Gray (10YR5/1) with 15% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel. [Continued from previous page]		610	
12A	20/24 83%	ss	9-16 17-26 N=33	7			22	Dark gray (10YR4/1), slightly moist, hard, very silty CLAY with sand and gravel.		608	
							24	End of Boring = 24.0 ft. BGS			

NOTE(S): G209 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/11/2010

Finish: 10/11/2010

WEATHER: Sunny, warm (lo-80's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G212

Well ID: G212

Surface Elev: 630.59 ft. MSL

Completion: 24.00 ft. BGS

Station: 875,486.50N

2,515,583.03E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = 19.00 - While drilling ▼ = 20.72 - Upon completion ▼ =		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
1A	24/24 100%	ss	4-3 3-6 N=6	17				FILL - Brown (10YR4/3), slightly moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		630	
2A	24/24 100%	ss	2-3 4-5 N=7	21			2	FILL - Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 20% brown (10YR4/3) and 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand.		628	
3A	24/24 100%	ss	2-5 6-7 N=11	13			4	FILL - Brown (10YR4/3) with 15% dark gray (10YR4/1) and 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		626	
4A	24/24 100%	ss	2-5 7-10 N=12	15			6			624	
5A	24/24 100%	ss	2-2 4-7 N=6	29			8	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY, slight trace roots.		622	
6A	18/24 75%	ss	2-3 4-6 N=7	23			10	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand.		620	
7A	17/24 71%	ss	1-2 2-2 N=4	25			12			618	
8A	24/24 100%	ss	woh-1 2-3 N=3	27			14	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 15% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		616	
9A	22/24 92%	ss	1-1 2-2 N=3	25			16			614	
10A	24/24 100%	ss	woh-woh 1-2	19			18	Gray (10YR5/1) with 30% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, very moist, very soft, silty, very fine- to fine-grained sandy CLAY with trace gravel.		612	
10B				22			20	Gray (10YR5/1), loose, wet, silty, very fine- to			

NOTE(S): G212 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/11/2010

Finish: 10/11/2010

WEATHER: Sunny, warm (lo-80's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G212

Well ID: G212

Surface Elev: 630.59 ft. MSL

Completion: 24.00 ft. BGS

Station: 875,486.50N

2,515,583.03E

SAMPLE			TESTING				TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type					
							Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION: ▮ = 19.00 - While drilling ▮ = 20.72 - Upon completion ▮ =		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
11A	7/24 29%	ss	1-6 10-22 N=16	19			▮	medium-grained SAND. Brown (10YR5/3), moist, medium dense, SILT with trace sand and gravel.		610	
12A	20/24 83%	ss	5-21 18-27 N=39	12			22	Dark gray (10YR4/1), slightly moist, hard, very silty CLAY with sand and gravel.		608	
							24	End of Boring = 24.0 ft. BGS			

NOTE(S): G212 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/12/2010

Finish: 10/12/2010

WEATHER: Partly cloudy, mild (mid-50's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G213

Well ID: G213

Surface Elev: 630.34 ft. MSL

Completion: 24.00 ft. BGS

Station: 875,544.37N

2,515,723.51E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = 20.00 - While drilling ▼ = 19.92 - Upon completion ▼ =		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
1A	23/24 96%	ss	4-3 4-5 N=7	15						630	
2A	22/24 92%	ss	2-4 6-8 N=10	21			2			628	
3A	22/24 92%	ss	2-4 7-8 N=11	17			4	FILL - Brown (10YR4/3) with 10% dark gray (10YR4/1) and 5% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		626	
4A	22/24 92%	ss	2-4 4-8 N=8	16			6			624	
5A	20/24 83%	ss	1-3 6-6 N=9	12			8			622	
6A	20/24 83%	ss	2-2 5-7 N=7	24			10	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 25% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand, trace roots.		620	
							12	Gray (10YR5/1) with 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, clayey SILT, trace roots.		618	
7A	20/24 83%	ss	2-3 3-5 N=6	19			14	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 3% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		616	
8A	22/24 92%	ss	1-2 2-3 N=4	24			16	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		614	
9A	24/24 100%	ss	woh-1 2-2 N=3	24			18	Gray (10YR5/1) with 25% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		612	
10A	18/24 75%	ss	woh-woh 1-2	24				Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6), very moist, soft, sandy CLAY with trace gravel.			

NOTE(S): G213 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/12/2010

Finish: 10/12/2010

WEATHER: Partly cloudy, mild (mid-50's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G213

Well ID: G213

Surface Elev: 630.34 ft. MSL

Completion: 24.00 ft. BGS

Station: 875,544.37N

2,515,723.51E

SAMPLE			TESTING				TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = 20.00 - While drilling ▼ = 19.92 - Upon completion ▼ =		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
11A	18/24 75%	ss	1-1 1-2 N=2	18			20.00	Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6), moist, soft, clayey, very fine- to coarse-grained SAND with trace gravel.		610	
							22.00	Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6), moist, firm, very silty CLAY with sand and gravel.			
12A	22/24 92%	ss	10-13 18-22 N=31	11			24.00	Dark gray (10YR4/1), slightly moist, hard, very silty CLAY with sand and gravel.		608	
End of Boring = 24.0 ft. BGS											

NOTE(S): G213 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/13/2010

Finish: 10/13/2010

WEATHER: Sunny, warm, windy (hi-60's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G215

Well ID: G215

Surface Elev: 630.48 ft. MSL

Completion: 24.31 ft. BGS

Station: 875,810.19N

2,515,971.55E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = Dry - While drilling ▼ = Dry - Upon completion ▽ = 22.52 - 10/14/10		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
1A	23/24 96%	ss	5-3 3-5 N=6	18			0			630	
2A	19/24 79%	ss	3-3 5-6 N=8	17			2	FILL - Brown (10YR4/3) with 30% dark gray (10YR4/1) and 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		628	
3A	20/24 83%	ss	2-3 7-7 N=10	13			4			626	
4A	23/24 96%	ss	3-6 6-7 N=12	16			6	FILL - Dark grayish brown (10YR4/2), moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		624	
4B				27			8	FILL - Gray (10YR5/1) with 15% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand.			
5A	20/24 83%	ss	3-3 3-5 N=6	20			10	Very dark gray (10YR3/1), moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand, trace roots.		622	
6A	13/24 54%	ss	2-2 3-5 N=5	24			12	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 30% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand.		620	
7A	19/24 79%	ss	2-3 4-6 N=7	17			14	Gray (10YR5/1) with 30% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, clayey SILT with trace sand.		618	
8A	20/24 83%	ss	2-3 4-5 N=7	19			16	Dark gray (10YR4/1), moist, firm, clayey SILT with trace sand.		616	
9A	22/24 92%	ss	1-3 3-4 N=6	19			18	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 30% Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		614	
10A	24/24 100%	ss	woh-1 2-2 N=3	17			20	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 30% Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, sandy CLAY with trace gravel.		612	

NOTE(S): G215 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/13/2010

Finish: 10/13/2010

WEATHER: Sunny, warm, windy (hi-60's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G215

Well ID: G215

Surface Elev: 630.48 ft. MSL

Completion: 24.31 ft. BGS

Station: 875,810.19N

2,515,971.55E

SAMPLE			TESTING				TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Q _p (tsf) Failure Type					
							Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION: ▮ = Dry - While drilling ▮ = Dry - Upon completion ▮ = 22.52 - 10/14/10		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
11A	20/24 83%	SS	2-4 4-4 N=8	17				Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6), moist, medium dense, clayey SILT with sand and trace gravel.		610	
								Yellowish brown (10YR5/6), moist, medium dense, silty, very fine- to fine-grained SAND.			
							22	Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) with 30% dark gray (10YR4/1) mottles, moist, firm, sandy CLAY with trace gravel.			
12A	24/24 100%	SS	7-11 17-19 N=28	11			▮	Grayish brown (10YR5/2), slightly moist, very firm, very silty CLAY with sand and gravel.		608	
12B	0/4 0%	BD		9			24	Dark gray (10YR4/1), slightly moist, hard, very silty CLAY with sand and gravel.			
End of Boring = 24.3 ft. BGS											

NOTE(S): G215 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/12/2010

Finish: 10/12/2010

WEATHER: Sunny, warm (lo-80's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G217

Well ID: G217

Surface Elev: 630.67 ft. MSL

Completion: 26.00 ft. BGS

Station: 876,185.57N

2,515,963.02E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Q _p (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = 23.00 - While drilling ▽ = 24.82 - Upon completion ▽ = 23.98 - 10/13/10		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
1A	20/24 83%	ss	5-2 3-4 N=5	21			2	FILL - Brown (10YR4/3) with 10% dark gray (10YR4/1) and 5% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		630	
2A	19/24 79%	ss	2-3 5-6 N=8	28			4	FILL - Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 25% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		628	
3A	19/24 79%	ss	2-3 6-7 N=9	14			6	FILL - Brown (10YR4/3) with 10% dark gray (10YR4/1) and 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		626	
4A	23/24 96%	ss	5-6 7-8 N=13	15			8	FILL - Dark grayish brown (10YR4/2) with 5% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) slightly moist, firm, clayey SILT with trace sand and gravel.		624	
5A	20/24 83%	ss	3-5 7-6 N=12	13			10	FILL - Very dark gray (10YR3/1), moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		622	
6A	19/24 79%	ss	3-3 4-5 N=7	27			12	Dark gray (10YR4/1), moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		620	
7A	18/24 75%	ss	3-4 6-8 N=10	28			14	Gray (10YR5/1) with 15% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand, trace roots.		618	
8A	20/24 83%	ss	2-4 6-8 N=10	16			16	Dark grayish brown (10YR4/2) with 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY trace sand, trace roots.		616	
9A	19/24 79%	ss	2-3 4-5 N=7	26			18	Dark gray (10YR4/1), moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		614	
10A	19/24 79%	ss	1-2 2-3 N=4	18			20	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 10% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		612	
								Gray (10YR5/1) with 5% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with sand and trace gravel.			

NOTE(S): G217 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station
Site: CCB Management Facility
Location: Coffeen, Illinois
Project: 05S3004A
DATES: **Start:** 10/12/2010
Finish: 10/12/2010
WEATHER: Sunny, warm (lo-80's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co
Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill
Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers
FIELD STAFF: **Driller:** D. Mahurin
Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail
Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G217
Well ID: G217
Surface Elev: 630.67 ft. MSL
Completion: 26.00 ft. BGS
Station: 876,185.57N
2,515,963.02E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = 23.00 - While drilling ▽ = 24.82 - Upon completion ▮ = 23.98 - 10/13/10		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
11A	22/24 92%	ss	woh-woh 1-2	18				Gray (10YR5/1) with 5% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with sand and trace gravel. [Continued from previous page]		610	
12A	10/24 42%	ss	4-6 7-10 N=13	13			22	Gray (10YR5/1) with 20% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with sand and trace gravel.		608	
							▼ 24	Yellowish brown (10 YR5/4), wet, medium dense, silty, very fine- to coarse-grained SAND with trace gravel.			
13A	22/24 92%	ss	8-18 17-17 N=35	12			▼ 26	Dark gray (10YR4/1), slightly moist, hard, very silty CLAY with sand and gravel.		606	
End of Boring = 26.0 ft. BGS											

NOTE(S): G217 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/12/2010

Finish: 10/12/2010

WEATHER: Partly cloudy, warm (lo-70's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G218

Well ID: G218

Surface Elev: 630.64 ft. MSL

Completion: 26.00 ft. BGS

Station: 876,380.92N

2,515,962.16E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = 24.00 - While drilling ▼ = 24.76 - Upon completion ▼ =		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
1A	18/24 75%	ss	4-1 2-1 N=3	20			0	FILL - Brown (10YR4/3) with 15% dark gray (10YR4/1) and 5% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		630	
2A	22/24 92%	ss	2-2 3-5 N=5	20			2			628	
3A	19/24 79%	ss	2-3 4-8 N=7	17			4	FILL - Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 30% brown (10YR4/3) and 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		626	
4A	22/24 92%	ss	2-5 6-8 N=11	14			6			624	
5A	20/24 83%	ss	3-4 8-7 N=12	17			8	FILL - Brown (10YR5/3) with 10% dark gray (10YR4/1) mottles, slightly moist, firm, clayey SILT with trace sand and gravel.		622	
6A				19			10	Dark grayish brown (10YR4/2) with 5% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, clayey SILT with trace sand.		620	
6B	19/24 79%	ss	2-2 3-5 N=5	25			12	Gray (10YR5/1) with 30% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, silty CLAY with trace sand, slight trace roots.		618	
7A	22/24 92%	ss	2-3 5-7 N=8	22			14	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 15% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, firm, clayey SILT with trace sand.		616	
8A	18/24 75%	ss	2-3 4-5 N=7	19			16			614	
9A	24/24 100%	ss	2-2 2-4 N=4	19			18	Gray (10YR5/1) with 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.		612	
10A	24/24 100%	ss	1-2 2-3 N=4	18			20				

NOTE(S): G218 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/12/2010

Finish: 10/12/2010

WEATHER: Partly cloudy, warm (lo-70's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA w/SS samplers

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: .

BOREHOLE ID: G218

Well ID: G218

Surface Elev: 630.64 ft. MSL

Completion: 26.00 ft. BGS

Station: 876,380.92N

2,515,962.16E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W		▼ = 24.00 - While drilling ▼ = 24.76 - Upon completion ▼ =		
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
11A	22/24 92%	ss	woh-woh woh-woh	16			22	Gray (10YR5/1) with 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, very soft, clayey, very fine- to coarse-grained SAND with trace gravel.		610	
12A	24/24 100%	ss	1-1 1-3 N=2	10				Yellowish brown, wet, loose, silty, very fine- to coarse-grained SAND with trace gravel.		608	
12B				16			24	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, soft, silty CLAY with trace sand and gravel.			
13A	24/24 100%	ss	1-5 9-13 N=14	20			24	Gray (10YR5/1), wet, loose, silty, very fine- to coarse-grained SAND with trace gravel.		606	
13B				17			26	Dark gray (10YR4/1), slightly moist, very firm, very silty CLAY with sand and gravel.			
End of Boring = 26.0 ft. BGS											

NOTE(S): G218 installed in borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: AEG Coffeen Power Station

Site: CCB Management Facility

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 05S3004A

DATES: Start: 10/15/2010

Finish: 10/15/2010

WEATHER: Sunny (mid-50's)

CONTRACTOR: Layne-Western Co

Rig mfg/model: CME-750 ATV Drill

Drilling Method: 4 1/4" HSA (blind drill)

FIELD STAFF: Driller: D. Mahurin

Helper: J. Litsch/D. Smail

Eng/Geo: R. Hasenyager

BOREHOLE ID: R201

Well ID: R201

Surface Elev: 624.02 ft. MSL

Completion: 17.22 ft. BGS

Station: 877,925.26N

2,514,841.96E

SAMPLE			TESTING				TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value	RQD	Moisture (%)	Dry Den. (lb/ft³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
								Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																								
																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				</

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: Illinois Power Generating Co.

Site: Coffeen Part 845 Groundwater

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 20E0111A

DATES: Start: 1/28/2021

Finish: 2/3/2021

WEATHER: Clear, cold (20s)

CONTRACTOR: Roberts

Rig mfg/model: CME-75 Track Rig

Drilling Method: 4.25" HSA w/SS sampler

FIELD STAFF: Driller: Matt

Helper: Corey

Eng/Geo: C. Colin Winter

BOREHOLE ID: G275D

Well ID: G275

Surface Elev: 617.52 ft. MSL

Completion: 99.70 ft. BGS

Station: 874,285.30N

2,516,366.50E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:			WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:			
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value	RQD	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf)	Failure Type	Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
1A	21/24 88%	SS	3-4 5-10 N=9							Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/4), moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine- to fine-grained sand and small gravel, trace roots. [FILL]		616	
2A	22/24 92%	SS	3-4 6-9 N=10						2	Gray (10YR5/1) with 15% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, very stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine- to fine-grained sand, trace small gravel.		614	
3A	19/24 79%	SS	2-4 6-8 N=10						4	Gray (10YR5/1) with 10% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, very stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine- to fine-grained sand, trace small gravel.		612	
4A	23/24 96%	SS	2-5 5-6 N=10									610	
5A	24/24 100%	SS	2-3 5-6 N=8						8	Gray (10YR5/1) with 20% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) and 5% yellowish red (5YR4/6) mottles, moist, very stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine- to fine-grained sand, trace small gravel.		608	
6A	24/24 100%	SS	0-1 2-2 N=3						10	Dark yellowish brown (10YR3/6), moist, stiff, SILT, with some very fine- to medium-grained sand, few clay and small gravel. Gray (10YR6/1) with 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR3/6) mottles, moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, little very fine- to fine-grained sand, few small gravel.		606	
7A	18/24 75%	SH							12	Dark yellowish brown (10YR3/6), wet, loose, SILT, with some very fine- to fine-grained sand, few clay and small gravel.		604	
8A	14/14 100%	SS	26-43 50/2"						14	Gray (10YR6/1) with 20% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, hard, SILT, with some very fine- to fine-grained sand, few clay and small gravel.		602	
9A	24/24 100%	SS	5-12 18-22 N=30						16	Grayish brown (10YR5/2) with 10% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, hard, SILT, with some very fine- to fine-grained sand, few clay and small gravel.		600	
10A	24/24 100%	SS	4-11 13-20 N=24						18	Brown (10YR5/3) with 10% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, hard, SILT, with some clay and very fine- to fine-grained sand, few small gravel.		598	

NOTE(S): G275 installed in adjacent blind drill borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: Illinois Power Generating Co.

Site: Coffeen Part 845 Groundwater

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 20E0111A

DATES: Start: 1/28/2021

Finish: 2/3/2021

WEATHER: Clear, cold (20s)

CONTRACTOR: Roberts

Rig mfg/model: CME-75 Track Rig

Drilling Method: 4.25" HSA w/SS sampler

FIELD STAFF: Driller: Matt

Helper: Corey

Eng/Geo: C. Colin Winter

BOREHOLE ID: G275D

Well ID: G275

Surface Elev: 617.52 ft. MSL

Completion: 99.70 ft. BGS

Station: 874,285.30N

2,516,366.50E

SAMPLE			TESTING				TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:			WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (lb/ft³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks	
11A	24/24 100%	SS	3-9 13-22 N=22					Brown (10YR5/3) with 10% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, hard, SILT, with some clay and very fine- to fine-grained sand, few small gravel. [Continued from previous page]				
12A	24/24 100%	SS	7-14 20-24 N=34				22			596	Vertical fractures with oxidation from 22 to 24 ft, no oxidation below 24 ft.	
13A	24/24 100%	SS	6-11 15-21 N=26				24			594		
14A	18/24 75%	SS	4-8 12-10 N=20				26			592	Occasional thin SILT and SAND lenses from 25.3 to 25.8 ft.	
15A	24/24 100%	SS	5-7 13-17 N=20				28			590	Trace wood fragments below 28 ft.	
16A	23/24 96%	SS	4-7 12-16 N=19				30			588		
17A	2/24 8%	SS	4-10 13-17 N=23				32	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with frequent dark yellowish brown (10YR3/6) oxidation along fractures, moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine- to fine-grained sand and small gravel.		586		
18A	21/24 88%	SH					34			584		
19A	24/24 100%	SS	3-6 10-14 N=16				36			582		
20A	4/24 17%	SS	3-8 11-17 N=19				38			580		
							40			578		

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: Illinois Power Generating Co.

Site: Coffeen Part 845 Groundwater

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 20E0111A

DATES: Start: 1/28/2021

Finish: 2/3/2021

WEATHER: Clear, cold (20s)

CONTRACTOR: Roberts

Rig mfg/model: CME-75 Track Rig

Drilling Method: 4.25" HSA w/SS sampler

FIELD STAFF: Driller: Matt

Helper: Corey

Eng/Geo: C. Colin Winter

BOREHOLE ID: G275D

Well ID: G275

Surface Elev: 617.52 ft. MSL

Completion: 99.70 ft. BGS

Station: 874,285.30N

2,516,366.50E

SAMPLE			TESTING				TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
21A	24/24 100%	SS	4-8 11-15 N=19								
22A	24/24 100%	SS	7-8 11-17 N=19				42	Dark gray (10YR4/1) with frequent dark yellowish brown (10YR3/6) oxidation along fractures, moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine- to fine-grained sand and small gravel. <i>[Continued from previous page]</i>		576	0.5" lignite fragment seam at 42.8 ft.
23A	24/24 100%	SS	5-8 13-40 N=21				44			574	
24A	23/24 96%	SS	22-45 35-23 N=80				46	Dark gray (10YR4/1), moist, hard, SILT, with some to little clay and very fine- to fine-grained sand, few small to medium gravel.		572	
25A	24/24 100%	SS	7-9 14-21 N=23				48	Dark gray (10YR4/1), moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine- to fine-grained sand and small gravel.		570	
26A	24/24 100%	SS	3-8 15-15 N=23				50	Very dark gray (10YR3/1), moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine- to fine-grained sand and small gravel, trace wood fragments.		568	
27A	17/24 71%	SS	12-27 13-15 N=40				52	Dark gray (10YR3/1), moist, SILT, with some very fine-grained sand seams. Dark gray (10YR4/1) with 15% dark grayish brown (10YR4/2) mottles, moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, trace very fine-grained sand, small gravel and wood fragments.		566	Methane deposit encountered at approx. 51 ft.
28A	24/24 100%	SS	4-9 11-13 N=20				54	Gray (10YR5/1), moist, dense, very fine- to medium-grained SAND, with some silt, trace small gravel.		564	
29A	24/24 100%	SS	5-9 13-12 N=22				56	Very dark grayish brown (10YR3/2), moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, trace very fine-grained sand and organics.		562	
30A	24/24 100%	SS	3-4 7-14 N=11				58	Very dark grayish brown (10YR3/2) with 10% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, trace very fine-grained sand and organics. Very dark grayish brown (10YR3/2), wet, SAND, with some silt. Gray (GLEY15/1) with 20% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine- to fine-grained sand and small gravel.		560	
							60			558	

NOTE(S): G275 installed in adjacent blind drill borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: Illinois Power Generating Co.

Site: Coffeen Part 845 Groundwater

Location: Coffeen, Illinois

Project: 20E0111A

DATES: Start: 1/28/2021

Finish: 2/3/2021

WEATHER: Clear, cold (20s)

CONTRACTOR: Roberts

Rig mfg/model: CME-75 Track Rig

Drilling Method: 4.25" HSA w/SS sampler

FIELD STAFF: Driller: Matt

Helper: Corey

Eng/Geo: C. Colin Winter

BOREHOLE ID: G275D

Well ID: G275

Surface Elev: 617.52 ft. MSL

Completion: 99.70 ft. BGS

Station: 874,285.30N

2,516,366.50E

SAMPLE		TESTING					TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:		
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (lb/ft³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail	Elevation ft. MSL	Remarks
31A	24/24 100%	SS	0-4 5-7 N=9					Gray (GLEY15/) with 30% dark yellowish brown (10YR4/6) mottles, moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine-to fine-grained sand and small gravel.		556	
32A	24/24 100%	SS	4-6 8-11 N=14							554	
33A	24/24 100%	SH						Greenish gray (GLEY15/1) with 15% very dark gray (10YR3/1) mottles, moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, few very fine-to fine-grained sand and small gravel.		552	
34A	24/24 100%	SS	5-10 22-41 N=32							550	
35A	24/24 100%	SS	12-24 33-45 N=57							548	
36A	23/24 96%	SS	6-14 25-30 N=39					Yellowish brown (10YR5/4) with occasional thin greenish gray (GLEY15/1) seams, moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, few small gravel, trace very fine-grained sand.		546	Trace medium gravel at 70 ft.
37A	24/24 100%	SS	8-18 24-32 N=42							544	
38A	24/24 100%	SS	7-16 25-29 N=41							542	
39A	24/24 100%	SS	7-15 20-21 N=35					Yellowish brown (10YR5/4) with 15% gray (10YR6/1) mottles, moist, hard, lean CLAY, with some silt, few small gravel, trace very fine-grained sand.		540	
40A	19/24 79%	SS	3-5 7-10 N=12					Greenish gray (GLEY15/1) with 5% yellowish brown (10YR5/6) mottles, moist, stiff, lean CLAY, with some silt, trace very		538	

BOREHOLE ID: G275D

Well ID: G275

Surface Elev: 617.52 ft. MSL

Completion: 99.70 ft. BGS

Station: 874,285.30N

2,516,366.50E

2,516,366.50E

NOTE(S): G275 installed in adjacent blind drill borehole.

FIELD BORING LOG



CLIENT: Illinois Power Generating Co.
Site: Coffeen Part 845 Groundwater
Location: Coffeen, Illinois
Project: 20E0111A

CONTRACTOR: Roberts
Rig mfg/model: CME-75 Track Rig
Drilling Method: 4.25" HSA w/SS sampler

BOREHOLE ID: G275D
Well ID: G275
Surface Elev: 617.52 ft. MSL
Completion: 99.70 ft. BGS
Station: 874,285.30N
2,516,366.50E

DATES: **Start:** 1/28/2021
Finish: 2/3/2021

FIELD STAFF: **Driller:** Matt
Helper: Corey
Eng/Geo: C. Colin Winter

WEATHER: Clear, cold (20s)

SAMPLE			TESTING			TOPOGRAPHIC MAP INFORMATION:		WATER LEVEL INFORMATION:	
Number	Recov / Total (in) % Recovery	Type	Blows / 6 in N - Value RQD	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (lb/ft ³)	Qu (tsf) Qp (tsf) Failure Type	Quadrangle: Coffeen, IL Township: East Fork Township Section 11, Tier 7N; Range 3W	▽ = 10.90 - During Drilling ▽ = ▽ =	
							Depth ft. BGS	Lithologic Description	Borehole Detail Elevation ft. MSL Remarks

fine-grained sand, trace small gravel.
 End of boring = 99.7 feet

NOTE(S): G275 installed in adjacent blind drill borehole.

ATTACHMENT 11

Sequential Extraction Procedure Analytical Data

ANALYTICAL REPORT

PREPARED FOR

Attn: Allison Kreinberg
Geosyntec Consultants Inc
941 Chatham Lane
Suite 103
Columbus, Ohio 43221

Generated 4/19/2024 9:44:17 AM

JOB DESCRIPTION

Vistra - Coffeen

JOB NUMBER

140-36007-1

Eurofins Knoxville

Job Notes

This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. The results relate only to the samples tested. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

The test results in this report relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory and will meet all requirements of the methodology, with any exceptions noted. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the express written approval of the laboratory. All questions should be directed to the Eurofins TestAmerica Project Manager.

Authorization



Generated
4/19/2024 9:44:17 AM

Authorized for release by
Ryan Henry, Project Manager I
WilliamR.Henry@et.eurofinsus.com
(865)291-3006



Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	3
Definitions/Glossary	4
Case Narrative	5
Sample Summary	7
Client Sample Results	8
Default Detection Limits	12
QC Sample Results	14
QC Association Summary	20
Lab Chronicle	25
Certification Summary	35
Method Summary	36
Chain of Custody	37

Definitions/Glossary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Qualifiers

Metals

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
B	Compound was found in the blank and sample.
F3	Duplicate RPD exceeds the control limit
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
α	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
MPN	Most Probable Number
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
NEG	Negative / Absent
POS	Positive / Present
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PRES	Presumptive
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count

Case Narrative

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Eurofins Knoxville

Job Narrative 140-36007-1

Receipt

The samples were received on 3/22/2024 at 9:30am and arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 18.2° C.

Receipt Exceptions

The Field Sampler was not listed on the Chain of Custody.

The Chain-of-Custody (COC) was incomplete as received and/or improperly completed. Analysis listed on COC is 6010B SEP (Ar,Fe,Mn), should be 6010B SEP (As,Fe,Mn).

Metals

7 Step Sequential Extraction Procedure

These soil samples were prepared and analyzed using Eurofins TestAmerica Knoxville standard operating procedure KNOX-MT-0008, "7 Step Sequential Extraction Procedure". SW-846 Method 6010B as incorporated in Eurofins TestAmerica Knoxville standard operating procedure KNOX-MT-0007 was used to perform the final instrument analyses.

An aliquot of each sample was sequentially extracted using the steps listed below:

- Step 1 - Exchangeable Fraction: A 5 gram aliquot of sample was extracted with 25 mL of 1M magnesium sulfate (MgSO₄), centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 2 - Carbonate Fraction: The sample residue from step 1 was extracted with 25 mL of 1M sodium acetate/acetic acid (NaOAc/HOAc) at pH 5, centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 3 - Non-crystalline Materials Fraction: The sample residue from step 2 was extracted with 25 mL of 0.2M ammonium oxalate (pH 3), centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 4 - Metal Hydroxide Fraction: The sample residue from step 3 was extracted with 25 mL of 1M hydroxylamine hydrochloride solution in 25% v/v acetic acid, centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 5 - Organic-bound Fraction: The sample residue from step 4 was extracted three times with 25 mL of 5% sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) at pH 9.5, centrifuged and filtered. The resulting leachates were combined and 5 mL were digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 6 - Acid/Sulfide Fraction: The sample residue from step 5 was extracted with 25 mL of a 3:1:2 v/v solution of HCl-HNO₃-H₂O, centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was diluted to 50 mL with reagent water and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 7 - Residual Fraction: A 1.0 g aliquot of the sample residue from step 6 was digested using HF, HNO₃, HCl and H₃BO₃. The digestate was analyzed by ICP using method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.

In addition, a 1.0 g aliquot of the original sample was digested using HF, HNO₃, HCl and H₃BO₃. The digestate was analyzed by ICP using method 6010B. Total metal results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.

Results were calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Result, } \mu\text{g/g or mg/Kg, dry weight} = (C \times V \times V1 \times D) / (W \times S \times V2)$$

Where:

- C = Concentration from instrument readout, $\mu\text{g/mL}$
- V = Final volume of digestate, mL
- D = Instrument dilution factor
- V1 = Total volume of leachate, mL
- V2 = Volume of leachate digested, mL
- W = Wet weight of sample, g
- S = Percent solids/100

A method blank, laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were prepared and analyzed with each SEP step in order to provide information about both the presence of elements of interest in the extraction solutions, and the recovery of elements of interest from the extraction solutions. Results outside of laboratory QC limits do not reflect out of control performance,

Eurofins Knoxville

Case Narrative

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Job ID: 140-36007-1 (Continued)

Eurofins Knoxville

but rather the effect of the extraction solution upon the analyte.

A laboratory sample duplicate was prepared and analyzed with each batch of samples in order to provide information regarding the reproducibility of the procedure.

SEP Report Notes:

The final report lists the results for each step, the result for the total digestion of the sample, and a sum of the results of steps 1 through 7 by element.

Magnesium was not reported for step 1 because the extraction solution for this step (magnesium sulfate) contains high levels of magnesium.

Sodium was not reported for steps 2 and 5 since the extraction solution for these steps contain high levels of sodium.

The sum of steps 1 through 7 is much higher than the total result for sodium and magnesium due to the magnesium and sodium introduced by the extraction solutions.

The digestates for steps 1, 2 and 5 were analyzed at a dilution due to instrument problems caused by the high solids content of the digestates. The reporting limits were adjusted accordingly.

Method 6010B: The serial dilution performed for the following samples associated with batch 140-85735 was outside control limits: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321 (140-36007-4) and (140-36007-A-4-A SD ^5)

Methods 6010B, 6010B SEP: The following samples were diluted due to the presence of Silicon which interferes with Arsenic: SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320 (140-36007-1), SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320 (140-36007-2), SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321 (140-36007-3) and SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321 (140-36007-4). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Method 6010B SEP: The sample duplicate (DUP) precision for preparation batch 140-85238 and 140-85281 and analytical batch 140-85646 was outside control limits. Sample non-homogeneity is suspected.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

General Chemistry

% Moisture: The samples were analyzed for percent moisture using SOP number KNOX-WC-0012 (based on Modified MCAWW 160.3 and SM2540B and on the percent moisture determinations described in methods 3540C and 3550B).

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Eurofins Knoxville

Sample Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Solid	03/20/24 11:23	03/22/24 09:30
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Solid	03/20/24 11:46	03/22/24 09:30
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Solid	03/21/24 09:25	03/22/24 09:30
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Solid	03/21/24 09:40	03/22/24 09:30

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-1

Date Collected: 03/20/24 11:23

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Percent Solids: 88.2

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		2.3	0.59	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:33	4
Iron	ND		23	13	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:33	4
Manganese	8.1		3.4	0.14	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:33	4

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		1.7	0.44	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:37	3
Iron	950		17	9.9	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:37	3
Manganese	89		2.6	0.95	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:37	3

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	1.0		0.57	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:41	1
Iron	2600		5.7	3.3	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:41	1
Manganese	64	B	0.85	0.031	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:41	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	0.37	J	0.57	0.25	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:09	1
Iron	2900		5.7	3.3	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:09	1
Manganese	120		0.85	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:09	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		8.5	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:15	5
Iron	ND		85	50	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:15	5
Manganese	14		13	2.1	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:15	5

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	1.7		0.57	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:19	1
Iron	6400		5.7	3.3	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:19	1
Manganese	53		0.85	0.28	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:19	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	1.8		1.1	0.68	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 13:38	2
Iron	4200		5.7	4.7	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:10	1
Manganese	47		0.85	0.35	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:10	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	4.9		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1
Iron	17000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1
Manganese	390		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1

Method: SW846 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	4.4		0.57	0.34	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:50	1
Iron	12000		5.7	4.7	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:50	1
Manganese	250		0.85	0.35	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:50	1

Eurofins Knoxville

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-2

Date Collected: 03/20/24 11:46

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Percent Solids: 81.9

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		2.4	0.64	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:38	4
Iron	ND		24	14	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:38	4
Manganese	4.2		3.7	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:38	4

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		1.8	0.48	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:42	3
Iron	99		18	11	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:42	3
Manganese	22		2.7	1.0	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:42	3

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	0.55	J	0.61	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:46	1
Iron	1100		6.1	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:46	1
Manganese	190	B	0.92	0.033	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:46	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	2.2		0.61	0.27	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:14	1
Iron	8200		6.1	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:14	1
Manganese	120		0.92	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:14	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	2.3	J	9.2	2.3	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:20	5
Iron	ND		92	54	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:20	5
Manganese	8.6	J	14	2.3	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:20	5

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	1.6		0.61	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:24	1
Iron	7200		6.1	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:24	1
Manganese	32		0.92	0.31	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:24	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	2.0		1.2	0.73	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 13:43	2
Iron	5000		6.1	5.0	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:15	1
Manganese	36		0.92	0.38	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:15	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	8.7		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1
Iron	22000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1
Manganese	410		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1

Method: SW846 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	8.4		1.2	0.73	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 14:08	2
Iron	24000		6.1	5.0	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:56	1
Manganese	260		0.92	0.38	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:56	1

Eurofins Knoxville

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-3

Date Collected: 03/21/24 09:25

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Percent Solids: 87.2

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		2.3	0.60	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:43	4
Iron	ND		23	13	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:43	4
Manganese	11		3.4	0.14	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:43	4

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		1.7	0.45	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:47	3
Iron	610		17	10	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:47	3
Manganese	81		2.6	0.96	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:47	3

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	1.2		0.57	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:51	1
Iron	2400		5.7	3.3	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:51	1
Manganese	71	B	0.86	0.031	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:51	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	0.66		0.57	0.25	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:19	1
Iron	2100		5.7	3.3	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:19	1
Manganese	100		0.86	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:19	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	2.7	J	8.6	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:25	5
Iron	ND		86	50	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:25	5
Manganese	33		13	2.1	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:25	5

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	3.2		0.57	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:29	1
Iron	6300		5.7	3.3	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:29	1
Manganese	50		0.86	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:29	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	2.3		1.1	0.69	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 13:48	2
Iron	4600		5.7	4.7	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:20	1
Manganese	48		0.86	0.36	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:20	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	10		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1
Iron	16000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1
Manganese	400		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1

Method: SW846 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	5.3		0.57	0.34	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 13:01	1
Iron	14000		5.7	4.7	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 13:01	1
Manganese	340		0.86	0.36	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 13:01	1

Eurofins Knoxville

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4

Date Collected: 03/21/24 09:40

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Percent Solids: 96.6

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		2.1	0.54	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:48	4
Iron	ND		21	12	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:48	4
Manganese	6.8		3.1	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:48	4

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		1.6	0.40	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:52	3
Iron	250		16	9.0	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:52	3
Manganese	52		2.3	0.87	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:52	3

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	0.92		0.52	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 15:06	1
Iron	2100		5.2	3.0	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 15:06	1
Manganese	78 B		0.78	0.028	mg/Kg	☆	04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 15:06	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	0.41 J		0.52	0.23	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:24	1
Iron	2400		5.2	3.0	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:24	1
Manganese	170		0.78	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:24	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		7.8	2.0	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:30	5
Iron	ND		78	46	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:30	5
Manganese	14		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:30	5

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	1.5		0.52	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:44	1
Iron	2900		5.2	3.0	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:44	1
Manganese	33		0.78	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 15:44	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	1.1		1.0	0.62	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 13:53	2
Iron	1400		5.2	4.2	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:25	1
Manganese	19		0.78	0.32	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 12:25	1

Method: SW846 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	4.0		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1
Iron	9000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1
Manganese	370		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			04/18/24 12:10	1

Method: SW846 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	4.5		1.0	0.62	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 14:13	2
Iron	8500		5.2	4.2	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 13:07	1
Manganese	360		0.78	0.32	mg/Kg	☆	04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 13:07	1

Eurofins Knoxville

Default Detection Limits

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Exchangeable

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	2.9	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.031	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Carbonate

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	2.9	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.28	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Non-Crystalline

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	2.9	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.027	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Metal Hydroxide

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.22	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	2.9	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.13	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Organic-Bound

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	1.5	0.38	mg/Kg
Iron	15	8.8	mg/Kg
Manganese	2.3	0.37	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

SEP: Acid/Sulfide

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.15	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	2.9	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.25	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Prep: Residual

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.30	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	4.1	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.31	mg/Kg

Eurofins Knoxville

Default Detection Limits

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	4.1	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.052	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Prep: Total

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.30	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	4.1	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.31	mg/Kg

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85062/1-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 85735

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 85062

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.30	mg/Kg		04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 11:36	1
Iron	ND		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg		04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 11:36	1
Manganese	ND		0.75	0.31	mg/Kg		04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 11:36	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85062/2-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 85735

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 85062

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	5.00	5.24		mg/Kg		105	80 - 120
Iron	50.0	53.4		mg/Kg		107	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	5.66		mg/Kg		113	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85062/3-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 85735

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 85062

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	5.18		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120	1	30
Iron	50.0	52.3		mg/Kg		105	80 - 120	2	30
Manganese	5.00	5.65		mg/Kg		113	80 - 120	0	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 85735

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 85062

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Iron	8500		7620		mg/Kg	⊛	11	30
Manganese	360		324		mg/Kg	⊛	12	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 85735

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 85062

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	4.5		4.59		mg/Kg	⊛	3	30

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP)

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85063/1-B ^4
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Step 1
Prep Batch: 85171

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		2.0	0.52	mg/Kg		04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:08	4
Iron	ND		20	12	mg/Kg		04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:08	4
Manganese	ND		3.0	0.12	mg/Kg		04/04/24 08:00	04/15/24 12:08	4

Eurofins Knoxville

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85063/2-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 1

Prep Batch: 85171

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	5.00	4.87		mg/Kg		97	80 - 120
Iron	50.0	49.8		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	5.06		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85063/3-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 1

Prep Batch: 85171

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	4.98		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120	2	30
Iron	50.0	50.2		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120	1	30
Manganese	5.00	5.02		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120	1	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321

Prep Type: Step 1

Prep Batch: 85171

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✖	NC	30
Iron	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✖	NC	30
Manganese	6.8		6.71		mg/Kg	✖	1	30

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85197/1-B ^3

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 2

Prep Batch: 85280

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		1.5	0.39	mg/Kg		04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:12	3
Iron	ND		15	8.7	mg/Kg		04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:12	3
Manganese	ND		2.3	0.84	mg/Kg		04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 13:12	3

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85197/2-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 2

Prep Batch: 85280

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	5.00	3.68		mg/Kg		74	60 - 120
Iron	50.0	ND		mg/Kg		6	
Manganese	5.00	4.94		mg/Kg		99	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85197/5-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 2

Prep Batch: 85280

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	3.98		mg/Kg		80	60 - 120	8	30
Iron	50.0	ND		mg/Kg		5		9	
Manganese	5.00	4.84		mg/Kg		97	80 - 120	2	30

Eurofins Knoxville

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321

Prep Type: Step 2

Prep Batch: 85280

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	Limit
Arsenic	ND		ND		mg/Kg	⊛	NC	30
Iron	250		235		mg/Kg	⊛	7	
Manganese	52		49.0		mg/Kg	⊛	6	30

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85238/1-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 3

Prep Batch: 85281

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg		04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:17	1
Iron	ND		5.0	2.9	mg/Kg		04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:17	1
Manganese	0.0985	J	0.75	0.027	mg/Kg		04/05/24 08:00	04/15/24 14:17	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85238/2-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 3

Prep Batch: 85281

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	5.00	4.77		mg/Kg		95	80 - 120
Iron	50.0	49.1		mg/Kg		98	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	4.96		mg/Kg		99	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85238/5-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 3

Prep Batch: 85281

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	Limit
Arsenic	5.00	4.79		mg/Kg		96	80 - 120	0	30
Iron	50.0	49.5		mg/Kg		99	80 - 120	1	30
Manganese	5.00	5.03		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120	1	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85646

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321

Prep Type: Step 3

Prep Batch: 85281

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	Limit
Arsenic	0.92		1.46	F3	mg/Kg	⊛	45	30
Iron	2100		2090		mg/Kg	⊛	0.8	30
Manganese	78	B	64.6		mg/Kg	⊛	19	30

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85288/1-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 4

Prep Batch: 85332

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.22	mg/Kg		04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 12:45	1
Iron	ND		5.0	2.9	mg/Kg		04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 12:45	1
Manganese	ND		0.75	0.13	mg/Kg		04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 12:45	1

Eurofins Knoxville

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85288/2-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 4

Prep Batch: 85332

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	5.00	4.99		mg/Kg		100	80 - 130
Iron	50.0	49.7		mg/Kg		99	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	5.06		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85288/5-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 4

Prep Batch: 85332

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	5.09		mg/Kg		102	80 - 130	2	30
Iron	50.0	50.7		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120	2	30
Manganese	5.00	5.19		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120	3	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321

Prep Type: Step 4

Prep Batch: 85332

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	0.41	J	0.442	J	mg/Kg	✱	7	30
Iron	2400		2450		mg/Kg	✱	0.9	30
Manganese	170		181		mg/Kg	✱	5	30

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85333/1-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 5

Prep Batch: 85452

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		7.5	1.9	mg/Kg		04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:49	5
Iron	49.9	J	75	44	mg/Kg		04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:49	5
Manganese	ND		11	1.9	mg/Kg		04/11/24 08:00	04/16/24 13:49	5

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85333/2-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 5

Prep Batch: 85452

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	15.0	12.2		mg/Kg		81	60 - 100
Iron	150	ND		mg/Kg		2	
Manganese	15.0	4.71	J	mg/Kg		31	1 - 60

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85333/5-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 5

Prep Batch: 85452

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	15.0	12.9		mg/Kg		86	60 - 100	6	30
Iron	150	ND		mg/Kg		2		38	
Manganese	15.0	4.08	J	mg/Kg		27	1 - 60	14	30

Eurofins Knoxville

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321

Prep Type: Step 5

Prep Batch: 85452

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	Limit
Arsenic	ND		2.36	J	mg/Kg	⊛	NC	30
Iron	ND		ND		mg/Kg	⊛	NC	
Manganese	14		12.5		mg/Kg	⊛	10	30

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85451/1-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 6

Prep Batch: 85451

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.15	mg/Kg		04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:55	1
Iron	ND		5.0	2.9	mg/Kg		04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:55	1
Manganese	ND		0.75	0.25	mg/Kg		04/10/24 08:00	04/16/24 14:55	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85451/2-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 6

Prep Batch: 85451

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	5.00	5.22		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120
Iron	50.0	51.4		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	5.32		mg/Kg		106	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85451/5-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 6

Prep Batch: 85451

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	Limit
Arsenic	5.00	5.17		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120	1	30
Iron	50.0	50.9		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120	1	30
Manganese	5.00	5.23		mg/Kg		105	80 - 120	2	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85701

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321

Prep Type: Step 6

Prep Batch: 85451

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	Limit
Arsenic	1.5		1.55		mg/Kg	⊛	3	30
Iron	2900		3000		mg/Kg	⊛	5	30
Manganese	33		30.8		mg/Kg	⊛	7	30

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85512/1-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 85735

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 85512

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.30	mg/Kg		04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 10:35	1
Iron	ND		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg		04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 10:35	1
Manganese	ND		0.75	0.31	mg/Kg		04/11/24 08:00	04/17/24 10:35	1

Eurofins Knoxville

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85512/2-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 85735

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Step 7
Prep Batch: 85512

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	5.00	5.20		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120
Iron	50.0	51.9		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	5.74		mg/Kg		115	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85512/5-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 85735

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Step 7
Prep Batch: 85512

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	4.82		mg/Kg		96	80 - 120	8	30
Iron	50.0	47.3		mg/Kg		95	80 - 120	9	30
Manganese	5.00	5.40		mg/Kg		108	80 - 120	6	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 85735

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321
Prep Type: Step 7
Prep Batch: 85512

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Iron	1400		1410		mg/Kg	✱	4	30
Manganese	19		18.0		mg/Kg	✱	4	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 85735

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321
Prep Type: Step 7
Prep Batch: 85512

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	1.1		1.06		mg/Kg	✱	4	30

QC Association Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Metals

Prep Batch: 85062

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
MB 140-85062/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
LCS 140-85062/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
LCSD 140-85062/3-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Total/NA	Solid	Total	

SEP Batch: 85063

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
MB 140-85063/1-B ^4	Method Blank	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
LCS 140-85063/2-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
LCSD 140-85063/3-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	

Prep Batch: 85171

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 1	Solid	3010A	85063
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 1	Solid	3010A	85063
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 1	Solid	3010A	85063
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 1	Solid	3010A	85063
MB 140-85063/1-B ^4	Method Blank	Step 1	Solid	3010A	85063
LCS 140-85063/2-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 1	Solid	3010A	85063
LCSD 140-85063/3-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 1	Solid	3010A	85063
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 1	Solid	3010A	85063

SEP Batch: 85197

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
MB 140-85197/1-B ^3	Method Blank	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
LCS 140-85197/2-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
LCSD 140-85197/5-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	

SEP Batch: 85238

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
MB 140-85238/1-B	Method Blank	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
LCS 140-85238/2-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
LCSD 140-85238/5-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	

Eurofins Knoxville

QC Association Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Metals (Continued)

SEP Batch: 85238 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	

Prep Batch: 85280

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 2	Solid	3010A	85197
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 2	Solid	3010A	85197
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 2	Solid	3010A	85197
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 2	Solid	3010A	85197
MB 140-85197/1-B ^3	Method Blank	Step 2	Solid	3010A	85197
LCS 140-85197/2-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 2	Solid	3010A	85197
LCSD 140-85197/5-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 2	Solid	3010A	85197
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 2	Solid	3010A	85197

Prep Batch: 85281

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 3	Solid	3010A	85238
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 3	Solid	3010A	85238
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 3	Solid	3010A	85238
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 3	Solid	3010A	85238
MB 140-85238/1-B	Method Blank	Step 3	Solid	3010A	85238
LCS 140-85238/2-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 3	Solid	3010A	85238
LCSD 140-85238/5-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 3	Solid	3010A	85238
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 3	Solid	3010A	85238

SEP Batch: 85288

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
MB 140-85288/1-B	Method Blank	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
LCS 140-85288/2-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
LCSD 140-85288/5-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	

Prep Batch: 85332

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 4	Solid	3010A	85288
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 4	Solid	3010A	85288
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 4	Solid	3010A	85288
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 4	Solid	3010A	85288
MB 140-85288/1-B	Method Blank	Step 4	Solid	3010A	85288
LCS 140-85288/2-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 4	Solid	3010A	85288
LCSD 140-85288/5-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 4	Solid	3010A	85288
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 4	Solid	3010A	85288

SEP Batch: 85333

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	

Eurofins Knoxville

QC Association Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Metals (Continued)

SEP Batch: 85333 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
MB 140-85333/1-B ^5	Method Blank	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
LCS 140-85333/2-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
LCSD 140-85333/5-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	

SEP Batch: 85451

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
MB 140-85451/1-A	Method Blank	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
LCS 140-85451/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
LCSD 140-85451/5-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	

Prep Batch: 85452

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 5	Solid	3010A	85333
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 5	Solid	3010A	85333
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 5	Solid	3010A	85333
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 5	Solid	3010A	85333
MB 140-85333/1-B ^5	Method Blank	Step 5	Solid	3010A	85333
LCS 140-85333/2-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 5	Solid	3010A	85333
LCSD 140-85333/5-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 5	Solid	3010A	85333
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 5	Solid	3010A	85333

Prep Batch: 85512

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
MB 140-85512/1-A	Method Blank	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
LCS 140-85512/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
LCSD 140-85512/5-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 7	Solid	Residual	

Analysis Batch: 85646

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	85171
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	85280
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	85281
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	85171
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	85280
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	85281
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	85171
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	85280
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	85281
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	85171

Eurofins Knoxville

QC Association Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Metals (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 85646 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	85280
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	85281
MB 140-85063/1-B ^4	Method Blank	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	85171
MB 140-85197/1-B ^3	Method Blank	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	85280
MB 140-85238/1-B	Method Blank	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	85281
LCS 140-85063/2-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	85171
LCS 140-85197/2-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	85280
LCS 140-85238/2-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	85281
LCSD 140-85063/3-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	85171
LCSD 140-85197/5-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	85280
LCSD 140-85238/5-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	85281
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	85171
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	85280
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	85281

Analysis Batch: 85701

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	85332
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	85452
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	85451
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	85332
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	85452
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	85451
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	85332
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	85452
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	85451
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	85332
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	85452
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	85451
MB 140-85288/1-B	Method Blank	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	85332
MB 140-85333/1-B ^5	Method Blank	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	85452
MB 140-85451/1-A	Method Blank	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	85451
LCS 140-85288/2-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	85332
LCS 140-85333/2-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	85452
LCS 140-85451/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	85451
LCSD 140-85288/5-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	85332
LCSD 140-85333/5-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	85452
LCSD 140-85451/5-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	85451
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	85332
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	85452
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	85451

Analysis Batch: 85735

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	85062
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	85062
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	85062

Eurofins Knoxville

QC Association Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Metals (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 85735 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	85062
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	85062
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	85062
MB 140-85062/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	85062
MB 140-85512/1-A	Method Blank	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
LCS 140-85062/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	85062
LCS 140-85512/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
LCSD 140-85062/3-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	85062
LCSD 140-85512/5-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	85512
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	85062
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	85062

Analysis Batch: 85778

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	

General Chemistry

Analysis Batch: 84858

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-36007-1	SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-36007-2	SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-36007-3	SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-36007-4	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-36007-4 DU	SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-1

Date Collected: 03/20/24 11:23

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85778	04/18/24 12:10	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			84858	03/25/24 09:34	TMB	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

Client Sample ID: SB-206D-(45-47)-20240320

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-1

Date Collected: 03/20/24 11:23

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Percent Solids: 88.2

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	85062	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			85735	04/17/24 12:50	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	85063	04/02/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85171	04/04/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			85646	04/15/24 12:33	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	85197	04/03/24 08:45	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85280	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			85646	04/15/24 13:37	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	85238	04/04/24 08:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85281	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85646	04/15/24 14:41	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	85288	04/05/24 07:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85332	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 13:09	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	85333	04/08/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85452	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85701	04/16/24 14:15	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	85451	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 15:19	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85735	04/17/24 12:10	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			85735	04/17/24 13:38	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-2

Date Collected: 03/20/24 11:46

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85778	04/18/24 12:10	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			84858	03/25/24 09:34	TMB	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

Client Sample ID: SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-2

Date Collected: 03/20/24 11:46

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Percent Solids: 81.9

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	85062	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			85735	04/17/24 12:56	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	85062	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			85735	04/17/24 14:08	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	85063	04/02/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85171	04/04/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			85646	04/15/24 12:38	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	85197	04/03/24 08:45	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85280	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			85646	04/15/24 13:42	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	85238	04/04/24 08:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85281	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85646	04/15/24 14:46	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	85288	04/05/24 07:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85332	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 13:14	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	85333	04/08/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85452	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85701	04/16/24 14:20	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	85451	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 15:24	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85735	04/17/24 12:15	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			85735	04/17/24 13:43	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-3

Date Collected: 03/21/24 09:25

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85778	04/18/24 12:10	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: NOEQUIP									
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			84858	03/25/24 09:34	TMB	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: NOEQUIP									

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(46-48)-20240321

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-3

Date Collected: 03/21/24 09:25

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Percent Solids: 87.2

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	85062	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			85735	04/17/24 13:01	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	85063	04/02/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85171	04/04/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			85646	04/15/24 12:43	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	85197	04/03/24 08:45	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85280	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			85646	04/15/24 13:47	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	85238	04/04/24 08:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85281	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85646	04/15/24 14:51	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	85288	04/05/24 07:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85332	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 13:19	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	85333	04/08/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85452	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85701	04/16/24 14:25	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	85451	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 15:29	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85735	04/17/24 12:20	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			85735	04/17/24 13:48	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									

Eurofins Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4

Date Collected: 03/21/24 09:40

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85778	04/18/24 12:10	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: NOEQUIP									
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			84858	03/25/24 09:34	TMB	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: NOEQUIP									

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4

Date Collected: 03/21/24 09:40

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Percent Solids: 96.6

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	85062	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			85735	04/17/24 13:07	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	85062	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			85735	04/17/24 14:13	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	85063	04/02/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85171	04/04/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			85646	04/15/24 12:48	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	85197	04/03/24 08:45	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85280	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			85646	04/15/24 13:52	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	85238	04/04/24 08:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85281	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85646	04/15/24 15:06	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	85288	04/05/24 07:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85332	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 13:24	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	85333	04/08/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85452	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85701	04/16/24 14:30	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	85451	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 15:44	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85735	04/17/24 12:25	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			85735	04/17/24 13:53	KNC	EET KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									

Eurofins Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85062/1-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	85062	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			85735	04/17/24 11:36	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85063/1-B ^4

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	85063	04/02/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85171	04/04/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			85646	04/15/24 12:08	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85197/1-B ^3

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	85197	04/03/24 08:45	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85280	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			85646	04/15/24 13:12	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85238/1-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	85238	04/04/24 08:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85281	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85646	04/15/24 14:17	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85288/1-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	85288	04/05/24 07:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85332	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 12:45	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85333/1-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	85333	04/08/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85452	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85701	04/16/24 13:49	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85451/1-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	85451	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 14:55	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-85512/1-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85735	04/17/24 10:35	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85062/2-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	85062	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			85735	04/17/24 11:41	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85063/2-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	85063	04/02/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85171	04/04/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85646	04/15/24 12:13	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85197/2-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	85197	04/03/24 08:45	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85280	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85646	04/15/24 13:17	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85238/2-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	85238	04/04/24 08:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85281	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85646	04/15/24 14:22	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85288/2-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	85288	04/05/24 07:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85332	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 12:50	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85333/2-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	85333	04/08/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85452	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85701	04/16/24 13:55	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85451/2-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	85451	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 15:00	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-85512/2-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85735	04/17/24 10:40	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85062/3-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	85062	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			85735	04/17/24 11:45	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85063/3-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	85063	04/02/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85171	04/04/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85646	04/15/24 12:18	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85197/5-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	85197	04/03/24 08:45	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85280	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85646	04/15/24 13:22	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85238/5-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	85238	04/04/24 08:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85281	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85646	04/15/24 14:27	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85288/5-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	85288	04/05/24 07:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85332	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 12:55	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85333/5-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	85333	04/08/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85452	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85701	04/16/24 14:00	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85451/5-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	85451	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 15:05	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-85512/5-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85735	04/17/24 10:45	KNC	EET KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU

Date Collected: 03/21/24 09:40

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			84858	03/25/24 09:34	TMB	EET KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Client Sample ID: SB-275D-(50-53)-20240321

Lab Sample ID: 140-36007-4 DU

Date Collected: 03/21/24 09:40

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 03/22/24 09:30

Percent Solids: 96.6

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	85062	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			85735	04/17/24 13:12	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	85062	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			85735	04/17/24 14:18	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	85063	04/02/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85171	04/04/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			85646	04/15/24 13:07	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	85197	04/03/24 08:45	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85280	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			85646	04/15/24 14:07	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	85238	04/04/24 08:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85281	04/05/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85646	04/15/24 15:11	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	85288	04/05/24 07:30	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85332	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 13:44	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	85333	04/08/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	85452	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			85701	04/16/24 14:45	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	85451	04/10/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85701	04/16/24 15:49	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			85735	04/17/24 12:31	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	85512	04/11/24 08:00	WSK	EET KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			85735	04/17/24 13:58	KNC	EET KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Laboratory References:

EET KNX = Eurofins Knoxville, 5815 Middlebrook Pike, Knoxville, TN 37921, TEL (865)291-3000

Eurofins Knoxville

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Laboratory: Eurofins Knoxville

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
	AFCEE	N/A	
ANAB	Dept. of Defense ELAP	L2311	02-13-25
ANAB	Dept. of Energy	L2311.01	02-13-25
ANAB	ISO/IEC 17025	L2311	02-13-25
Arkansas DEQ	State	88-0688	06-16-24
Colorado	State	TN00009	02-28-25
Connecticut	State	PH-0223	10-01-26
Florida	NELAP	E87177	06-30-24
Georgia (DW)	State	906	07-27-25
Hawaii	State	NA	07-27-24
Kansas	NELAP	E-10349	10-31-24
Kentucky (DW)	State	90101	12-31-24
Louisiana (All)	NELAP	83979	06-30-24
Louisiana (DW)	State	LA019	12-31-24
Maryland	State	277	03-31-25
Michigan	State	9933	07-27-25
Nevada	State	TN00009	07-31-24
New Hampshire	NELAP	2999	01-17-25
New Jersey	NELAP	TN001	07-01-24
New York	NELAP	10781	03-31-25
North Carolina (DW)	State	21705	07-31-24
North Carolina (WW/SW)	State	64	12-31-24
Oklahoma	State	9415	08-31-24
Oregon	NELAP	TNI0189	01-01-25
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00576	12-31-24
Tennessee	State	02014	07-27-25
Texas	NELAP	T104704380-23-18	08-31-24
US Fish & Wildlife	US Federal Programs	058448	07-31-24
USDA	US Federal Programs	525-22-279-18762	10-06-25
Utah	NELAP	TN00009	07-31-24
Virginia	NELAP	460176	09-14-24
Washington	State	C593	01-19-25
West Virginia (DW)	State	9955C	12-31-24
West Virginia DEP	State	345	04-30-24
Wisconsin	State	998044300	08-31-24

Method Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants Inc
Project/Site: Vistra - Coffeen

Job ID: 140-36007-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
6010B	SEP Metals (ICP) - Total	SW846	EET KNX
6010B SEP	SEP Metals (ICP)	SW846	EET KNX
Moisture	Percent Moisture	EPA	EET KNX
3010A	Preparation, Total Metals	SW846	EET KNX
Acid/Sulfide	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Acid/Sulfide Fraction	TAL-KNOX	EET KNX
Carbonate	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Carbonate Fraction	TAL-KNOX	EET KNX
Exchangeable	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Exchangeable Fraction	TAL-KNOX	EET KNX
Metal Hydroxide	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Metal Hydroxide Fraction	TAL-KNOX	EET KNX
Non-Crystalline	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Non-crystalline Materials	TAL-KNOX	EET KNX
Organic-Bound	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Organic Bound Fraction	TAL-KNOX	EET KNX
Residual	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Residual Fraction	TAL-KNOX	EET KNX
Total	Preparation, Total Material	TAL-KNOX	EET KNX

Protocol References:

EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

TAL-KNOX = TestAmerica Laboratories, Knoxville, Facility Standard Operating Procedure.

Laboratory References:

EET KNX = Eurofins Knoxville, 5815 Middlebrook Pike, Knoxville, TN 37921, TEL (865)291-3000

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. d/b/a Eurofins TestAmerica

Form No. CA-C-WI-002, Rev. 4.21, dated 4/4/2019

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13

EUROFINS KNOXVILLE SAMPLE RECEIPT/CONDITION UPON RECEIPT ANOMALY CHECKLIST Log In Number:

Review Items	Yes	No	NA	If No, what was the problem?	Comments/Actions Taken
1. Are the shipping containers intact?	/				10
2. Were ambient air containers received intact?			/	☐ Containers, Broken	
3. The coolers/containers custody seal if present, is it intact?			/	☐ Checked in lab ☐ Yes ☐ NA	
4. Is the cooler temperature within limits? (> freezing temp. of water to 6 °C, VOST: 10°C) Thermometer ID : <u>5714</u> Correction factor: <u>+0.2°C</u>			/	☐ Cooler Out of Temp, Client Contacted, Proceed/Cancel ☐ Cooler Out of Temp, Same Day Receipt	7. LOC LIST ANALYSIS: COLD SEP (A6, FE, MW) SHOULD BE COLD SEP (A6, FE, MW)
5. Were all of the sample containers received intact?	/			☐ Containers, Broken	
6. Were samples received in appropriate containers?	/			☐ Containers, Improper; Client Contacted; Proceed/Cancel	
7. Do sample container labels match COC? (IDs, Dates, Times)	/			☐ COC & Samples Do Not Match ☒ COC Incorrect/Incomplete ☐ COC Not Received	
8. Were all of the samples listed on the COC received?	/			☐ Sample Received, Not on COC ☐ Sample on COC, Not Received	
9. Is the date/time of sample collection noted?	/			☐ COC; No Date/Time; Client Contacted	
10. Was the sampler identified on the COC?	/			☒ Sampler Not Listed on COC	
11. Is the client and project name/# identified?	/			☐ COC Incorrect/Incomplete	
12. Are tests/parameters listed for each sample?	/			☐ COC No tests on COC	
13. Is the matrix of the samples noted?	/			☐ COC Incorrect/Incomplete	
14. Was COC relinquished? (Signed/Dated/Timed)	/			☐ COC Incorrect/Incomplete	
15. Were samples received within holding time?	/			☐ Holding Time - Receipt	
16. Were samples received with correct chemical preservative (excluding Encore)?			/	☐ pH Adjusted, pH Included (See box 16A) ☐ Incorrect Preservative	
17. Were VOA samples received without headspace?			/	☐ Headspace (VOA only)	
18. Did you check for residual chlorine, if necessary? (e.g. 1613B, 1668) Chlorine test strip lot number:			/	☐ Residual Chlorine	
19. For 1613B water samples is pH<9?			/	☐ If no, notify lab to adjust	
20. For rad samples was sample activity info. Provided?			/	☐ Project missing info	
Project #: <u>14006199</u> PM Instructions:					

Box 16A: pH Preservation Box 18A: Residual Chlorine

Preservative: _____

Lot Number: _____

Exp Date: _____

Analyst: _____

Date: _____

Time: _____

Labeling Verified by: _____ Date: _____

pH test strip lot number: _____

Sample Receiving Associate: Bryan Brown Date: 3:22:24 QA026R33.doc, 11/10/23

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville
5815 Middlebrook Pike
Knoxville, TN 37921
Tel: (865)291-3000

Laboratory Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

For:

Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
941 Chatham Lane
Suite 103
Columbus, Ohio 43221

Attn: Allison Kreinberg



Authorized for release by:
8/31/2021 11:31:09 AM

Ryan Henry, Project Manager I
(865)291-3000
williamr.henry@eurofinset.com

LINKS

Review your project
results through

TotalAccess

Have a Question?



Visit us at:

www.eurofinsus.com/Env

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Sample Summary	6
Client Sample Results	7
Default Detection Limits	17
QC Sample Results	19
QC Association Summary	26
Lab Chronicle	32
Certification Summary	44
Method Summary	45
Chain of Custody	46



Definitions/Glossary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Qualifiers

Metals

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
B	Compound was found in the blank and sample.
F3	Duplicate RPD exceeds the control limit
F5	Duplicate RPD exceeds limit, and one or both sample results are less than 5 times RL, and the absolute difference between results is < the upper reporting limits for both.
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
□	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
MPN	Most Probable Number
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
NEG	Negative / Absent
POS	Positive / Present
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PRES	Presumptive
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count

Case Narrative

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Narrative

Job Narrative 140-24093-1

Receipt

The samples were received on 8/5/2021 at 9:15am and arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 3.8° C.

Metals

7 Step Sequential Extraction Procedure

These soil samples were prepared and analyzed using Eurofins TestAmerica Knoxville standard operating procedure KNOX-MT-0008, "7 Step Sequential Extraction Procedure". SW-846 Method 6010B as incorporated in Eurofins TestAmerica Knoxville standard operating procedure KNOX-MT-0007 was used to perform the final instrument analyses.

An aliquot of each sample was sequentially extracted using the steps listed below:

- Step 1 - Exchangeable Fraction: A 5 gram aliquot of sample was extracted with 25 mL of 1M magnesium sulfate (MgSO_4), centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 2 - Carbonate Fraction: The sample residue from step 1 was extracted with 25 mL of 1M sodium acetate/acetic acid (NaOAc/HOAc) at pH 5, centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 3 - Non-crystalline Materials Fraction: The sample residue from step 2 was extracted with 25 mL of 0.2M ammonium oxalate (pH 3), centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 4 - Metal Hydroxide Fraction: The sample residue from step 3 was extracted with 25 mL of 1M hydroxylamine hydrochloride solution in 25% v/v acetic acid, centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 5 - Organic-bound Fraction: The sample residue from step 4 was extracted three times with 25 mL of 5% sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) at pH 9.5, centrifuged and filtered. The resulting leachates were combined and 5 mL were digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 6 - Acid/Sulfide Fraction: The sample residue from step 5 was extracted with 25 mL of a 3:1:2 v/v solution of $\text{HCl}-\text{HNO}_3-\text{H}_2\text{O}$, centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was diluted to 50 mL with reagent water and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 7 - Residual Fraction: A 1.0 g aliquot of the sample residue from step 6 was digested using HF , HNO_3 , HCl and H_3BO_3 . The digestate was analyzed by ICP using method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.

In addition, a 1.0 g aliquot of the original sample was digested using HF , HNO_3 , HCl and H_3BO_3 . The digestate was analyzed by ICP using method 6010B. Total metal results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.

Results were calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Result, } \mu\text{g/g or mg/Kg, dry weight} = (C \times V \times V1 \times D) / (W \times S \times V2)$$

Where:

- C = Concentration from instrument readout, $\mu\text{g/mL}$
- V = Final volume of digestate, mL
- D = Instrument dilution factor
- V1 = Total volume of leachate, mL
- V2 = Volume of leachate digested, mL
- W = Wet weight of sample, g
- S = Percent solids/100

A method blank, laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were prepared and analyzed with each SEP step in

Case Narrative

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Job ID: 140-24093-1 (Continued)

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville (Continued)

order to provide information about both the presence of elements of interest in the extraction solutions, and the recovery of elements of interest from the extraction solutions. Results outside of laboratory QC limits do not reflect out of control performance, but rather the effect of the extraction solution upon the analyte.

A laboratory sample duplicate was prepared and analyzed with each batch of samples in order to provide information regarding the reproducibility of the procedure.

SEP Report Notes:

The final report lists the results for each step, the result for the total digestion of the sample, and a sum of the results of steps 1 through 7 by element.

Magnesium was not reported for step 1 because the extraction solution for this step (magnesium sulfate) contains high levels of magnesium. Sodium was not reported for steps 2 and 5 since the extraction solutions for these steps contain high levels of sodium. The sum of steps 1 through 7 is much higher than the total result for sodium and magnesium due to the magnesium and sodium introduced by the extraction solutions.

The digestates for steps 1, 2 and 5 were analyzed at a dilution due to instrument problems caused by the high solids content of the digestates. The reporting limits were adjusted accordingly.

Method 6010B: The sample duplicate (DUP) precision for preparation batch 140-52435 and analytical batch 140-53170 was outside control limits. Sample matrix interference and/or non-homogeneity are suspected because the associated laboratory control sample / laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD) precision was within acceptance limits.

Method 6010B: The serial dilution performed for the following sample associated with batch 140-53170 was outside control limits: (140-24093-A-3-B SD ^5)

Methods 6010B, 6010B SEP: The following samples were diluted due to the presence of silicon which interferes with Arsenic: SB316 (140-24093-3), SB200 (140-24093-5), SB215 (140-24093-6), (140-24093-A-3-AA DU) and (140-24093-A-3-C DU). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Method 6010B SEP: The sample duplicate (DUP) precision for preparation batch 140-52520 and 140-52569 and analytical batch 140-52929 was outside control limits. Sample non-homogeneity is suspected.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

General Chemistry

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Sample Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
140-24093-1	SB311	Solid	08/03/21 13:00	08/05/21 09:15
140-24093-2	SB306	Solid	08/03/21 10:00	08/05/21 09:15
140-24093-3	SB316	Solid	08/03/21 09:00	08/05/21 09:15
140-24093-4	SB313	Solid	08/03/21 08:00	08/05/21 09:15
140-24093-5	SB200	Solid	08/04/21 10:00	08/05/21 09:15
140-24093-6	SB215	Solid	08/04/21 11:00	08/05/21 09:15

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB311

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-1

Date Collected: 08/03/21 13:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Percent Solids: 84.9

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	ND		12	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:45	4
Lithium	ND		12	0.71	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:45	4
Molybdenum	ND		9.4	0.39	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:45	4
Boron	ND		47	47	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:45	4

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		35	35	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:48	3
Cobalt	ND		8.8	0.22	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:48	3
Lithium	ND		8.8	0.53	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:48	3
Molybdenum	ND		7.1	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:48	3

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		12	12	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:52	1
Cobalt	1.2	J	2.9	0.053	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:52	1
Lithium	ND		2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:52	1
Molybdenum	0.12	J	2.4	0.097	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:52	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		12	12	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:55	1
Cobalt	1.1	J	2.9	0.062	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:55	1
Lithium	0.55	J	2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:55	1
Molybdenum	0.23	J	2.4	0.097	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:55	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		180	180	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:13	5
Cobalt	ND		44	0.71	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:13	5
Lithium	6.5	J B	44	2.6	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:13	5
Molybdenum	ND		35	1.5	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:13	5

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		12	12	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:17	1
Cobalt	1.5	J	2.9	0.054	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:17	1
Lithium	3.2		2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:17	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.4	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:17	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	0.57	J	2.9	0.031	mg/Kg	☆	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:26	1
Lithium	5.9		2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:26	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.4	0.097	mg/Kg	☆	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:26	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	4.3		2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1
Molybdenum	0.35	J	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1
Lithium	16		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB311

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-1

Date Collected: 08/03/21 13:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Percent Solids: 84.9

Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	4.8		2.9	0.031	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:15	1
Lithium	11		2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:15	1
Molybdenum	0.53	J	2.4	0.097	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:15	1

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB306

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-2

Date Collected: 08/03/21 10:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Percent Solids: 86.8

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	ND		12	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:49	4
Lithium	ND		12	0.69	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:49	4
Molybdenum	ND		9.2	0.38	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:49	4
Boron	ND		46	46	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:49	4

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		35	35	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:53	3
Cobalt	ND		8.6	0.22	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:53	3
Lithium	ND		8.6	0.52	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:53	3
Molybdenum	ND		6.9	0.28	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:53	3

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		12	12	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:57	1
Cobalt	0.51	J	2.9	0.052	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:57	1
Lithium	ND		2.9	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:57	1
Molybdenum	0.096	J	2.3	0.094	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:57	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		12	12	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:00	1
Cobalt	1.5	J	2.9	0.061	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:00	1
Lithium	1.7	J	2.9	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:00	1
Molybdenum	0.28	J	2.3	0.094	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:00	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		170	170	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:18	5
Cobalt	ND		43	0.69	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:18	5
Lithium	6.5	J B	43	2.5	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:18	5
Molybdenum	ND		35	1.4	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:18	5

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		12	12	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:22	1
Cobalt	0.88	J	2.9	0.053	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:22	1
Lithium	2.9		2.9	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:22	1
Molybdenum	0.14	J	2.3	0.11	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:22	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	0.78	J	2.9	0.030	mg/Kg	☆	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:31	1
Lithium	7.9		2.9	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:31	1
Molybdenum	0.10	J	2.3	0.094	mg/Kg	☆	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:31	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	3.6		2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1
Molybdenum	0.62	J	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1
Lithium	19		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB306

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-2

Date Collected: 08/03/21 10:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Percent Solids: 86.8

Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	3.1		2.9	0.030	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:20	1
Lithium	12		2.9	0.17	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:20	1
Molybdenum	0.39	J	2.3	0.094	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:20	1

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB316

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3

Date Collected: 08/03/21 09:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Percent Solids: 79.3

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	ND		13	0.23	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:54	4
Lithium	ND		13	0.76	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:54	4
Molybdenum	ND		10	0.41	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:54	4
Boron	ND		50	50	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:54	4

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		38	38	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:58	3
Cobalt	ND		9.5	0.24	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:58	3
Lithium	ND		9.5	0.57	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:58	3
Molybdenum	ND		7.6	0.31	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:58	3

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		13	13	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:02	1
Cobalt	0.34	J	3.2	0.057	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:02	1
Lithium	ND		3.2	0.19	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:02	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.5	0.10	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:02	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		13	13	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:05	1
Cobalt	0.87	J	3.2	0.067	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:05	1
Lithium	1.9	J	3.2	0.19	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:05	1
Molybdenum	0.15	J	2.5	0.10	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:05	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		190	190	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:23	5
Cobalt	ND		47	0.76	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:23	5
Lithium	8.3	J B	47	2.8	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:23	5
Molybdenum	ND		38	1.6	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:23	5

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		13	13	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:27	1
Cobalt	0.71	J	3.2	0.058	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:27	1
Lithium	3.3		3.2	0.19	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:27	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.5	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:27	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	0.44	J	3.2	0.033	mg/Kg	☆	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:36	1
Lithium	8.6		3.2	0.19	mg/Kg	☆	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:36	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.5	0.10	mg/Kg	☆	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:36	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	2.4	J	2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1
Molybdenum	0.15	J	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1
Lithium	22		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB316

Date Collected: 08/03/21 09:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3

Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 79.3

Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	4.2		3.2	0.033	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:26	1
Lithium	13		3.2	0.19	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:26	1
Molybdenum	0.96	J	2.5	0.10	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:26	1

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB313

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-4

Date Collected: 08/03/21 08:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Percent Solids: 94.0

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	ND		11	0.19	mg/Kg	✱	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:04	4
Lithium	ND		11	0.64	mg/Kg	✱	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:04	4
Molybdenum	ND		8.5	0.35	mg/Kg	✱	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:04	4
Boron	ND		43	43	mg/Kg	✱	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:04	4

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		32	32	mg/Kg	✱	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:08	3
Cobalt	0.32	J	8.0	0.20	mg/Kg	✱	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:08	3
Lithium	0.60	J	8.0	0.48	mg/Kg	✱	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:08	3
Molybdenum	ND		6.4	0.26	mg/Kg	✱	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:08	3

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		11	11	mg/Kg	✱	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:26	1
Cobalt	0.56	J	2.7	0.048	mg/Kg	✱	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:26	1
Lithium	ND		2.7	0.16	mg/Kg	✱	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:26	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.1	0.087	mg/Kg	✱	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:26	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		11	11	mg/Kg	✱	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:34	1
Cobalt	1.0	J	2.7	0.056	mg/Kg	✱	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:34	1
Lithium	1.0	J	2.7	0.16	mg/Kg	✱	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:34	1
Molybdenum	0.32	J	2.1	0.087	mg/Kg	✱	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:34	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		160	160	mg/Kg	✱	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:33	5
Cobalt	ND		40	0.64	mg/Kg	✱	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:33	5
Lithium	6.9	J B	40	2.3	mg/Kg	✱	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:33	5
Molybdenum	ND		32	1.3	mg/Kg	✱	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:33	5

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Boron	ND		11	11	mg/Kg	✱	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:37	1
Cobalt	2.0	J	2.7	0.049	mg/Kg	✱	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:37	1
Lithium	5.7		2.7	0.16	mg/Kg	✱	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:37	1
Molybdenum	0.13	J	2.1	0.11	mg/Kg	✱	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:37	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	0.83	J	2.7	0.028	mg/Kg	✱	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:45	1
Lithium	9.0		2.7	0.16	mg/Kg	✱	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:45	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.1	0.087	mg/Kg	✱	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:45	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	4.7		2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1
Molybdenum	0.46	J	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1
Lithium	23		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB313

Date Collected: 08/03/21 08:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-4

Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 94.0

Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Cobalt	4.7		2.7	0.028	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:36	1
Lithium	18		2.7	0.16	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:36	1
Molybdenum	0.78	J	2.1	0.087	mg/Kg	☼	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:36	1

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB200

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-5

Date Collected: 08/04/21 10:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Percent Solids: 85.0

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		2.4	0.61	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:09	4

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		1.8	0.46	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:28	3

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	0.37	J	0.59	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:31	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	0.87		0.59	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:39	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		8.8	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:38	5

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	3.3		0.59	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:57	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	1.6	B	0.59	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 15:51	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	6.1		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1

Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	7.5		1.2	0.31	mg/Kg	☆	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 17:52	2

Client Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB215

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-6

Date Collected: 08/04/21 11:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Percent Solids: 88.6

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		2.3	0.59	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:28	4

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		1.7	0.44	mg/Kg	☆	08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:33	3

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	0.30	J	0.56	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:36	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	0.55	J	0.56	0.25	mg/Kg	☆	08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:44	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	3.2	J	8.5	2.1	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 19:58	5

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	7.1		0.56	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 21:01	1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	2.3	B	1.1	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 17:33	2

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	13		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			08/30/21 13:07	1

Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	21		0.56	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 16:47	1

Default Detection Limits

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Exchangeable

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Boron	10	10	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.045	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Carbonate

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Boron	10	10	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.063	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Non-Crystalline

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Boron	10	10	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.045	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Metal Hydroxide

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.22	mg/Kg
Boron	10	10	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.053	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Organic-Bound

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	1.5	0.38	mg/Kg
Boron	30	30	mg/Kg
Cobalt	7.5	0.12	mg/Kg
Lithium	7.5	0.44	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	6.0	0.25	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

SEP: Acid/Sulfide

Default Detection Limits

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

SEP: Acid/Sulfide

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.15	mg/Kg
Boron	10	10	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.046	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.099	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Prep: Residual

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.026	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.023	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Prep: Total

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.026	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52435/8-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 53170

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 52435

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg		08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 12:46	1
Cobalt	ND		2.5	0.026	mg/Kg		08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 12:46	1
Lithium	ND		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg		08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 12:46	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg		08/06/21 08:00	08/26/21 12:46	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52435/9-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 53170

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 52435

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Arsenic	5.00	5.04		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	5.09		mg/Kg		102	80 - 125
Lithium	5.00	4.92		mg/Kg		98	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	25.6		mg/Kg		102	80 - 125

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52435/10-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 53170

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 52435

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	5.08		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120	1	30
Cobalt	5.00	5.16		mg/Kg		103	80 - 125	1	30
Lithium	5.00	5.02		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120	2	30
Molybdenum	25.0	25.7		mg/Kg		103	80 - 125	1	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 53170

Client Sample ID: SB316
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 52435

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Cobalt	4.2		2.57	J F5	mg/Kg	✖	49	30
Lithium	13		13.4		mg/Kg	✖	0.3	30
Molybdenum	0.96	J	0.416	J F5	mg/Kg	✖	79	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 53170

Client Sample ID: SB316
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 52435

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	12		5.06	F3	mg/Kg	✖	78	30

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP)

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52456/8-B ^4
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Step 1
Prep Batch: 52459

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		2.0	0.52	mg/Kg		08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:30	4
Cobalt	ND		10	0.18	mg/Kg		08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:30	4

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52456/8-B ^4

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 1

Prep Batch: 52459

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Lithium	ND		10	0.60	mg/Kg		08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:30	4
Molybdenum	ND		8.0	0.33	mg/Kg		08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:30	4
Boron	ND		40	40	mg/Kg		08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 14:30	4

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52456/9-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 1

Prep Batch: 52459

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Arsenic	5.00	4.44		mg/Kg		89	80 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	4.70	J	mg/Kg		94	80 - 120
Lithium	5.00	4.85	J	mg/Kg		97	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	23.4		mg/Kg		94	80 - 120
Boron	50.0	ND		mg/Kg		93	

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52456/10-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 1

Prep Batch: 52459

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	4.58		mg/Kg		92	80 - 120	3	30
Cobalt	5.00	4.70	J	mg/Kg		94	80 - 120	0	30
Lithium	5.00	4.94	J	mg/Kg		99	80 - 120	2	30
Molybdenum	25.0	23.5		mg/Kg		94	80 - 120	1	30
Boron	50.0	ND		mg/Kg		95		2	

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: SB316

Prep Type: Step 1

Prep Batch: 52459

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	ND		ND		mg/Kg	⊛	NC	30
Cobalt	ND		ND		mg/Kg	⊛	NC	30
Lithium	ND		ND		mg/Kg	⊛	NC	30
Molybdenum	ND		ND		mg/Kg	⊛	NC	30
Boron	ND		ND		mg/Kg	⊛	NC	

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52457/8-B ^3

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 2

Prep Batch: 52460

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		1.5	0.39	mg/Kg		08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:33	3
Cobalt	ND		7.5	0.19	mg/Kg		08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:33	3
Lithium	ND		7.5	0.45	mg/Kg		08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:33	3
Molybdenum	ND		6.0	0.25	mg/Kg		08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:33	3
Boron	ND		30	30	mg/Kg		08/09/21 08:00	08/19/21 15:33	3

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52457/9-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 2

Prep Batch: 52460

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Arsenic	5.00	3.91		mg/Kg		78	60 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	4.69	J	mg/Kg		94	80 - 120
Lithium	5.00	4.41	J	mg/Kg		88	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	20.9		mg/Kg		83	70 - 120
Boron	50.0	ND		mg/Kg		91	

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52457/10-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 2

Prep Batch: 52460

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	3.92		mg/Kg		78	60 - 120	0	30
Cobalt	5.00	4.74	J	mg/Kg		95	80 - 120	1	30
Lithium	5.00	5.12	J	mg/Kg		102	80 - 120	15	30
Molybdenum	25.0	20.9		mg/Kg		84	70 - 120	0	30
Boron	50.0	ND		mg/Kg		92		1	

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: SB316

Prep Type: Step 2

Prep Batch: 52460

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✖	NC	30
Cobalt	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✖	NC	30
Lithium	ND		0.592	J	mg/Kg	✖	NC	30
Molybdenum	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✖	NC	30
Boron	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✖	NC	

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52463/8-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 3

Prep Batch: 52518

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg		08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:38	1
Cobalt	ND		2.5	0.045	mg/Kg		08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:38	1
Lithium	ND		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg		08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:38	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg		08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:38	1
Boron	ND		10	10	mg/Kg		08/10/21 08:00	08/19/21 16:38	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52463/9-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 3

Prep Batch: 52518

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Arsenic	5.00	4.68		mg/Kg		94	80 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	4.91		mg/Kg		98	80 - 120
Lithium	5.00	4.68		mg/Kg		94	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	24.3		mg/Kg		97	80 - 120
Boron	50.0	47.8		mg/Kg		96	

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52463/10-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 3

Prep Batch: 52518

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	4.66		mg/Kg		93	80 - 120	0	30
Cobalt	5.00	4.89		mg/Kg		98	80 - 120	0	30
Lithium	5.00	4.68		mg/Kg		94	80 - 120	0	30
Molybdenum	25.0	24.2		mg/Kg		97	80 - 120	1	30
Boron	50.0	47.4		mg/Kg		95		1	

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: SB316

Prep Type: Step 3

Prep Batch: 52518

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	0.64		0.668		mg/Kg	✖	5	30
Cobalt	0.34	J	0.357	J	mg/Kg	✖	5	30
Lithium	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✖	NC	30
Molybdenum	ND		0.118	J	mg/Kg	✖	NC	30
Boron	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✖	NC	

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52520/8-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 4

Prep Batch: 52569

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.22	mg/Kg		08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:41	1
Cobalt	ND		2.5	0.053	mg/Kg		08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:41	1
Lithium	ND		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg		08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:41	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg		08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:41	1
Boron	ND		10	10	mg/Kg		08/11/21 08:00	08/19/21 17:41	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52520/9-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 4

Prep Batch: 52569

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Arsenic	5.00	5.05		mg/Kg		101	80 - 130
Cobalt	5.00	5.07		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120
Lithium	5.00	4.81		mg/Kg		96	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	25.6		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120
Boron	50.0	49.8		mg/Kg		100	

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52520/10-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 4

Prep Batch: 52569

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	5.22		mg/Kg		104	80 - 130	3	30
Cobalt	5.00	5.31		mg/Kg		106	80 - 120	5	30
Lithium	5.00	5.13		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120	6	30
Molybdenum	25.0	26.8		mg/Kg		107	80 - 120	5	30
Boron	50.0	52.3		mg/Kg		105		5	

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: SB316

Prep Type: Step 4

Prep Batch: 52569

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	1.6		2.01		mg/Kg	✱	23	30
Cobalt	0.87	J	1.01	J	mg/Kg	✱	15	30
Lithium	1.9	J	2.17	J	mg/Kg	✱	12	30
Molybdenum	0.15	J	0.210	J F5	mg/Kg	✱	33	30
Boron	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✱	NC	

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52572/8-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 5

Prep Batch: 52655

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		7.5	1.9	mg/Kg		08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:58	5
Cobalt	ND		38	0.60	mg/Kg		08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:58	5
Lithium	5.12	J	38	2.2	mg/Kg		08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:58	5
Molybdenum	ND		30	1.3	mg/Kg		08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:58	5
Boron	ND		150	150	mg/Kg		08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 18:58	5

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52572/9-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 5

Prep Batch: 52655

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Arsenic	15.0	9.67		mg/Kg		64	60 - 100
Cobalt	15.0	1.01	J	mg/Kg		7	1 - 60
Lithium	15.0	19.6	J	mg/Kg		131	80 - 150
Molybdenum	75.0	56.4		mg/Kg		75	60 - 100
Boron	150	153		mg/Kg		102	

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52572/10-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 5

Prep Batch: 52655

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	15.0	9.98		mg/Kg		67	60 - 100	3	30
Cobalt	15.0	0.990	J	mg/Kg		7	1 - 60	2	30
Lithium	15.0	21.0	J	mg/Kg		140	80 - 150	7	30
Molybdenum	75.0	57.8		mg/Kg		77	60 - 100	2	30
Boron	150	157		mg/Kg		105		3	

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: SB316

Prep Type: Step 5

Prep Batch: 52655

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✱	NC	30
Cobalt	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✱	NC	30
Lithium	8.3	J B	7.76	J	mg/Kg	✱	6	30
Molybdenum	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✱	NC	30
Boron	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✱	NC	

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52656/8-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 6

Prep Batch: 52656

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.15	mg/Kg		08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:03	1
Cobalt	ND		2.5	0.046	mg/Kg		08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:03	1
Lithium	ND		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg		08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:03	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.0	0.099	mg/Kg		08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:03	1
Boron	ND		10	10	mg/Kg		08/13/21 08:00	08/19/21 20:03	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52656/9-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 6

Prep Batch: 52656

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Arsenic	5.00	5.09		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	5.09		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120
Lithium	5.00	4.83		mg/Kg		97	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	25.5		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120
Boron	50.0	52.3		mg/Kg		105	

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52656/10-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 6

Prep Batch: 52656

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	5.00		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120	2	30
Cobalt	5.00	5.01		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120	2	30
Lithium	5.00	4.72		mg/Kg		94	80 - 120	2	30
Molybdenum	25.0	25.0		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120	2	30
Boron	50.0	51.3		mg/Kg		103		2	

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 52929

Client Sample ID: SB316

Prep Type: Step 6

Prep Batch: 52656

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	1.2		1.17		mg/Kg	✖	0.9	30
Cobalt	0.71	J	0.725	J	mg/Kg	✖	2	30
Lithium	3.3		3.34		mg/Kg	✖	2	30
Molybdenum	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✖	NC	30
Boron	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✖	NC	

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52770/8-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 53170

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 52770

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	0.139	J	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg		08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 12:31	1
Cobalt	ND		2.5	0.026	mg/Kg		08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 12:31	1
Lithium	ND		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg		08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 12:31	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg		08/17/21 08:10	08/26/21 12:31	1

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

QC Sample Results

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52770/9-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 53170

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 52770

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits
Arsenic	5.00	5.13		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	5.14		mg/Kg		103	80 - 125
Lithium	5.00	5.01		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	25.7		mg/Kg		103	80 - 125

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52770/10-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 53170

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 52770

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	5.04		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120	2	30
Cobalt	5.00	5.10		mg/Kg		102	80 - 125	1	30
Lithium	5.00	4.95		mg/Kg		99	80 - 120	1	30
Molybdenum	25.0	25.6		mg/Kg		102	80 - 125	0	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 53170

Client Sample ID: SB316

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 52770

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Cobalt	0.44	J	0.364	J	mg/Kg	✖	20	30
Lithium	8.6		8.06		mg/Kg	✖	6	30
Molybdenum	ND		ND		mg/Kg	✖	NC	30

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 53170

Client Sample ID: SB316

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 52770

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	1.5	B	1.46		mg/Kg	✖	5	30

QC Association Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Metals

Prep Batch: 52435

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-24093-2	SB306	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-24093-3	SB316	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-24093-4	SB313	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-24093-5	SB200	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-24093-6	SB215	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
MB 140-52435/8-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
LCS 140-52435/9-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
LCSD 140-52435/10-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Total/NA	Solid	Total	

SEP Batch: 52456

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
MB 140-52456/8-B ^4	Method Blank	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
LCS 140-52456/9-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
LCSD 140-52456/10-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	

SEP Batch: 52457

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
MB 140-52457/8-B ^3	Method Blank	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
LCS 140-52457/9-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
LCSD 140-52457/10-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	

Prep Batch: 52459

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 1	Solid	3010A	52456
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 1	Solid	3010A	52456
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 1	Solid	3010A	52456
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 1	Solid	3010A	52456
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 1	Solid	3010A	52456
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 1	Solid	3010A	52456
MB 140-52456/8-B ^4	Method Blank	Step 1	Solid	3010A	52456
LCS 140-52456/9-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 1	Solid	3010A	52456
LCSD 140-52456/10-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 1	Solid	3010A	52456
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 1	Solid	3010A	52456

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

QC Association Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Metals

Prep Batch: 52460

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 2	Solid	3010A	52457
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 2	Solid	3010A	52457
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 2	Solid	3010A	52457
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 2	Solid	3010A	52457
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 2	Solid	3010A	52457
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 2	Solid	3010A	52457
MB 140-52457/8-B ^3	Method Blank	Step 2	Solid	3010A	52457
LCS 140-52457/9-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 2	Solid	3010A	52457
LCSD 140-52457/10-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 2	Solid	3010A	52457
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 2	Solid	3010A	52457

SEP Batch: 52463

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
MB 140-52463/8-B	Method Blank	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
LCS 140-52463/9-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
LCSD 140-52463/10-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	

Prep Batch: 52518

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 3	Solid	3010A	52463
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 3	Solid	3010A	52463
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 3	Solid	3010A	52463
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 3	Solid	3010A	52463
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 3	Solid	3010A	52463
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 3	Solid	3010A	52463
MB 140-52463/8-B	Method Blank	Step 3	Solid	3010A	52463
LCS 140-52463/9-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 3	Solid	3010A	52463
LCSD 140-52463/10-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 3	Solid	3010A	52463
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 3	Solid	3010A	52463

SEP Batch: 52520

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
MB 140-52520/8-B	Method Blank	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
LCS 140-52520/9-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
LCSD 140-52520/10-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

QC Association Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Metals

Prep Batch: 52569

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 4	Solid	3010A	52520
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 4	Solid	3010A	52520
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 4	Solid	3010A	52520
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 4	Solid	3010A	52520
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 4	Solid	3010A	52520
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 4	Solid	3010A	52520
MB 140-52520/8-B	Method Blank	Step 4	Solid	3010A	52520
LCS 140-52520/9-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 4	Solid	3010A	52520
LCSD 140-52520/10-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 4	Solid	3010A	52520
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 4	Solid	3010A	52520

SEP Batch: 52572

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
MB 140-52572/8-B ^5	Method Blank	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
LCS 140-52572/9-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
LCSD 140-52572/10-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	

Prep Batch: 52655

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 5	Solid	3010A	52572
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 5	Solid	3010A	52572
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 5	Solid	3010A	52572
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 5	Solid	3010A	52572
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 5	Solid	3010A	52572
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 5	Solid	3010A	52572
MB 140-52572/8-B ^5	Method Blank	Step 5	Solid	3010A	52572
LCS 140-52572/9-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 5	Solid	3010A	52572
LCSD 140-52572/10-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 5	Solid	3010A	52572
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 5	Solid	3010A	52572

SEP Batch: 52656

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
MB 140-52656/8-A	Method Blank	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
LCS 140-52656/9-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
LCSD 140-52656/10-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	

QC Association Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Metals

Prep Batch: 52770

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
MB 140-52770/8-A	Method Blank	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
LCS 140-52770/9-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
LCSD 140-52770/10-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 7	Solid	Residual	

Analysis Batch: 52929

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	52459
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	52460
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	52518
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	52569
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	52655
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	52656
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	52459
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	52460
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	52518
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	52569
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	52655
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	52656
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	52459
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	52460
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	52518
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	52569
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	52655
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	52656
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	52459
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	52460
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	52518
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	52569
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	52655
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	52656
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	52459
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	52460
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	52518
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	52569
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	52655
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	52656
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	52459
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	52460
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	52518
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	52569
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	52655
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	52656
MB 140-52456/8-B ^4	Method Blank	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	52459
MB 140-52457/8-B ^3	Method Blank	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	52460

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

QC Association Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Metals (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 52929 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MB 140-52463/8-B	Method Blank	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	52518
MB 140-52520/8-B	Method Blank	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	52569
MB 140-52572/8-B ^5	Method Blank	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	52655
MB 140-52656/8-A	Method Blank	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	52656
LCS 140-52456/9-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	52459
LCS 140-52457/9-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	52460
LCS 140-52463/9-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	52518
LCS 140-52520/9-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	52569
LCS 140-52572/9-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	52655
LCS 140-52656/9-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	52656
LCSD 140-52456/10-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	52459
LCSD 140-52457/10-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	52460
LCSD 140-52463/10-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	52518
LCSD 140-52520/10-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	52569
LCSD 140-52572/10-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	52655
LCSD 140-52656/10-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	52656
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	52459
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	52460
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	52518
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	52569
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	52655
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	52656

Analysis Batch: 53170

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	52770
140-24093-1	SB311	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	52435
140-24093-2	SB306	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	52770
140-24093-2	SB306	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	52435
140-24093-3	SB316	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	52770
140-24093-3	SB316	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	52435
140-24093-4	SB313	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	52770
140-24093-4	SB313	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	52435
140-24093-5	SB200	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	52770
140-24093-5	SB200	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	52435
140-24093-6	SB215	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	52770
140-24093-6	SB215	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	52435
MB 140-52435/8-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	52435
MB 140-52770/8-A	Method Blank	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	52770
LCS 140-52435/9-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	52435
LCS 140-52770/9-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	52770
LCSD 140-52435/10-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	52435
LCSD 140-52770/10-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	52770
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	52770
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	52770
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	52435
140-24093-3 DU	SB316	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	52435

Analysis Batch: 53271

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

QC Association Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Metals (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 53271 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-2	SB306	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-24093-3	SB316	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-24093-4	SB313	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-24093-5	SB200	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-24093-6	SB215	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	

General Chemistry

Analysis Batch: 52489

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-24093-1	SB311	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-24093-2	SB306	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-24093-3	SB316	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-24093-4	SB313	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-24093-5	SB200	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-24093-6	SB215	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB311

Date Collected: 08/03/21 13:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-1

Matrix: Solid

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53271	08/30/21 13:07	DKW	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			52489	08/12/21 10:04	BKD	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

Client Sample ID: SB311

Date Collected: 08/03/21 13:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-1

Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 84.9

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.0 g	50 mL	52435	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			53170	08/26/21 16:15	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52456	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52459	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			52929	08/19/21 14:45	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52457	08/06/21 11:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52460	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			52929	08/19/21 15:48	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5 g	25 mL	52463	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52518	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 16:52	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	52520	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52569	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 17:55	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	52572	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52655	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 19:13	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	52656	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 20:17	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	52770	08/17/21 08:10	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53170	08/26/21 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB306

Date Collected: 08/03/21 10:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-2

Matrix: Solid

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53271	08/30/21 13:07	DKW	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			52489	08/12/21 10:04	BKD	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

Client Sample ID: SB306

Date Collected: 08/03/21 10:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-2

Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 86.8

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.0 g	50 mL	52435	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			53170	08/26/21 16:20	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52456	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52459	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			52929	08/19/21 14:49	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52457	08/06/21 11:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52460	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			52929	08/19/21 15:53	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5 g	25 mL	52463	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52518	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 16:57	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	52520	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52569	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 18:00	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	52572	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52655	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 19:18	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	52656	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 20:22	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	52770	08/17/21 08:10	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53170	08/26/21 15:31	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB316

Date Collected: 08/03/21 09:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3

Matrix: Solid

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53271	08/30/21 13:07	DKW	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			52489	08/12/21 10:04	BKD	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

Client Sample ID: SB316

Date Collected: 08/03/21 09:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3

Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 79.3

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.0 g	50 mL	52435	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			53170	08/26/21 16:26	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52456	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52459	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			52929	08/19/21 14:54	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52457	08/06/21 11:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52460	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			52929	08/19/21 15:58	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5 g	25 mL	52463	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52518	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 17:02	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	52520	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52569	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 18:05	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	52572	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52655	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 19:23	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	52656	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 20:27	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	52770	08/17/21 08:10	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53170	08/26/21 15:36	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB313

Date Collected: 08/03/21 08:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-4

Matrix: Solid

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53271	08/30/21 13:07	DKW	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			52489	08/12/21 10:04	BKD	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

Client Sample ID: SB313

Date Collected: 08/03/21 08:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-4

Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 94.0

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.0 g	50 mL	52435	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			53170	08/26/21 16:36	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52456	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52459	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			52929	08/19/21 15:04	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52457	08/06/21 11:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52460	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			52929	08/19/21 16:08	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5 g	25 mL	52463	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52518	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 17:26	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	52520	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52569	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 18:34	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	52572	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52655	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 19:33	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	52656	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 20:37	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	52770	08/17/21 08:10	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53170	08/26/21 15:45	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB200

Date Collected: 08/04/21 10:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-5

Matrix: Solid

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53271	08/30/21 13:07	DKW	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			52489	08/12/21 10:04	BKD	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

Client Sample ID: SB200

Date Collected: 08/04/21 10:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-5

Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 85.0

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.0 g	50 mL	52435	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			53170	08/26/21 17:52	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52456	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52459	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			52929	08/19/21 15:09	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52457	08/06/21 11:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52460	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			52929	08/19/21 16:28	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5 g	25 mL	52463	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52518	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 17:31	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	52520	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52569	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 18:39	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	52572	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52655	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 19:38	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	52656	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 20:57	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	52770	08/17/21 08:10	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53170	08/26/21 15:51	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB215

Date Collected: 08/04/21 11:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-6

Matrix: Solid

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53271	08/30/21 13:07	DKW	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			52489	08/12/21 10:04	BKD	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

Client Sample ID: SB215

Date Collected: 08/04/21 11:00

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-6

Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 88.6

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.0 g	50 mL	52435	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			53170	08/26/21 16:47	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52456	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52459	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			52929	08/19/21 15:28	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52457	08/06/21 11:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52460	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			52929	08/19/21 16:33	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5 g	25 mL	52463	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52518	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 17:36	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	52520	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52569	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 18:44	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	52572	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52655	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 19:58	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	52656	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 21:01	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	52770	08/17/21 08:10	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			53170	08/26/21 17:33	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52435/8-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.0 g	50 mL	52435	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			53170	08/26/21 12:46	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52456/8-B ^4

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52456	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52459	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			52929	08/19/21 14:30	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52457/8-B ^3

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52457	08/06/21 11:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52460	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			52929	08/19/21 15:33	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52463/8-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5 g	25 mL	52463	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52518	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 16:38	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52520/8-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	52520	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52569	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 17:41	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52572/8-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	52572	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52655	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 18:58	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52656/8-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	52656	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 20:03	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-52770/8-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	52770	08/17/21 08:10	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53170	08/26/21 12:31	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52435/9-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.0 g	50 mL	52435	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			53170	08/26/21 12:51	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52456/9-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52456	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52459	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 14:35	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52457/9-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52457	08/06/21 11:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52460	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 15:38	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52463/9-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5 g	25 mL	52463	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52518	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 16:42	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52520/9-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	52520	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52569	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 17:46	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52572/9-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	52572	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52655	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 19:03	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52656/9-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	52656	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 20:08	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-52770/9-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	52770	08/17/21 08:10	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53170	08/26/21 12:36	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52435/10-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.0 g	50 mL	52435	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			53170	08/26/21 12:56	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52456/10-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52456	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52459	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 14:40	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52457/10-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52457	08/06/21 11:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52460	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 15:43	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52463/10-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5 g	25 mL	52463	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52518	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 16:47	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52520/10-B

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	52520	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52569	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 17:51	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52572/10-B ^5

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	52572	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52655	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 19:08	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52656/10-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	52656	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 20:13	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-52770/10-A

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	52770	08/17/21 08:10	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53170	08/26/21 12:41	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Client Sample ID: SB316

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU

Date Collected: 08/03/21 09:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Percent Solids: 79.3

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.0 g	50 mL	52435	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			53170	08/26/21 16:31	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.0 g	50 mL	52435	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			53170	08/26/21 17:43	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Lab Chronicle

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Client Sample ID: SB316

Lab Sample ID: 140-24093-3 DU

Date Collected: 08/03/21 09:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 08/05/21 09:15

Percent Solids: 79.3

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52456	08/06/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52459	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			52929	08/19/21 14:59	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.00 g	25.00 mL	52457	08/06/21 11:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52460	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			52929	08/19/21 16:03	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5 g	25 mL	52463	08/09/21 08:00	JTB	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52518	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 17:07	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	52520	08/10/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52569	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 18:29	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	52572	08/11/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	52655	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			52929	08/19/21 19:28	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	52656	08/13/21 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			52929	08/19/21 20:32	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	52770	08/17/21 08:10	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			53170	08/26/21 15:41	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	52770	08/17/21 08:10	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			53170	08/26/21 17:23	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Laboratory References:

TAL KNX = Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville, 5815 Middlebrook Pike, Knoxville, TN 37921, TEL (865)291-3000

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
	AFCEE	N/A	
ANAB	Dept. of Defense ELAP	L2311	02-13-22
ANAB	Dept. of Energy	L2311.01	02-13-22
ANAB	ISO/IEC 17025	L2311	02-13-22
Arkansas DEQ	State	88-0688	06-17-22
California	State	2423	06-30-22
Colorado	State	TN00009	02-28-22
Connecticut	State	PH-0223	09-30-21
Florida	NELAP	E87177	06-30-22
Georgia (DW)	State	906	12-11-22
Hawaii	State	NA	12-11-21
Kansas	NELAP	E-10349	10-31-21
Kentucky (DW)	State	90101	12-31-21
Louisiana	NELAP	83979	06-30-22
Louisiana (DW)	State	LA019	12-31-21
Maryland	State	277	03-31-22
Michigan	State	9933	12-11-22
Nevada	State	TN00009	07-31-22
New Hampshire	NELAP	299919	01-17-22
New Jersey	NELAP	TN001	06-30-22
New York	NELAP	10781	03-31-22
North Carolina (DW)	State	21705	07-31-22
North Carolina (WW/SW)	State	64	12-31-21
Ohio VAP	State	CL0059	06-02-23
Oklahoma	State	9415	08-31-21
Oregon	NELAP	TNI0189	01-01-22
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00576	12-31-21
Tennessee	State	02014	12-11-22
Texas	NELAP	T104704380-18-12	08-31-21
US Fish & Wildlife	US Federal Programs	058448	07-31-22
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-19-00236	08-20-22
Utah	NELAP	TN00009	07-31-21 *
Virginia	NELAP	460176	09-14-21
Washington	State	C593	01-19-22
West Virginia (DW)	State	9955C	01-02-22
West Virginia DEP	State	345	04-30-22
Wisconsin	State	998044300	08-31-22

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

Method Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL

Job ID: 140-24093-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
6010B	SEP Metals (ICP) - Total	SW846	TAL KNX
6010B SEP	SEP Metals (ICP)	SW846	TAL KNX
Moisture	Percent Moisture	EPA	TAL KNX
3010A	Preparation, Total Metals	SW846	TAL KNX
Acid/Sulfide	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Acid/Sulfide Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Carbonate	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Carbonate Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Exchangeable	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Exchangeable Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Metal Hydroxide	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Metal Hydroxide Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Non-Crystalline	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Non-crystalline Materials	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Organic-Bound	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Organic Bound Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Residual	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Residual Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Total	Preparation, Total Material	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX

Protocol References:

EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

TAL-KNOX = TestAmerica Laboratories, Knoxville, Facility Standard Operating Procedure.

Laboratory References:

TAL KNX = Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville, 5815 Middlebrook Pike, Knoxville, TN 37921, TEL (865)291-3000

Chain of Custody Record



Client Information Client Contact: Brian Ares Company: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc. Address: 2100 Commonwealth Blvd. Suite 100 City: Ann Arbor State: MI 48105 Phone: 734-794-1548 (Tel) Email: bares@geosyntec.com Project Name: GLP8029 Coffeen, IL Site:		Sample: A Tye Lab PM: Kintz, Robin M E-Mail: Robin.Kintz@Eurofins.com PWSID:		Carrier Tracking No(s): State Origin: IL Page: 2 of 3 Job #:		COC No: 500-93566-41606.2	
Due Date Requested: TAT Requested (days): Compliance Project: \ Yes \ No PO #: Purchase Order not required WO #: Project #: 50019213 SSOW#:		Analysis Requested					
Sample Identification SB311 SB306 SB306 SB313 SB200 SB215 (CUSTOMER SEAL INTACT) RECEIVED AT 17 37/073.8L bxd 8-3-21 (CORRAL FEELX # 2822 (SID 3400 PO)		Sample Date 9/3/21 9/3/21 9/3/21 8/3/21 8/14/21 8/14/21	Sample Time 1300 1000 0900 0800 1000 1600	Sample Type (C=comp, G=grab) C C C C C C	Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=wasteoil, BT=tissue, A=air) C C C C C C	Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) 9056A - Chloride, Sulfate 6010B - Metals (ICP) - 9 elements 6010B - Dissolved Metals (ICP) - 8 elements 4500_P_E - Phosphorus as P ₀₄ SM4500_S2 - Sulfide 9060A_Diss - Organic Carbon, Dissolved (DOC) 2320B, 2540C, 3500_F+2_B_Calc, 3500_F+3_B_Calc	Total Number of Containers Special Instructions/Note: 140-24093 Chain of Custody
Possible Hazard Identification ☐ Non-Hazard ☐ Flammable ☐ Skin Irritant ☐ Poison B ☐ Unknown ☐ Radiological		Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month) ☐ Return To Client ☐ Disposal By Lab ☐ Archive For _____ Months					
Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify)		Special Instructions/QC Requirements:					
Empty Kit Relinquished by:		Date:					
Relinquished by:		Date/Time: 9/17/21 530		Company:		Received by:	
Relinquished by:		Date/Time: 8/3/21 11:30		Company:		Received by:	
Relinquished by:		Date/Time:		Company:		Received by:	
Custody Seals Intact: ☐ Yes ☐ No		Custody Seal No.:		Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks:			

EUROFINS/TESTAMERICA KNOXVILLE SAMPLE RECEIPT/CONDITION UPON RECEIPT ANOMALY CHECKLIST

Review Items	Yes	No	NA	If No, what was the problem?	Comments/Actions Taken
1. Are the shipping containers intact?	/			☐ Containers, Broken	
2. Were ambient air containers received intact?			/	☐ Checked in lab	
3. The coolers/containers custody seal if present, is it intact?	/			☐ Yes ☐ NA	
4. Is the cooler temperature within limits? (> freezing temp. of water to 6 °C, VOST: 10°C) Thermometer ID : 571 Correction factor: -0.1C	/			☐ Cooler Out of Temp, Client Contacted, Proceed/Cancel ☐ Cooler Out of Temp, Same Day Receipt	
5. Were all of the sample containers received intact?	/			☐ Containers, Broken	
6. Were samples received in appropriate containers?	/			☐ Containers, Improper; Client Contacted; Proceed/Cancel	
7. Do sample container labels match COC? (IDs, Dates, Times)	/			☐ COC & Samples Do Not Match ☐ COC Incorrect/Incomplete ☐ COC Not Received	
8. Were all of the samples listed on the COC received?	/			☐ Sample Received, Not on COC ☐ Sample on COC, Not Received	
9. Is the date/time of sample collection noted?	/			☐ COC; No Date/Time; Client Contacted	Labeling Verified by: _____ Date: _____
10. Was the sampler identified on the COC?	/			☐ Sampler Not Listed on COC	pH test strip lot number: _____
11. Is the client and project name/# identified?	/			☐ COC Incorrect/Incomplete	
12. Are tests/parameters listed for each sample?	/			☐ COC No tests on COC	
13. Is the matrix of the samples noted?	/			☐ COC Incorrect/Incomplete	
14. Was COC relinquished? (Signed/Dated/Timed)	/			☐ COC Incorrect/Incomplete	Box 16A: pH Preservation Box 18A: Residual Chlorine
15. Were samples received within holding time?	/			☐ Holding Time - Receipt	Preservative: _____
16. Were samples received with correct chemical preservative (excluding Encore)?			/	☐ pH Adjusted, pH Included (See box 16A) ☐ Incorrect Preservative	Lot Number: _____
17. Were VOA samples received without headspace?			/	☐ Headspace (VOA only) ☐ Residual Chlorine	Exp Date: _____
18. Did you check for residual chlorine, if necessary? (e.g. 1613B, 1668) Chlorine test strip lot number: _____			/		Analyst: _____
19. For 1613B water samples is pH<9?			/		Date: _____
20. For rad samples was sample activity info. Provided?			/	☐ If no, notify lab to adjust ☐ Project missing info	Time: _____
Project #: _____ PM Instructions: _____					
Sample Receiving Associate: <i>[Signature]</i> Date: 8-31					QA026R32.doc, 062719

ATTACHMENT 12

X-Ray Diffraction Laboratory Analytical Report



Quantitative X-Ray Diffraction by Rietveld Refinement

Report Prepared for: Geosyntec Consultant
2100 Commonwealth Boulevard, Suit 100 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48108, USA

Project Number/ LIMS No. Custom XRD/MI4504-MAY24/CA19915-APR24

Sample Receipt: May 2, 2024

Sample Analysis: May 7, 2024

Reporting Date: June 12, 2024

Instrument: BRUKER AXS D8 Advance Diffractometer

Test Conditions: Co radiation, 35 kV, 40 mA; Detector: LYNXEYE_XE_T
Regular Scanning: Step: 0.02°, Step time: 0.5s, 2θ range: 6-80°

Interpretations : PDF2/PDF4 powder diffraction databases issued by the International Center for Diffraction Data (ICDD). Diffrac Eva and Topas software.

Detection Limit : 0.5-2%. Strongly dependent on crystallinity.

Contents:
1) Method Summary
2) Quantitative XRD Results
3) XRD Pattern(s)

Kim Gibbs, H.B.Sc., P.Geo.
Senior Mineralogist

Huyun Zhou, Ph.D., P.Geo.
Senior Mineralogist

ACCREDITATION: SGS Natural Resources Lakefield is accredited to the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 for specific tests as listed on our scope of accreditation, including geochemical, mineralogical and trade mineral tests. To view a list of the accredited methods, please visit the following website and search SGS Canada Inc. - Minerals: <https://www.scc.ca/en/search/palcan>.



Method Summary

The Rietveld Method of Mineral Identification by XRD (ME-LR-MIN-MET-MN-D05) method used by SGS Natural Resources is accredited to the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025.

Mineral Identification and Interpretation:

Mineral identification and interpretation involves matching the diffraction pattern of an unknown material to patterns of single-phase reference materials. The reference patterns are compiled by the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards - International Center for Diffraction Data (JCPDS-ICDD) database and released on software as Powder Diffraction Files (PDF).

Interpretations do not reflect the presence of non-crystalline and/or amorphous compounds, except when internal standards have been added by request. Mineral proportions may be strongly influenced by crystallinity, crystal structure and preferred orientations. Mineral or compound identification and quantitative analysis results should be accompanied by supporting chemical assay data or other additional tests.

Quantitative Rietveld Analysis:

Quantitative Rietveld Analysis is performed by using Diffrac Topas 7 (Bruker), a graphics based profile analysis program built around a non-linear least squares fitting system, to determine the amount of different phases present in a multicomponent sample. Whole pattern analyses are predicated by the fact that the X-ray diffraction pattern is a total sum of both instrumental and specimen factors. Unlike other peak intensity-based methods, the Rietveld method uses a least squares approach to refine a theoretical line profile until it matches the obtained experimental patterns.

Rietveld refinement is completed with a set of minerals specifically identified for the sample. Zero values indicate that the mineral was included in the refinement calculations, but the calculated concentration was less than 0.05wt%. Minerals not identified by the analyst are not included in refinement calculations for specific samples and are indicated with a dash.

DISCLAIMER: This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein. Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from exercising all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

WARNING: The sample(s) to which the findings recorded herein (the "Findings") relate was(were) drawn and / or provided by the Client or by a third party acting at the Client's direction. The Findings constitute no warranty of the sample's representativeness of any goods and strictly relate to the sample(s). The Company accepts no liability with regard to the origin or source from which the sample(s) is/are said to be extracted.

Summary of Rietveld Quantitative Analysis X-Ray Diffraction Results

Mineral/Compound	SB-206D-(45-47)- 20240320 MAY4504-01 (wt %)	SB-206D-(56-57)- 20240320 MAY4504-02 (wt %)	SB-275D-(46-48)- 20240321 MAY4504-03 (wt %)	SB-275D-(50-53)- 20240321 MAY4504-04 (wt %)
Quartz	50.3	62.6	48.7	58.4
Albite	7.9	8.4	10.3	6.2
Microcline	7.8	8.6	7.5	5.7
Muscovite	9.8	-	8.4	4.0
Chlorite	2.2	-	4.0	1.3
Pyrite	0.1	-	0.2	0.1
Calcite	3.4	-	2.9	4.3
Dolomite	14.3	-	13.5	17.8
Kaolinite	3.9	8.5	3.8	1.1
Actinolite	0.2	-	0.1	0.0
Montmorillonite	-	3.8	-	-
Illite	-	7.3	-	-
Anatase	-	0.8	-	-
Hydroxylapatite	-	-	0.7	1.1
TOTAL	100	100	100	100


Zero values indicate that the mineral was included in the refinement, but the calculated concentration is below a measurable value.

Dashes indicate that the mineral was not identified by the analyst and not included in the refinement calculation for the sample.

The weight percent quantities indicated have been normalized to a sum of 100%. The quantity of amorphous material has not been determined.


Mineral/Compound	Formula
Quartz	SiO ₂
Albite	NaAlSi ₃ O ₈
Microcline	KAlSi ₃ O ₈
Muscovite	KAl ₂ (AlSi ₃ O ₁₀)(OH) ₂
Chlorite	(Fe,Mg,Mn) ₅ Al(Si ₃ Al)O ₁₀ (OH) ₈
Pyrite	FeS ₂
Calcite	CaCO ₃
Dolomite	CaMg(CO ₃) ₂
Kaolinite	Al ₂ Si ₂ O ₅ (OH) ₄
Actinolite	Ca ₂ (Mg,Fe) ₅ Si ₈ O ₂₂ (OH) ₂
Montmorillonite	(Na,Ca) _{0.3} (Al,Mg) ₂ Si ₂ O ₁₀ (OH) ₂ ·nH ₂ O
Illite	(K,H ₃ O)(Al,Mg,Fe) ₂ (Si,Al) ₄ O ₁₀ [(OH) ₂ , (H ₂ O)]
Anatase	TiO ₂
Hydroxylapatite	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ (OH)

SB-206D-(45-47)-20240230







SB-206D-(56-57)-20240320



SB-275D-(46-48)-20240231



SB-275D-(50-53)-20240231





Quantitative X-Ray Diffraction by Rietveld Refinement

Report Prepared for: Environmental Services

Project Number/ LIMS No. Custom XRD/MI4508-SEP21

Sample Receipt: September 9, 2021

Sample Analysis: September 24, 2021

Reporting Date: October 22, 2021

Instrument: BRUKER AXS D8 Advance Diffractometer

Test Conditions: Co radiation, 35 kV, 40 mA
Regular Scanning: Step: 0.02°, Step time: 1s, 2θ range: 3-80°

Interpretations : PDF2/PDF4 powder diffraction databases issued by the International Center for Diffraction Data (ICDD). DiffracPlus Eva and Topas software.

Detection Limit : 0.5-2%. Strongly dependent on crystallinity.

Contents:

- 1) Method Summary
- 2) Quantitative XRD Results
- 3) XRD Pattern(s)

Kim Gibbs, H.B.Sc., P.Geo.
Senior Mineralogist

Huyun Zhou, Ph.D., P.Geo.
Senior Mineralogist

ACCREDITATION: SGS Minerals Services Lakefield is accredited to the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 for specific tests as listed on our scope of accreditation, including geochemical, mineralogical and trade mineral tests. To view a list of the accredited methods, please visit the following website and search SGS Canada - Minerals Services - Lakefield: <http://palcan.scc.ca/SpecsSearch/GLSearchForm.do>.



Method Summary

The Rietveld Method of Mineral Identification by XRD (ME-LR-MIN-MET-MN-D05) method used by SGS Minerals Services is accredited to the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025.

Mineral Identification and Interpretation:

Mineral identification and interpretation involves matching the diffraction pattern of an unknown material to patterns of single-phase reference materials. The reference patterns are compiled by the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards - International Center for Diffraction Data (JCPDS-ICDD) database and released on software as Powder Diffraction Files (PDF).

Interpretations do not reflect the presence of non-crystalline and/or amorphous compounds, except when internal standards have been added by request. Mineral proportions may be strongly influenced by crystallinity, crystal structure and preferred orientations. Mineral or compound identification and quantitative analysis results should be accompanied by supporting chemical assay data or other additional tests.

Quantitative Rietveld Analysis:

Quantitative Rietveld Analysis is performed by using Topas 4.2 (Bruker AXS), a graphics based profile analysis program built around a non-linear least squares fitting system, to determine the amount of different phases present in a multicomponent sample. Whole pattern analyses are predicated by the fact that the X-ray diffraction pattern is a total sum of both instrumental and specimen factors. Unlike other peak intensity-based methods, the Rietveld method uses a least squares approach to refine a theoretical line profile until it matches the obtained experimental patterns.

Rietveld refinement is completed with a set of minerals specifically identified for the sample. Zero values indicate that the mineral was included in the refinement calculations, but the calculated concentration was less than 0.05wt%. Minerals not identified by the analyst are not included in refinement calculations for specific samples and are indicated with a dash.

DISCLAIMER: This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein. Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from exercising all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.


WARNING: The sample(s) to which the findings recorded herein (the "Findings") relate was(were) drawn and / or provided by the Client or by a third party acting at the Client's direction. The Findings constitute no warranty of the sample's representativeness of any goods and strictly relate to the sample(s). The Company accepts no liability with regard to the origin or source from which the sample(s) is/are said to be extracted.


Summary of Rietveld Quantitative Analysis X-Ray Diffraction Results

Mineral/Compound	SB-200-(14-15,15-18) SEP4508-01 (wt %)	SB-215-(23-24,24-24.5) SEP4508-02 (wt %)
Quartz	53.1	58.3
Microcline	6.4	6.2
Albite	8.0	9.0
Calcite	3.3	4.5
Dolomite	18.2	12.9
Ankerite	5.2	4.3
Chlorite	2.1	0.8
Diopside	3.8	4.1
TOTAL	100	100

The weight percent quantities indicated have been normalized to a sum of 100%. The quantity of amorphous material has not been determined.

Mineral/Compound	Formula
Quartz	SiO ₂
Microcline	KAlSi ₃ O ₈
Albite	NaAlSi ₃ O ₈
Calcite	CaCO ₃
Dolomite	CaMg(CO ₃) ₂
Ankerite	CaFe(CO ₃) ₂
Chlorite	(Fe,(Mg,Mn) ₅ ,Al)(Si ₃ Al)O ₁₀ (OH) ₈
Diopside	CaMgSi ₂ O ₆





ATTACHMENT 13

Groundwater Arsenic Speciation Data



13751 Lake City Way NE, Ste 108, Seattle, WA 98125 • USA • T:206-632-6206 • info@brooksapplied.com

April 19, 2024

Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
ATTN: Allison Kreinberg
1 McBride and Son Center Drive, Suite 202
Chesterfield, MO 63005
akreinberg@geosyntec.com

RE: Project GST-CB2401

Dear Allison Kreinberg,

On March 28, 2024, Brooks Applied Labs (BAL) received one (1) groundwater sample. The sample was logged-in for the analyses of arsenic speciation (As(III), As(V), MMAs, DMAs, and the sum of unknown As species) according to the chain-of-custody form. All samples were received and stored according to BAL SOPs and EPA methodology.

Samples were field filtered.

Arsenic Speciation Quantitation by IC-ICP-CRC-MS

Arsenic speciation was performed by ion chromatography inductively coupled plasma collision reaction cell mass spectrometry (IC-ICP-CRC-MS). Arsenic species are first chromatographically separated on an ion exchange column and then quantified using inductively coupled plasma collision reaction cell mass spectrometry (ICP-CRC-MS). For more information on this determinative technique, please visit the Interference Reduction Technology section on our website.

It should be noted that all Brooks Applied Labs, LLC methods, standard operating procedures, inventions, ideas, processes, improvements, designs, and techniques included or referred to therein, must be considered and treated as Proprietary Information, protected by the Washington State Trade Secret Act, RCW 19.108 et seq., and other laws. All Proprietary Information, written or implied, will not be distributed, copied, or altered in any fashion without prior written consent from Brooks Applied Labs, LLC. All Proprietary Information (including originals, copies, summaries, or other reproductions thereof) shall remain the property of Brooks Applied Labs, LLC at all times and must be returned upon demand. Furthermore, products presented in this document may be protected by Federal Patent laws and infringement will be subject to prosecution in accordance with Title 35 US Code 271.

In instances where the native sample result and/or the associated duplicate (DUP) result were below the MDL the RPD was not calculated (**N/C**).

The results were not method blank corrected, as described in the calculations section of the relevant BAL SOP(s), and were evaluated using reporting limits adjusted to account for sample aliquot size. Please refer to the *Sample Results* page for sample-specific MDLs, MRLs, and other details.

All data was reported without further qualification and all other associated quality control sample results met the acceptance criteria.

BAL verifies that the reported results of all analyses for which the laboratory is accredited meet the requirements of the accrediting body, unless otherwise noted in the report narrative. For more information regarding accreditations please see the *Report Information* and *Batch Summary* pages. This report must be used in its entirety for interpretation of results.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions regarding this report.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Amy Goodall". The signature is written in a dark ink and is positioned to the left of the typed name and contact information.

Amy Goodall
Project Manager
Brooks Applied Labs
amy@brooksapplied.com



Report Information

General Disclaimers

Test results are based solely upon the sample submitted to Brooks Applied Labs in the condition it was received. This report shall not be reproduced or copied, except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. Brooks Applied Labs is not responsible for the consequences arising from the use of a partial report.

Laboratory Accreditation

BAL maintains accreditation with various state and national agencies for select test methods. For a current list of BAL accreditations, please visit our website at <http://www.brooksapplied.com/resources/certificates-permits/>. The reported analyte/matrix/method combination shall be considered outside BAL's scopes of accreditation unless otherwise identified as ISO, TNI, or ISO,TNI in the tables. It is the responsibility of the client to verify whether a specific accreditation is required for the intended data use.

ISO: ISO/IEC 17025:2017 accredited test method. Issued by ANSI National Accreditation Board (ANAB), #ADE-1447.02

TNI: NELAP accredited test method. Issued by the State of Florida Department of Health, #E87982.

ISO,TNI: Test method is accredited under both the ISO/IEC 17025:2017 and NELAP accreditations referenced above.

Field Quality Control Samples

Please be notified that certain EPA methods require the collection of field quality control samples of an appropriate type and frequency; failure to do so is considered a deviation from some methods and for compliance purposes should only be done with the approval of regulatory authorities. Please see the specific EPA methods for details regarding required field quality control samples.

Common Abbreviations

AR	as received	MS	matrix spike
BAL	Brooks Applied Labs	MSD	matrix spike duplicate
BLK	method blank	ND	non-detect
BS	blank spike	NR	non-reportable
CAL	calibration standard	N/C	not calculated
CCB	continuing calibration blank	PS	post preparation spike
CCV	continuing calibration verification	REC	percent recovery
COC	chain of custody record	RPD	relative percent difference
D	dissolved fraction	SCV	secondary calibration verification
DUP	duplicate	SOP	standard operating procedure
IBL	instrument blank	SRM	reference material
ICV	initial calibration verification	T	total fraction
MDL	method detection limit	TR	total recoverable fraction
MRL	method reporting limit		

Definition of Data Qualifiers

E	An estimated value due to the presence of interferences. A full explanation is presented in the narrative.
H	Holding time and/or preservation requirements not met. Please see narrative for explanation.
J	Detected by the instrument, the result is > the MDL but ≤ the MRL. Result is reported and considered an estimate.
J-1	Estimated value. A full explanation is presented in the narrative.
M	Duplicate precision (RPD) was not within acceptance criteria. Please see narrative for explanation.
N	Spike recovery was not within acceptance criteria. Please see narrative for explanation.
R	Rejected, unusable value. A full explanation is presented in the narrative.
U	Result is ≤ the MDL or client requested reporting limit (CRRL). Result reported as the MDL or CRRL.
X	Result is not BLK-corrected and is within 10x the absolute value of the highest detectable BLK in the batch. Result is estimated.
Z	Holding time and/or preservation requirements not established for this method; however, BAL recommendations for holding time were not followed. Please see narrative for explanation.



Sample Information

Sample	Lab ID	Report Matrix	Type	Sampled	Received
G206D-20240326	2403414-01	GW	Sample	03/26/2024	03/28/2024

Batch Summary

Analyte	Lab Matrix	Method	Accred.	Prepared	Analyzed	Batch	Sequence
As(III)	Water	SOP BAL-4100	ISO,TNI	04/09/24	04/11/24	B240777	S240325
As(V)	Water	SOP BAL-4100	ISO,TNI	04/09/24	04/11/24	B240777	S240325
DMAs	Water	SOP BAL-4100	ISO	04/09/24	04/11/24	B240777	S240325
MMAs	Water	SOP BAL-4100	ISO	04/09/24	04/11/24	B240777	S240325
Unk As Sp	Water	SOP BAL-4100		04/09/24	04/11/24	B240777	S240325

Sample Results

Sample	Analyte	Report Matrix	Basis	Result	Qualifier	MDL	MRL	Unit	Batch	Sequence
G206D-20240326										
2403414-01	As(III)	GW	D	8.16		0.040	0.210	µg/L	B240777	S240325
2403414-01	As(V)	GW	D	0.905		0.100	0.210	µg/L	B240777	S240325
2403414-01	DMAs	GW	D	≤ 0.050	U	0.050	0.210	µg/L	B240777	S240325
2403414-01	MMAs	GW	D	≤ 0.040	U	0.040	0.210	µg/L	B240777	S240325
2403414-01	Unk As Sp	GW	D	1.05		0.050	0.210	µg/L	B240777	S240325



Accuracy & Precision Summary

Batch: B240777
Lab Matrix: Water
Method: SOP BAL-4100

Sample	Analyte	Native	Spike	Result	Units	REC & Limits	RPD & Limits
B240777-BS1	Blank Spike, (2331029)						
	As(III)		5.000	4.793	µg/L	96% 75-125	
	As(V)		5.000	4.408	µg/L	88% 75-125	
B240777-BS2	Blank Spike, (2306015)						
	DMAs		5.000	5.070	µg/L	101% 75-125	
	MMAs		4.400	4.281	µg/L	97% 75-125	
B240777-DUP1	Duplicate, (2404079-06)						
	As(III)	0.602		0.639	µg/L		6% 25
	As(V)	29.53		30.04	µg/L		2% 25
	DMAs	ND		ND	µg/L		N/C 25
	MMAs	ND		ND	µg/L		N/C 25
	Unk As Sp	0.267		0.296	µg/L		10% 25
B240777-MS1	Matrix Spike, (2404079-06)						
	As(III)	0.602	52.25	50.66	µg/L	96% 75-125	
	As(V)	29.53	48.55	76.35	µg/L	96% 75-125	
	DMAs	ND	55.55	52.92	µg/L	95% 75-125	
	MMAs	ND	50.00	47.97	µg/L	96% 75-125	
B240777-MSD1	Matrix Spike Duplicate, (2404079-06)						
	As(III)	0.602	52.25	52.49	µg/L	99% 75-125	4% 25
	As(V)	29.53	48.55	75.54	µg/L	95% 75-125	1% 25
	DMAs	ND	55.55	53.33	µg/L	96% 75-125	0.8% 25
	MMAs	ND	50.00	49.24	µg/L	98% 75-125	3% 25



Method Blanks & Reporting Limits

Batch: B240777

Matrix: Water

Method: SOP BAL-4100

Analyte: As(III)

Sample	Result	Units	
B240777-BLK1	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK2	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK3	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK4	0.00	µg/L	
Average: 0.000			MDL: 0.004
Limit: 0.021			MRL: 0.021

Analyte: As(V)

Sample	Result	Units	
B240777-BLK1	0.002	µg/L	
B240777-BLK2	0.001	µg/L	
B240777-BLK3	0.0009	µg/L	
B240777-BLK4	0.003	µg/L	
Average: 0.002			MDL: 0.010
Limit: 0.021			MRL: 0.021

Analyte: DMAs

Sample	Result	Units	
B240777-BLK1	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK2	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK3	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK4	0.00	µg/L	
Average: 0.000			MDL: 0.005
Limit: 0.021			MRL: 0.021



Method Blanks & Reporting Limits

Analyte: MMAs

Sample	Result	Units	
B240777-BLK1	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK2	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK3	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK4	0.00	µg/L	
Average: 0.000			MDL: 0.004
Limit: 0.021			MRL: 0.021

Analyte: Unk As Sp

Sample	Result	Units	
B240777-BLK1	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK2	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK3	0.00	µg/L	
B240777-BLK4	0.00	µg/L	
Average: 0.000			MDL: 0.005
Limit: 0.021			MRL: 0.021

Project ID: GST-CB2401
PM: Amy Goodall



BAL Report 2403414
Client PM: Allison Kreinberg
Client Project: GST-CB2401

Sample Containers

Lab ID: 2403414-01				Report Matrix: GW		Collected: 03/26/2024	
Sample: G206D-20240326				Sample Type: Sample		Received: 03/28/2024	
Des	Container	Size	Lot	Preservation	P-Lot	pH	Ship. Cont.
A	Vacutainer	10 mL	23-0112	EDTA (in vial)	N/A	N/A	Cooler - 2403414
B	XTRA_VOL	10 mL	23-0112	EDTA (in vial)	N/A	N/A	Cooler - 2403414

Shipping Containers

Cooler - 2403414

Received: March 28, 2024 9:50
Tracking No: 2727 0567 6533 via FedEx
Coolant Type: Ice
Temperature: 5.2 °C

Description: Cooler
Damaged in transit? No
Returned to client? No
Comments: R-IR-5

Custody seals present? Yes
Custody seals intact? Yes
COC present? Yes



Chain-of-Custody Form

Ship samples to:
13751 Lake City Way NE, Suite 108
Seattle, WA 98125

For BAL use only BAL Report 2403414

Received by: ECU Date: 03/28/24
Work Order ID: _____ Time: 0950
Project ID: _____

Client: Geosyntec PO Number: GLP8078 Mailing Address: L McBride and Son Center Dr
Contact: Allison Kreinberg Phone: 636-812-0810 Ste 200 Chesterfield, MO 63005
Client Project ID: GST-CB2401 Email: Akreinberg@geosyntec.com Email Receipt Confirmation? (Yes/No)
Samples Collected By: Amanda Schaeffer BAL PM: Amy Goodall

Requested TAT (business days)		Collection		Client Sample Info			BAL Analyses Required							Comments		
		Date	Time	Matrix Type	Number of Containers	Field Filtered? (Yes/No)	Preservation Type HCl/HNO ₃ /Other	Total Hg, EPA 1631	Methyl Hg, EPA 1630	ICP-MS Metals (specify)	As Species (specify) InOrg, III, V, MMA, DMA	Se Species (specify) Se(IV), Se(VI), SeCN, Unknown	Filtration	Other (specify)	Other (specify)	Specify Here
☒ 20 (standard)																
☐ 15*																
☒ 10*																
☐ 5*																
☐ Other _____																
*Surcharges may apply to expedited TATs																
Sample ID																
1	G206D-20240326	3/28/24	1105	GW	2	YES					X					
2																
3																
4																
5																
6																
7																
8																
9																
10																
Trip Blank																
Relinquished By: <u>[Signature]</u>		Date: <u>3/27/24</u>		Time: <u>1600</u>		Relinquished By:		Date:		Time:						
Received By:		Date:		Time:		Total Number of Packages:										

Page ____ of ____

List Hazardous Contaminants: _____

samples@brooksapplied.com | brooksapplied.com